# Invariants of $\varphi$-transformations of uninorms and t-norms 

Vojtěch Havlena *t Dana Hliněná *


#### Abstract

The paper deals with triangular norms and uninorms, and their constructions. Specifically, we study $\varphi$-transformations and their invariants. The work contains selected results of author's work in the student competition SVOČ.
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## 1 Preliminaries

The main topic of this article is a special type of constructions of triangular norms and uninorms. First we recall some important definitions and statements.

Definition 1.1. [3] A triangular norm $T$ (t-norm for short) is a commutative, associative, monotone binary operator on the unit interval $[0,1]$, fulfilling the boundary condition $T(x, 1)=x$, for all $x \in$ $[0,1]$.

Uninorms were introduced by Yager and Rybalov in 1996 as a generalization of triangular norms and conorms [7].

Definition 1.2. [7] An associative, commutative and increasing operation $U:[0,1]^{2} \rightarrow[0,1]$ is called a uninorm, if there exists $e \in[0,1]$, called the neutral element of $U$, such that

$$
U(e, x)=U(x, e)=x \text { for all } x \in[0,1] .
$$

There exist various constructions of $t$-norms, and we will deal with a method of constructing $t$-norms which gives the new t -norm from a previously known t -norm and a unary function $\varphi$.

Proposition 1.3. [3] Let $\varphi:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]$ be a non-decreasing function and $T:[0,1]^{2} \rightarrow[0,1]$ be a $t$-norm. Then the function defined by

$$
T_{\varphi}(x, y)= \begin{cases}\min \{x, y\}, & \text { if } \max \{x, y\}=1, \\ \varphi^{(-1)}[T(\varphi(x), \varphi(y))], & \text { otherwise },\end{cases}
$$

is a $t$-norm. Note, that $\varphi^{(-1)}$ is a pseudo-inverse, which is a monotone extension of the ordinary inverse function and $\varphi^{(-1)}(x)=\sup \{z \in[0,1] ; \varphi(z)<x\}$.

We can similarly construct uninorms:
Proposition 1.4. [2] Let $\varphi:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]$ be a continuous, bijective function, and let there exist $e^{\prime}$ such that $e^{\prime}=\varphi^{-1}(e)$, where $e$ is the neutral element of a given uninorm $U$. Then the function

$$
U_{\varphi}(x, y)=\varphi^{-1}\left[U_{e}(\varphi(x), \varphi(y))\right]
$$

is a uninorm with the neutral element ${ }^{\prime}$.

[^0]In this paper we will discuss the invariants of $\varphi$-transformation of t-norms and uninorms. It means, we will look for the uninorms and the bijective functions $\varphi$ such that

$$
\varphi(U(x, y))=U(\varphi(x), \varphi(y))
$$

Finally, we include some necessary notions.
Definition 1.5. [3] Let $T:[0,1]^{2} \rightarrow[0,1]$ be a t-norm. Then a function $\delta_{n}:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]$ defined as

$$
\delta_{1}(x)=x, \quad \delta_{n+1}(x)=T\left(\delta_{n}(x), x\right), \quad \text { for } x \in[0,1], n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

is called the diagonal function of a t-norm $T$. The set of all diagonal functions of given t-norm $T$ is denoted as $\Delta_{T}=\left\{\delta_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$.

Definition 1.6. A $t$-norm $T$ is called Archimedean if it has the Archimedean property, i.e., iffor each $x, y$ in the open interval $(0,1)$ there is a natural number $n$ such that $\delta_{n} \leq y$.

In this paper we deal with a specific class of uninorms, called simple uninorms.
Definition 1.7. [2] A uninorm $U:[0,1]^{2} \rightarrow[0,1]$ is called simple, if there exists left or right neighborhood of $y$ for every $(x, y) \in[0, e) \times(e, 1]$, where uninorm $U$ has constant values, i.e.

$$
\forall(x, y) \in[0, e) \times(e, 1], \forall y_{1}, y_{2} \in U_{\varepsilon}^{+}(y): U\left(x, y_{1}\right)=U\left(x, y_{2}\right) \quad\left(U_{\varepsilon}^{-}(y)\right)
$$

## 2 Invariants of transformation on the set $[0, e) \times(e, 1]$

In our investigation of invariants of uninorm transformations we start with the set $[0, e) \times(e, 1]$.
Definition 2.1. [2] Let $U:[0,1]^{2} \rightarrow[0,1]$ be a uninorm with the neutral element $e$. Then we define $S(U)=\left\{\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right) \times\left(c_{i}, d_{i}\right) ; i=1, \cdots, n ; n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ as a system of the sets, such that

$$
\forall J \in S(U) \text { and } \forall\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right),\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right) \in J: U\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)=U\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)
$$

Moreover for every $J$ must exists $\alpha_{J} \in H(J)$, such that

$$
\forall p \in D(J): U\left(p, \alpha_{J}\right) \neq U\left(x, \alpha_{J}\right), \text { where } x \in[0, e) \backslash D(J)
$$

Definition 2.2. [2] Let $U:[0,1]^{2} \rightarrow[0,1]$ be a uninorm with the neutral element $e$. Then we define the set $M_{x}(U)=\left\{\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(a_{n}, b_{n}\right)\right\}$ as a set of $x$-coordinate discontinuities of uninorm $U$ on $[0, e) \times(e, 1]$. Similarly we define the set of $y$-coordinate discontinuities as $M_{y}(U)$.

The following theorem deals with the properties of transformation function $\varphi$ in the discontinuity points of given uninorm.

Theorem 2.3. [2] Let $U:[0,1]^{2} \rightarrow[0,1]$ be a simple uninorm and $M_{x}(U)$ be a finite set of $x$-coordinate discontinuities of uninorm $U$. Further we consider nondecreasing bijection $\varphi:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]$. Then if the original uninorm is formed by the $\varphi$-transformation, then $\forall\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right) \in M_{x}(U): \varphi\left(a_{i}\right)=a_{i}$.

The proof is based on an examination of the cases $\varphi\left(a_{i}\right)>a_{i}$ and $\varphi\left(a_{i}\right)<a_{i}$. Note that in a very similar way we can prove this statement for the set $M_{y}(U)$, i.e, that $\forall(x, y) \in M_{y}(U): \varphi(y)=y$. The following example shows the importance of finiteness of the set $M_{x}(U)$ from the previous theorem.

Example 2.4. Let us consider continuous bijective function $f:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]$ given by following formula

$$
f(x)= \begin{cases}\sqrt[3]{\frac{x}{4}} & \text { if } x \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ x & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Further more consider a uninorm $U^{*}:[0,1]^{2} \rightarrow[0,1]$ with neutral element $e=\frac{1}{2}$ given as:

$$
U^{*}(x, y)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } \min \{x, y\}>\frac{1}{2}, \\ \min \{x, y\} & \text { if } \max \{x, y\}=\frac{1}{2}, \\ \max \{x, y\} & \text { if } \min \{x, y\}=\frac{1}{2}, \\ f^{i+1}\left(\frac{1}{4}\right) & \text { if } \max \{x, y\}>\frac{1}{2} \text { and } \\ & \min \{x, y\} \in\left(f^{i}\left(\frac{1}{4}\right), f^{i+1}\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)\right] \text { for } i \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ 0 & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}
$$

We study transformation given by the function $\varphi=f$. Here we show only the most interesting case of proving the invariance. Therefore we assume $x \in\left(\varphi^{i}\left(\frac{1}{4}\right), \varphi^{i+1}\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)\right], y \in\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right]$. Then

$$
U^{*}(\varphi(x), \varphi(y))=\varphi^{i+2}\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)=\varphi \circ \varphi^{i+1}\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)=\varphi\left(U^{*}(x, y)\right) .
$$

Other cases could be proved similarly. The uninorm $U^{*}$ with the function $\varphi$ give us an example of a $\varphi$-transformation, in which the fixed points of the function $\varphi$ in discontinuities of $U^{*}$ are not necessary for invariant.

Theorem 2.5. [2] Let $U:[0,1]^{2} \rightarrow[0,1]$ be a simple uninorm and $\varphi:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]$ be a continuous bijective function. If the original uninorm is formed by the $\varphi$-transformation, then

$$
\forall J \in S(U): \varphi\left(\sup J_{x}\right)=\sup J_{x} \text { and } \varphi\left(\inf J_{x}\right)=\inf J_{x}
$$

Proof. The proof is based on generating the set $M(U)$ using an iteration of the function $\varphi$. Since the set $S(U)$ is finite, the set $M(U)$ is finite as well and hence there exists a fixed point of the function $\varphi$ at the points $\inf J_{x}$ and $\sup J_{x}$ for $J \in S(U)$.

Corollary 2.6. [2] Let $U:[0,1]^{2} \rightarrow[0,1]$ be a simple uninorm, $\varphi:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]$ be a continuous bijective function and $M_{y}(U)$ be a finite set. If the original uninorm is formed by the $\varphi$-transformation, then the interval $(e, 1]$ can be divided into subintervals $I_{i}=\left(y_{i}, y_{i+1}\right]$ for which $\varphi\left(y_{i}\right)=y_{i}$ holds.

Theorem 2.7. [2] Let $U:[0,1]^{2} \rightarrow[0,1]$ be a simple uninorm, $I_{i}=\left(y_{i}, y_{i+1}\right]$ be sub-intervals from Corollary 2.6 and a function $\varphi:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]$ be a continuous bijection for which $\varphi\left(y_{i}\right)=y_{i}$ holds. Further we assume a function $\psi_{i}(x)=U\left(x, y_{i}\right)$ for $x \in[0, e)$ and $y \in I_{i}$. Then the original uninorm on the set $[0, e) \times(e, 1]$ is formed by the $\varphi$-transformation iff

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi \circ \psi_{i}(x)=\psi_{i} \circ \varphi(x), \quad \forall x \in[0, e), i \leq n, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n$ is the number of intervals.
Proof. We use the definition of a $\varphi$-tranformation and the previous corollary. In short we get

$$
\varphi \circ \psi_{i}(x)=\psi_{i} \circ \varphi(x) \Leftrightarrow \varphi(U(x, y))=U(\varphi(x), y) \Leftrightarrow \varphi(U(x, y))=U(\varphi(x), \varphi(y))
$$

for $x<e, y \in I_{i}$.
If we denote a set of all functions $\varphi$, satisfying equation (1) as $\mathcal{F}_{i}$, then a set of all functions $\mathcal{F}_{\varphi}$ forming the original uninorm by the $\varphi$-transformation on the set $[0, e) \times(e, 1]$ is given as follows

$$
\varphi \in \mathcal{F}_{i} \Leftrightarrow \varphi \circ \psi_{i}(x)=\psi_{i} \circ \varphi(x) \quad \text { and } \quad \mathcal{F}_{\varphi}=\bigcap_{i=0}^{n} \mathcal{F}_{i}
$$

In the following text we deal with solving the functional equation (1). Functions satisfying this equation are called as permutable functions.

### 2.1 Chebyshev polynomials

The first partial solution of equation (1) is composed of Chebyshev polynomials.
Definition 2.8. [6] Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind $T_{n}$ are defined by

$$
T_{0}(x)=1, T_{1}(x)=x, T_{n+1}(x)=2 x T_{n}(x)-T_{n-1}(x), \text { for } n>0
$$

Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind $U_{n}$ are defined by

$$
U_{0}(x)=1, U_{1}(x)=2 x, U_{n+1}(x)=2 x U_{n}(x)-U_{n-1}(x), \text { for } n>0
$$

Theorem 2.9. [5] Let $T_{n}$ be the Chebyshev polynomial of the first kind, then for $x \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\alpha=\arccos (x)$ is $T_{n}(\cos \alpha)=\cos (n \alpha)$.

Theorem 2.10. [5] The roots of the polynomial $T_{n}\left(U_{n}\right)$ are given by

$$
x_{k}=\cos \left(\frac{\pi}{2} \frac{2 k-1}{n}\right), \quad\left(x_{k}=\cos \left(\pi \frac{k}{n+1}\right)\right), \quad \text { for } k \in\{1, \ldots, n\} .
$$

Theorem 2.11. [5] Let $T_{n}$ be the Chebyshev polynomial of the first kind. Then its derivative is as follows

$$
T_{n}^{\prime}(x)=n U_{n-1}(x),
$$

where $U_{n-1}$ is Chebyshev polynomial of the second kind .
We will now look for such Chebyshev polynomials which are continuous and increasing on $[0, e]$ and $T_{n}(0)=0, T_{n}(e)=e$, for $e \in(0,1)$ and $T_{n}(x) \geq x$ for $x \in[0, e]$.

Investigation. From $T_{n}(0)=0$ we get $T_{n}(0)=-T_{n-2}(0)=0$. More, $4 \mid(n-1)$. From $T_{n}(e)=$ $e \in(0,1)$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e=\cos (n \arccos (e)) \Leftrightarrow n \arccos (e)=2 k \pi \pm \arccos (e) \Leftrightarrow \\
& n=\frac{2 k \pi \pm \arccos (e)}{\arccos (e)}=\frac{2 k \pi}{\arccos (e)} \pm 1, \text { for } k \in \mathbb{Z}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\frac{\pi}{\arccos (e)} \in \mathbb{Q}
$$

For fulfillment of other conditions we will look for $x_{e_{1}}$, which is the smallest positive nonzero point at which the polynomial $T_{n}$ attains its local maximum and $x_{e_{1}}>e$ and $T_{n}\left(x_{e_{1}}\right)=1$. Directly from the previous theorem we get:

Theorem 2.12. Let $T_{n}$ be Chebyshev polynomial of the first kind. Then the local extremes are in the points $x_{e}$ which are given by:

$$
x_{e}=\cos \left(\frac{k \pi}{n}\right), \quad k \in\{1, \ldots, n\} .
$$

Remark: If we consider only polynomials that satisfy the above conditions, then the smallest positive point giving a local maximum is:

$$
x_{e_{0}}=\cos \left(\frac{n-1}{2 n} \pi\right) .
$$

And now we find the smallest point $e \in(0,1)$ such that $T_{n}(e)=e$. Then

$$
e=\cos (n \arccos (e)) \Leftrightarrow n \arccos (e)=2 k \pi \pm \arccos (e) \Leftrightarrow e=\cos \left(\frac{2 k \pi}{n \pm 1}\right) .
$$

This equality is satisfied for $k \in\left\{1, \ldots,\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor\right\}$ and for higher $k$ it s the same up to sign. Summarizing the previous we get:

$$
\cos \left(\frac{n-1}{2 n} \pi\right)>\left|\cos \left(\frac{2 k \pi}{n \pm 1}\right)\right| .
$$

Since the cosine function is decreasing in the interval $\left(0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right]$, we get

$$
\left|\frac{\pi}{2}-\frac{n-1}{2 n} \pi\right|>\left|\frac{\pi}{2}-\frac{2 k \pi}{n \pm 1}\right| \Leftrightarrow \frac{n-1}{2 n} \pi<\frac{2 k \pi}{n \pm 1} .
$$

From the previous investigation we have $k=\left\lfloor\frac{n}{4}\right\rfloor$ and

$$
\frac{n-1}{2 n} \pi<\frac{2 \pi}{n+1}\left\lfloor\frac{n}{4}\right\rfloor \Leftrightarrow(n-1)(n+1)<4 n\left\lfloor\frac{n}{4}\right\rfloor=4 n \frac{n-1}{4} .
$$

This inequality is satisfied only for $n=1$. There are no Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind, which would suit our conditions.

### 2.2 Function iteration

Other particular solution of functional equation (1) is closely related to the iteration of functions [4]. In the following text we denote by $\mathcal{F}$ the set of all nondecreasing functions $f:[0, e] \rightarrow[0, e]$ satisfying the conditions $f(x) \geq x, f(0)=0$ and $f(e)=e$.

The following lemma and corollaries explain methods of construction permutable functions.
Lemma 2.13. [2] Let $g$ and $f: X \rightarrow X$ be permutable functions (i.e. $f \circ g(x)=g \circ f(x)$ for all $x \in X$ ). We further assume nondecreasing (nonincreasing) surjective function $\lambda: X \rightarrow X$. Then the functions

$$
\Phi(x)=\lambda^{(-1)} \circ f \circ \lambda(x) \text { and } \Psi(x)=\lambda^{(-1)} \circ g \circ \lambda(x),
$$

where $\lambda^{(-1)}$ is pseudoinverse function to $\lambda$, form a pair of permutable functions.
Proof. Since the function $\lambda$ is a nondecreasing (nonincreasing) surjection, the equality $\lambda \circ \lambda^{(-1)}(x)=x$ is satisfied. Which means that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi \circ \Psi(x) & =\lambda^{(-1)} \circ f \circ \lambda \circ \lambda^{(-1)} \circ g \circ \lambda(x)=\lambda^{(-1)} \circ f \circ g \circ \lambda(x) \\
& =\lambda^{(-1)} \circ g \circ f \circ \lambda(x)=\lambda^{(-1)} \circ g \circ \lambda \circ \lambda^{(-1)} \circ f \circ \lambda(x)=\Psi \circ \Phi(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note. Although the function $\lambda$ can be in general nonincreasing as well, in the following text we consider only the nondecreasing case due to our restrictions to permutable functions.

Corollary 2.14. [2] Let $f$ and $g$ be permutable functions and moreover $f, g \in \mathcal{F}$. Further we assume $a$ nondecreasing surjective function $\lambda:[0, e] \rightarrow[0, e]$. Then the functions

$$
\Phi(x)=\lambda^{(-1)} \circ f \circ \lambda(x), \quad \Psi(x)=\lambda^{(-1)} \circ g \circ \lambda(x)
$$

form a pair of permutable functions, and moreover $\Phi, \Psi \in \mathcal{F}$.
Corollary 2.15. [2] Let $f$ be a function such that $f \in \mathcal{F}$. We further assume a nondecreasing surjective function $\lambda:[0, e] \rightarrow[0, e]$, and functions $\Phi_{n}(x)=\lambda^{(-1)} \circ f^{n} \circ \lambda(x)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$. Then the functions $\Phi_{i}$ and $\Phi_{j}$, for $i, j \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ form a pair of permutable functions and moreover $\Phi_{i}, \Phi_{j} \in \mathcal{F}$.

The proof of the current and previous corollary is based on certain properties of function iteration and on properties of pseudoinverse functions.

In a search for permutable functions we can as well draw from existing functions as it is shown in the following example.

Example 2.16. Consider a $t$-conorm restricted to the set $[0, e]^{2}$, i.e.

$$
S_{e}(x, y)=e S\left(\frac{x}{e}, \frac{y}{e}\right), \quad \text { for }(x, y) \in[0, e]^{2}
$$

and its diagonal functions $\delta_{n}^{*}$. Then $\delta_{m}^{*} \circ \delta_{n}^{*}=\delta_{n}^{*} \circ \delta_{m}^{*}$ for $m, n \in \mathbb{N}[1]$. More specifically, consider restriction of $t$-conorm probabilistic sum

$$
S_{e}(x, y)=x+y-\frac{x y}{e}, \quad \text { for }(x, y) \in[0, e]^{2}
$$

and the diagonal functions $\delta_{2}^{*}$ and $\delta_{3}^{*}$ given by

$$
\delta_{2}^{*}(x)=x\left(2-\frac{x}{e}\right), \quad \delta_{3}^{*}(x)=x\left(3-\frac{3 x}{e}+\frac{x^{2}}{e^{2}}\right) .
$$

Then $\delta_{2}^{*}(x) \circ \delta_{3}^{*}(x)=\delta_{3}^{*}(x) \circ \delta_{2}^{*}(x)$ for all $x \in[0, e]$.

## 3 Invariant transformation of t-norms

As mentioned before, uninorms are generalizations of $t$-norms. Hence in this section we deal with an invariant transformation of $t$-norms. Before we introduce the necessary condition for invariant transformations, we demonstrate a $\varphi$-transfomation via the diagonal function $\delta_{n}$ of Frank t -norms.

Example 3.1. Frank t-norms are defined by [3]:

$$
T_{p}^{F}(x, y)= \begin{cases}T_{M}(x, y) & \text { if } p=0 \\ T_{P}(x, y) & \text { if } p=1 \\ T_{L}(x, y) & \text { if } p=+\infty \\ \log _{p}\left(1+\frac{\left(p^{x}-1\right)\left(p^{y}-1\right)}{p-1}\right) & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

The diagonal function for minimum $t$-norm is given by $\delta_{n, 0}(x)=x$. Invariance is thus apparent in this case. The diagonal function for product $t$-norm $T_{P}(x, y)=x y$ is defined by $\delta_{n, 1}(x)=x^{n}$. After transformation we obtain $(x y)^{n}=x^{n} y^{n}$. Invariance is thus again maintained.

The diagonal function for Łukasiewicz t-norm $T_{L}(x, y)=\max \{0, x+y-1\}$ is $\delta_{n, \infty}$ given by $\delta_{n, \infty}(x)=\varphi(x)=\max \{0, n x-n+1\}$. Invariance is again maintained, as can be seen by substitution.

For the other cases the diagonal functions $\delta_{n, p}$ are as follows:

$$
\delta_{n, p}(x)=\varphi(x)=\log _{p}\left(1+\frac{\left(p^{x}-1\right)^{n}}{(p-1)^{n-1}}\right)
$$

Then the transformation looks as follows

$$
\begin{gathered}
T_{p}^{F}(\varphi(x), \varphi(y))=\log _{p}\left(1+\frac{\left(p^{x}-1\right)^{n}\left(p^{y}-1\right)^{n}}{(p-1)^{2 n-1}}\right) \\
\varphi\left(T_{p}^{F}(x, y)\right)=\log _{p}\left(1+\frac{\left(\frac{\left(p^{x}-1\right)\left(p^{y}-1\right)}{p-1}\right)^{n}}{(p-1)^{n-1}}\right)=\log _{p}\left(1+\frac{\left(p^{x}-1\right)^{n}\left(p^{y}-1\right)^{n}}{(p-1)^{2 n-1}}\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

and thus $T_{p}^{F}(\varphi(x), \varphi(y))=\varphi\left(T_{p}^{F}(x, y)\right)$. This altgother means, that the invariance towards transformation by the diagonal functions, is maintained for the class of Frank t-norms.

Now we can introduce the aforementioned necessary condition of invariance.
Theorem 3.2. [2] (Necessary condition of invariance) Let $T:[0,1]^{2} \rightarrow[0,1]$ be a t-norm, $\delta_{n}$ be diagonal functions of $T$ and $\varphi:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]$ be a nondecreasing surjective function. If $\varphi$ is an invariant of the transformation of the $t$-norm $T$, then $\varphi \circ \delta_{n}(x)=\delta_{n} \circ \varphi(x)$ for all $x \in[0,1], n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Since the function $\varphi$ is a nondecreasing surjection, the original t-norm is formed by the transformation iff $\varphi(T(x, y))=T(\varphi(x), \varphi(y))$. Hence $\varphi \circ \delta_{n}(x)=\delta_{n} \circ \varphi(x)$ for all $x \in[0,1]$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

The following theorems show a further relation between diagonal functions, actually additive generators of t -norms, and invariant transformation.

Theorem 3.3. [2] Let $T:[0,1]^{2} \rightarrow[0,1]$ be a strict $t$-norm. We further assume a function $\varphi:[0,1] \rightarrow$ $[0,1]$. If $\varphi \in \Delta_{T}$, then the original t-norm is formed by the $\varphi$-transformation.
Proof. Since the function $\varphi$ is bijective, equation $\varphi\left(T_{\varphi}(x, y)\right)=T(\varphi(x), \varphi(y))$ is fulfilled. By the assumption $\varphi \in \Delta_{T}$, we will further write only $\delta_{n}\left(T_{\varphi}(x, y)\right)=T\left(\delta_{n}(x), \delta_{n}(y)\right)$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The proof of the equation $T_{\varphi}=T$ will proceed by induction on $n$.

1. For $n=1$, the equation holds trivially. For $n=2$, we assume that there exists some $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right) \in$ $[0,1]^{2}$ such that $T\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right) \neq T_{\varphi}\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$. However, then

$$
T\left(T\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right), T\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)\right)=\delta_{2}\left(T\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)\right) \neq T\left(\delta_{2}\left(x_{0}\right), \delta_{2}\left(y_{0}\right)\right)=T\left(T\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right), T\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)\right),
$$

which is a contradiction (in the previous step we use associativity of $T$ and the fact that the function $\delta_{n}^{-1}$ is increasing). Thus $T_{\varphi}=T$ for the transformation by the function $\delta_{2}$.
2. Now we assume that the equation holds for $\delta_{1}, \ldots, \delta_{n}$ and we prove that it holds also for $\delta_{n+1}$. We get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{\varphi}(x, y)=\delta_{n+1}^{-1}\left(T\left(\delta_{n+1}(x), \delta_{n+1}(y)\right)\right) \Rightarrow \delta_{n+1}\left(T_{\varphi}(x, y)\right)=T\left(\delta_{n+1}(x), \delta_{n+1}(y)\right) \Rightarrow \\
& T\left(\delta_{n}\left(T_{\varphi}(x, y)\right), T_{\varphi}(x, y)\right)=T\left(T\left(\delta_{n}(x), x\right), T\left(\delta_{n}(y), y\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

From the induction assumption and associativity of the t -norm $T$ it follows

$$
T\left(T\left(\delta_{n}(x), \delta_{n}(y)\right), T_{\varphi}(x, y)\right)=T\left(T\left(\delta_{n}(x), \delta_{n}(y)\right), T(x, y)\right) .
$$

Since the t -norm $T$ is strict, equation $T_{\varphi}=T$ holds true.
The original t -norm is thus formed by the transformation via diagonal functions.
Theorem 3.4. [2] Let $T:[0,1]^{2} \rightarrow[0,1]$ be a continuous Archimedean $t$-norm and $f:[0,1] \rightarrow[0, \infty]$ be additive the generator of this $t$-norm. Further let us consider a bijective function $\varphi:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]$. Then the original t-norm is formed by the $\varphi$-transformation iff there exists $\alpha>0$ such as $\alpha f(x)=$ $f \circ \varphi(x)$ (Schröder's equation).
Proof. $(\Leftarrow)$ The transformed t -norm $T_{\varphi}$ is given by

$$
T_{\varphi}(x, y)=\varphi^{-1}[T(\varphi(x), \varphi(y))]=\varphi^{-1} \circ f^{-1}(\min \{f \circ \varphi(x)+f \circ \varphi(y), f(0)\}) .
$$

Since the t-norm $T_{\varphi}$ is a continuous Archimedean t -norm, its additive generator $g$ is given by $g(x)=$ $f \circ \varphi(x)$. There exists $\alpha>0$, such that $g(x)=\alpha f(x)$, and hence $f$ and $g$ differ only by a positive multiplicative constant. The generator $g$ is thus also a generator of the t -norm $T$, and consequently $T_{\varphi}=T$.
$(\Rightarrow)$ Now we assume $T_{\varphi}(x, y)=T(x, y)$ for all $(x, y) \in[0,1]^{2}$, thus

$$
T_{\varphi}(x, y)=\varphi^{-1}[T(\varphi(x), \varphi(y))]=T(x, y) .
$$

The additive generator of the t -norm $T_{\varphi}$ is given by $g(x)=f \circ \varphi(x)$, but since both the t -norms are equal, there exists some $\alpha>0$ such that $f \circ \varphi(x)=\alpha f(x)$.

All bijective functions $\varphi$ on the unit interval, whose transformation form the original t-norm, determine a group of automorphisms $\operatorname{Aut}(T)$. This group for archimedean t-norms is described by Theorem 3.4 .

## 4 Conclusion

This paper shows some conditions under which the $\varphi$-transformations of the t-norms and uninorms are invariant. Due to restricted space we skip most of the proofs. But we plan to generalize these results and write a more detailed article.
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