# Invariants of $\varphi$ -transformations of uninorms and t-norms

Vojtěch Havlena \*† Dana Hliněná \*‡

**Abstract:** The paper deals with triangular norms and uninorms, and their constructions. Specifically, we study  $\varphi$ -transformations and their invariants. The work contains selected results of author's work in the student competition SVOČ.

Keywords: *t-norm, uninorm,*  $\varphi$ -*transformation, invariant* 

### **1** Preliminaries

The main topic of this article is a special type of constructions of triangular norms and uninorms. First we recall some important definitions and statements.

**Definition 1.1.** [3] A triangular norm T (t-norm for short) is a commutative, associative, monotone binary operator on the unit interval [0,1], fulfilling the boundary condition T(x,1) = x, for all  $x \in [0,1]$ .

Uninorms were introduced by Yager and Rybalov in 1996 as a generalization of triangular norms and conorms [7].

**Definition 1.2.** [7] An associative, commutative and increasing operation  $U : [0,1]^2 \rightarrow [0,1]$  is called a uninorm, if there exists  $e \in [0,1]$ , called the neutral element of U, such that

$$U(e, x) = U(x, e) = x$$
 for all  $x \in [0, 1]$ .

There exist various constructions of t-norms, and we will deal with a method of constructing t-norms which gives the new t-norm from a previously known t-norm and a unary function  $\varphi$ .

**Proposition 1.3.** [3] Let  $\varphi : [0,1] \to [0,1]$  be a non-decreasing function and  $T : [0,1]^2 \to [0,1]$  be a *t*-norm. Then the function defined by

$$T_{\varphi}(x,y) = \begin{cases} \min\{x,y\}, & \text{if } \max\{x,y\} = 1, \\ \varphi^{(-1)}[T(\varphi(x),\varphi(y))], & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

is a t-norm. Note, that  $\varphi^{(-1)}$  is a pseudo-inverse, which is a monotone extension of the ordinary inverse function and  $\varphi^{(-1)}(x) = \sup\{z \in [0,1]; \varphi(z) < x\}.$ 

We can similarly construct uninorms:

**Proposition 1.4.** [2] Let  $\varphi : [0,1] \to [0,1]$  be a continuous, bijective function, and let there exist e' such that  $e' = \varphi^{-1}(e)$ , where e is the neutral element of a given uninorm U. Then the function

$$U_{\varphi}(x,y) = \varphi^{-1}[U_e(\varphi(x),\varphi(y))]$$

is a uninorm with the neutral element e'.

<sup>\*</sup>Brno University of Technology, Faculty of Information Technology, Brno, Czech Republic

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>xhavle03@stud.fit.vutbr.cz

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup>hlinena@feec.vutbr.cz

In this paper we will discuss the invariants of  $\varphi$ -transformation of t-norms and uninorms. It means, we will look for the uninorms and the bijective functions  $\varphi$  such that

$$\varphi(U(x,y)) = U(\varphi(x),\varphi(y)).$$

Finally, we include some necessary notions.

**Definition 1.5.** [3] Let  $T : [0,1]^2 \rightarrow [0,1]$  be a t-norm. Then a function  $\delta_n : [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$  defined as

$$\delta_1(x)=x,\quad \delta_{n+1}(x)=T(\delta_n(x),x),\quad \textit{for }x\in[0,1],n\in\mathbb{N},$$

is called the diagonal function of a t-norm T. The set of all diagonal functions of given t-norm T is denoted as  $\Delta_T = \{\delta_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$ 

**Definition 1.6.** A t-norm T is called Archimedean if it has the Archimedean property, i.e., if for each x, y in the open interval (0, 1) there is a natural number n such that  $\delta_n \leq y$ .

In this paper we deal with a specific class of uninorms, called simple uninorms.

**Definition 1.7.** [2] A uninorm  $U : [0,1]^2 \to [0,1]$  is called simple, if there exists left or right neighborhood of y for every  $(x, y) \in [0, e) \times (e, 1]$ , where uninorm U has constant values, i.e.

$$\forall (x,y) \in [0,e) \times (e,1], \forall y_1, y_2 \in U_{\varepsilon}^+(y) : U(x,y_1) = U(x,y_2) \quad (U_{\varepsilon}^-(y)).$$

## **2** Invariants of transformation on the set $[0, e) \times (e, 1]$

In our investigation of invariants of uninorm transformations we start with the set  $[0, e) \times (e, 1]$ .

**Definition 2.1.** [2] Let  $U : [0,1]^2 \to [0,1]$  be a uninorm with the neutral element *e*. Then we define  $S(U) = \{(a_i, b_i) \times (c_i, d_i); i = 1, \dots, n; n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  as a system of the sets, such that

$$\forall J \in S(U) \text{ and } \forall (x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \in J : U(x_1, y_1) = U(x_2, y_2).$$

Moreover for every J must exists  $\alpha_J \in H(J)$ , such that

 $\forall p \in D(J) : U(p, \alpha_J) \neq U(x, \alpha_J), \text{ where } x \in [0, e) \setminus D(J).$ 

**Definition 2.2.** [2] Let  $U : [0,1]^2 \to [0,1]$  be a uninorm with the neutral element e. Then we define the set  $M_x(U) = \{(a_1, b_1), \dots, (a_n, b_n)\}$  as a set of x-coordinate discontinuities of uninorm U on  $[0, e) \times (e, 1]$ . Similarly we define the set of y-coordinate discontinuities as  $M_y(U)$ .

The following theorem deals with the properties of transformation function  $\varphi$  in the discontinuity points of given uninorm.

**Theorem 2.3.** [2] Let  $U : [0,1]^2 \to [0,1]$  be a simple uninorm and  $M_x(U)$  be a finite set of x-coordinate discontinuities of uninorm U. Further we consider nondecreasing bijection  $\varphi : [0,1] \to [0,1]$ . Then if the original uninorm is formed by the  $\varphi$ -transformation, then  $\forall (a_i, b_i) \in M_x(U) : \varphi(a_i) = a_i$ .

The proof is based on an examination of the cases  $\varphi(a_i) > a_i$  and  $\varphi(a_i) < a_i$ . Note that in a very similar way we can prove this statement for the set  $M_y(U)$ , i.e, that  $\forall(x,y) \in M_y(U) : \varphi(y) = y$ . The following example shows the importance of finiteness of the set  $M_x(U)$  from the previous theorem.

**Example 2.4.** Let us consider continuous bijective function  $f : [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$  given by following formula

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \sqrt[3]{\frac{x}{4}} & \text{if } x \le \frac{1}{2}, \\ x & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Further more consider a uninorm  $U^*: [0,1]^2 \to [0,1]$  with neutral element  $e = \frac{1}{2}$  given as:

$$U^{*}(x,y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \min\{x,y\} > \frac{1}{2}, \\ \min\{x,y\} & \text{if } \max\{x,y\} = \frac{1}{2}, \\ \max\{x,y\} & \text{if } \min\{x,y\} = \frac{1}{2}, \\ f^{i+1}\left(\frac{1}{4}\right) & \text{if } \max\{x,y\} > \frac{1}{2} \text{ and} \\ & \min\{x,y\} \in (f^{i}\left(\frac{1}{4}\right), f^{i+1}\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)] \text{ for } i \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We study transformation given by the function  $\varphi = f$ . Here we show only the most interesting case of proving the invariance. Therefore we assume  $x \in (\varphi^i(\frac{1}{4}), \varphi^{i+1}(\frac{1}{4})], y \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ . Then

$$U^*(\varphi(x),\varphi(y)) = \varphi^{i+2}\left(\frac{1}{4}\right) = \varphi \circ \varphi^{i+1}\left(\frac{1}{4}\right) = \varphi(U^*(x,y)).$$

Other cases could be proved similarly. The uninorm  $U^*$  with the function  $\varphi$  give us an example of a  $\varphi$ -transformation, in which the fixed points of the function  $\varphi$  in discontinuities of  $U^*$  are not necessary for invariant.

**Theorem 2.5.** [2] Let  $U : [0,1]^2 \to [0,1]$  be a simple uninorm and  $\varphi : [0,1] \to [0,1]$  be a continuous bijective function. If the original uninorm is formed by the  $\varphi$ -transformation, then

$$\forall J \in S(U) : \varphi(\sup J_x) = \sup J_x \text{ and } \varphi(\inf J_x) = \inf J_x.$$

*Proof.* The proof is based on generating the set M(U) using an iteration of the function  $\varphi$ . Since the set S(U) is finite, the set M(U) is finite as well and hence there exists a fixed point of the function  $\varphi$  at the points  $J_x$  and  $\sup J_x$  for  $J \in S(U)$ .

**Corollary 2.6.** [2] Let  $U : [0,1]^2 \to [0,1]$  be a simple uninorm,  $\varphi : [0,1] \to [0,1]$  be a continuous bijective function and  $M_y(U)$  be a finite set. If the original uninorm is formed by the  $\varphi$ -transformation, then the interval (e, 1] can be divided into subintervals  $I_i = (y_i, y_{i+1}]$  for which  $\varphi(y_i) = y_i$  holds.

**Theorem 2.7.** [2] Let  $U : [0,1]^2 \to [0,1]$  be a simple uninorm,  $I_i = (y_i, y_{i+1}]$  be sub-intervals from Corollary 2.6 and a function  $\varphi : [0,1] \to [0,1]$  be a continuous bijection for which  $\varphi(y_i) = y_i$  holds. Further we assume a function  $\psi_i(x) = U(x, y_i)$  for  $x \in [0, e)$  and  $y \in I_i$ . Then the original uninorm on the set  $[0, e) \times (e, 1]$  is formed by the  $\varphi$ -transformation iff

$$\varphi \circ \psi_i(x) = \psi_i \circ \varphi(x), \quad \forall x \in [0, e), i \le n,$$
(1)

where *n* is the number of intervals.

*Proof.* We use the definition of a  $\varphi$ -transformation and the previous corollary. In short we get

$$\varphi \circ \psi_i(x) = \psi_i \circ \varphi(x) \Leftrightarrow \varphi(U(x,y)) = U(\varphi(x),y) \Leftrightarrow \varphi(U(x,y)) = U(\varphi(x),\varphi(y))$$

for  $x < e, y \in I_i$ .

If we denote a set of all functions  $\varphi$ , satisfying equation (1) as  $\mathcal{F}_i$ , then a set of all functions  $\mathcal{F}_{\varphi}$  forming the original uninorm by the  $\varphi$ -transformation on the set  $[0, e) \times (e, 1]$  is given as follows

$$\varphi \in \mathcal{F}_i \Leftrightarrow \varphi \circ \psi_i(x) = \psi_i \circ \varphi(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{F}_{\varphi} = \bigcap_{i=0}^n \mathcal{F}_i$$

In the following text we deal with solving the functional equation (1). Functions satisfying this equation are called as permutable functions.

#### 2.1 Chebyshev polynomials

The first partial solution of equation (1) is composed of Chebyshev polynomials.

**Definition 2.8.** [6] Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind  $T_n$  are defined by

 $T_0(x) = 1, T_1(x) = x, T_{n+1}(x) = 2xT_n(x) - T_{n-1}(x), \text{ for } n > 0.$ 

Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind  $U_n$  are defined by

$$U_0(x) = 1, U_1(x) = 2x, U_{n+1}(x) = 2xU_n(x) - U_{n-1}(x), \text{ for } n > 0.$$

**Theorem 2.9.** [5] Let  $T_n$  be the Chebyshev polynomial of the first kind, then for  $x \ge 0$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\alpha = \arccos(x)$  is  $T_n(\cos \alpha) = \cos(n\alpha)$ .

**Theorem 2.10.** [5] The roots of the polynomial  $T_n(U_n)$  are given by

$$x_k = \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\frac{2k-1}{n}\right), \quad \left(x_k = \cos\left(\pi\frac{k}{n+1}\right)\right), \quad \text{for } k \in \{1, \dots, n\}.$$

**Theorem 2.11.** [5] Let  $T_n$  be the Chebyshev polynomial of the first kind. Then its derivative is as follows

$$T_n'(x) = nU_{n-1}(x),$$

where  $U_{n-1}$  is Chebyshev polynomial of the second kind.

We will now look for such Chebyshev polynomials which are continuous and increasing on [0, e] and  $T_n(0) = 0$ ,  $T_n(e) = e$ , for  $e \in (0, 1)$  and  $T_n(x) \ge x$  for  $x \in [0, e]$ .

**Investigation.** From  $T_n(0) = 0$  we get  $T_n(0) = -T_{n-2}(0) = 0$ . More, 4|(n-1). From  $T_n(e) = e \in (0,1)$  we get

$$e = \cos(n \arccos(e)) \Leftrightarrow n \arccos(e) = 2k\pi \pm \arccos(e) \Leftrightarrow$$
$$n = \frac{2k\pi \pm \arccos(e)}{\arccos(e)} = \frac{2k\pi}{\arccos(e)} \pm 1, \text{ for } k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Therefore

$$\frac{\pi}{\arccos(e)} \in \mathbb{Q}$$

For fulfillment of other conditions we will look for  $x_{e_1}$ , which is the smallest positive nonzero point at which the polynomial  $T_n$  attains its local maximum and  $x_{e_1} > e$  and  $T_n(x_{e_1}) = 1$ . Directly from the previous theorem we get:

**Theorem 2.12.** Let  $T_n$  be Chebyshev polynomial of the first kind. Then the local extremes are in the points  $x_e$  which are given by:

$$x_e = \cos\left(\frac{k\pi}{n}\right), \quad k \in \{1, \dots, n\}.$$

*Remark:* If we consider only polynomials that satisfy the above conditions, then the smallest positive point giving a local maximum is:

$$x_{e_0} = \cos\left(\frac{n-1}{2n}\pi\right).$$

And now we find the smallest point  $e \in (0, 1)$  such that  $T_n(e) = e$ . Then

$$e = \cos(n \arccos(e)) \Leftrightarrow n \arccos(e) = 2k\pi \pm \arccos(e) \Leftrightarrow e = \cos\left(\frac{2k\pi}{n\pm 1}\right).$$

This equality is satisfied for  $k \in \{1, ..., \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor\}$  and for higher k it s the same up to sign. Summarizing the previous we get:

$$\cos\left(\frac{n-1}{2n}\pi\right) > \left|\cos\left(\frac{2k\pi}{n\pm 1}\right)\right|.$$

Since the cosine function is decreasing in the interval  $(0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ , we get

$$\left|\frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{n-1}{2n}\pi\right| > \left|\frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{2k\pi}{n\pm 1}\right| \Leftrightarrow \frac{n-1}{2n}\pi < \frac{2k\pi}{n\pm 1}.$$

From the previous investigation we have  $k = \lfloor \frac{n}{4} \rfloor$  and

$$\frac{n-1}{2n}\pi < \frac{2\pi}{n+1}\left\lfloor\frac{n}{4}\right\rfloor \Leftrightarrow (n-1)(n+1) < 4n\left\lfloor\frac{n}{4}\right\rfloor = 4n\frac{n-1}{4}.$$

This inequality is satisfied only for n = 1. There are no Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind, which would suit our conditions.

#### 2.2 Function iteration

Other particular solution of functional equation (1) is closely related to the iteration of functions [4]. In the following text we denote by  $\mathcal{F}$  the set of all nondecreasing functions  $f : [0, e] \to [0, e]$  satisfying the conditions  $f(x) \ge x$ , f(0) = 0 and f(e) = e.

The following lemma and corollaries explain methods of construction permutable functions.

**Lemma 2.13.** [2] Let g and  $f : X \to X$  be permutable functions (i.e.  $f \circ g(x) = g \circ f(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ ). We further assume nondecreasing (nonincreasing) surjective function  $\lambda : X \to X$ . Then the functions

$$\Phi(x) = \lambda^{(-1)} \circ f \circ \lambda(x) \quad and \quad \Psi(x) = \lambda^{(-1)} \circ g \circ \lambda(x),$$

where  $\lambda^{(-1)}$  is pseudoinverse function to  $\lambda$ , form a pair of permutable functions.

*Proof.* Since the function  $\lambda$  is a nondecreasing (nonincreasing) surjection, the equality  $\lambda \circ \lambda^{(-1)}(x) = x$  is satisfied. Which means that

$$\begin{split} \Phi \circ \Psi(x) &= \lambda^{(-1)} \circ f \circ \lambda \circ \lambda^{(-1)} \circ g \circ \lambda(x) = \lambda^{(-1)} \circ f \circ g \circ \lambda(x) \\ &= \lambda^{(-1)} \circ g \circ f \circ \lambda(x) = \lambda^{(-1)} \circ g \circ \lambda \circ \lambda^{(-1)} \circ f \circ \lambda(x) = \Psi \circ \Phi(x). \end{split}$$

*Note.* Although the function  $\lambda$  can be in general nonincreasing as well, in the following text we consider only the nondecreasing case due to our restrictions to permutable functions.

**Corollary 2.14.** [2] Let f and g be permutable functions and moreover  $f, g \in \mathcal{F}$ . Further we assume a nondecreasing surjective function  $\lambda : [0, e] \to [0, e]$ . Then the functions

$$\Phi(x) = \lambda^{(-1)} \circ f \circ \lambda(x), \quad \Psi(x) = \lambda^{(-1)} \circ g \circ \lambda(x)$$

form a pair of permutable functions, and moreover  $\Phi, \Psi \in \mathcal{F}$ .

**Corollary 2.15.** [2] Let f be a function such that  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ . We further assume a nondecreasing surjective function  $\lambda : [0, e] \rightarrow [0, e]$ , and functions  $\Phi_n(x) = \lambda^{(-1)} \circ f^n \circ \lambda(x)$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Then the functions  $\Phi_i$  and  $\Phi_j$ , for  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}_0$  form a pair of permutable functions and moreover  $\Phi_i, \Phi_j \in \mathcal{F}$ .

The proof of the current and previous corollary is based on certain properties of function iteration and on properties of pseudoinverse functions.

In a search for permutable functions we can as well draw from existing functions as it is shown in the following example.

**Example 2.16.** Consider a t-conorm restricted to the set  $[0, e]^2$ , i.e.

$$S_e(x,y) = eS\left(\frac{x}{e}, \frac{y}{e}\right), \quad for (x,y) \in [0,e]^2,$$

and its diagonal functions  $\delta_n^*$ . Then  $\delta_m^* \circ \delta_n^* = \delta_n^* \circ \delta_m^*$  for  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  [1]. More specifically, consider restriction of t-conorm probabilistic sum

$$S_e(x,y) = x + y - \frac{xy}{e}, \text{ for } (x,y) \in [0,e]^2,$$

and the diagonal functions  $\delta_2^*$  and  $\delta_3^*$  given by

$$\delta_2^*(x) = x \left(2 - \frac{x}{e}\right), \quad \delta_3^*(x) = x \left(3 - \frac{3x}{e} + \frac{x^2}{e^2}\right).$$

Then  $\delta_2^*(x) \circ \delta_3^*(x) = \delta_3^*(x) \circ \delta_2^*(x)$  for all  $x \in [0, e]$ .

### **3** Invariant transformation of t-norms

As mentioned before, uninorms are generalizations of t-norms. Hence in this section we deal with an invariant transformation of t-norms. Before we introduce the necessary condition for invariant transformations, we demonstrate a  $\varphi$ -transformation via the diagonal function  $\delta_n$  of Frank t-norms.

**Example 3.1.** Frank t-norms are defined by [3]:

$$T_{p}^{F}(x,y) = \begin{cases} T_{M}(x,y) & \text{if } p = 0, \\ T_{P}(x,y) & \text{if } p = 1, \\ T_{L}(x,y) & \text{if } p = +\infty, \\ \log_{p} \left(1 + \frac{(p^{x}-1)(p^{y}-1)}{p-1}\right) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The diagonal function for minimum t-norm is given by  $\delta_{n,0}(x) = x$ . Invariance is thus apparent in this case. The diagonal function for product t-norm  $T_P(x, y) = xy$  is defined by  $\delta_{n,1}(x) = x^n$ . After transformation we obtain  $(xy)^n = x^n y^n$ . Invariance is thus again maintained.

The diagonal function for Łukasiewicz t-norm  $T_L(x, y) = \max\{0, x + y - 1\}$  is  $\delta_{n,\infty}$  given by  $\delta_{n,\infty}(x) = \varphi(x) = \max\{0, nx - n + 1\}$ . Invariance is again maintained, as can be seen by substitution. For the other cases the diagonal functions  $\delta_{n,p}$  are as follows:

$$\delta_{n,p}(x) = \varphi(x) = \log_p \left( 1 + \frac{(p^x - 1)^n}{(p - 1)^{n-1}} \right).$$

Then the transformation looks as follows

$$T_p^F(\varphi(x),\varphi(y)) = \log_p\left(1 + \frac{(p^x - 1)^n (p^y - 1)^n}{(p - 1)^{2n - 1}}\right)$$

$$\varphi(T_p^F(x,y)) = \log_p\left(1 + \frac{\left(\frac{(p^x-1)(p^y-1)}{p-1}\right)^n}{(p-1)^{n-1}}\right) = \log_p\left(1 + \frac{(p^x-1)^n(p^y-1)^n}{(p-1)^{2n-1}}\right)$$

and thus  $T_p^F(\varphi(x), \varphi(y)) = \varphi(T_p^F(x, y))$ . This altgother means, that the invariance towards transformation by the diagonal functions, is maintained for the class of Frank t-norms.

Now we can introduce the aforementioned necessary condition of invariance.

**Theorem 3.2.** [2] (Necessary condition of invariance) Let  $T : [0,1]^2 \to [0,1]$  be a t-norm,  $\delta_n$  be diagonal functions of T and  $\varphi : [0,1] \to [0,1]$  be a nondecreasing surjective function. If  $\varphi$  is an invariant of the transformation of the t-norm T, then  $\varphi \circ \delta_n(x) = \delta_n \circ \varphi(x)$  for all  $x \in [0,1]$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof.* Since the function  $\varphi$  is a nondecreasing surjection, the original t-norm is formed by the transformation iff  $\varphi(T(x,y)) = T(\varphi(x),\varphi(y))$ . Hence  $\varphi \circ \delta_n(x) = \delta_n \circ \varphi(x)$  for all  $x \in [0,1]$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .  $\Box$ 

The following theorems show a further relation between diagonal functions, actually additive generators of t-norms, and invariant transformation.

**Theorem 3.3.** [2] Let  $T : [0,1]^2 \to [0,1]$  be a strict t-norm. We further assume a function  $\varphi : [0,1] \to [0,1]$ . If  $\varphi \in \Delta_T$ , then the original t-norm is formed by the  $\varphi$ -transformation.

*Proof.* Since the function  $\varphi$  is bijective, equation  $\varphi(T_{\varphi}(x, y)) = T(\varphi(x), \varphi(y))$  is fulfilled. By the assumption  $\varphi \in \Delta_T$ , we will further write only  $\delta_n(T_{\varphi}(x, y)) = T(\delta_n(x), \delta_n(y))$ , for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . The proof of the equation  $T_{\varphi} = T$  will proceed by induction on n.

1. For n = 1, the equation holds trivially. For n = 2, we assume that there exists some  $(x_0, y_0) \in [0, 1]^2$  such that  $T(x_0, y_0) \neq T_{\varphi}(x_0, y_0)$ . However, then

 $T(T(x_0, y_0), T(x_0, y_0)) = \delta_2(T(x_0, y_0)) \neq T(\delta_2(x_0), \delta_2(y_0)) = T(T(x_0, y_0), T(x_0, y_0)),$ 

which is a contradiction (in the previous step we use associativity of T and the fact that the function  $\delta_n^{-1}$  is increasing). Thus  $T_{\varphi} = T$  for the transformation by the function  $\delta_2$ .

2. Now we assume that the equation holds for  $\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_n$  and we prove that it holds also for  $\delta_{n+1}$ . We get

$$\begin{split} T_{\varphi}(x,y) &= \delta_{n+1}^{-1}(T(\delta_{n+1}(x),\delta_{n+1}(y))) \Rightarrow \delta_{n+1}(T_{\varphi}(x,y)) = T(\delta_{n+1}(x),\delta_{n+1}(y)) \Rightarrow \\ T(\delta_n(T_{\varphi}(x,y)),T_{\varphi}(x,y)) &= T(T(\delta_n(x),x),T(\delta_n(y),y)). \end{split}$$

From the induction assumption and associativity of the t-norm T it follows

$$T(T(\delta_n(x), \delta_n(y)), T_{\varphi}(x, y)) = T(T(\delta_n(x), \delta_n(y)), T(x, y)).$$

Since the t-norm T is strict, equation  $T_{\varphi} = T$  holds true.

The original t-norm is thus formed by the transformation via diagonal functions.

**Theorem 3.4.** [2] Let  $T : [0,1]^2 \to [0,1]$  be a continuous Archimedean t-norm and  $f : [0,1] \to [0,\infty]$ be additive the generator of this t-norm. Further let us consider a bijective function  $\varphi : [0,1] \to [0,1]$ . Then the original t-norm is formed by the  $\varphi$ -transformation iff there exists  $\alpha > 0$  such as  $\alpha f(x) = f \circ \varphi(x)$  (Schröder's equation).

*Proof.* ( $\Leftarrow$ ) The transformed t-norm  $T_{\varphi}$  is given by

$$T_{\varphi}(x,y) = \varphi^{-1}[T(\varphi(x),\varphi(y))] = \varphi^{-1} \circ f^{-1}(\min\{f \circ \varphi(x) + f \circ \varphi(y), f(0)\}).$$

Since the t-norm  $T_{\varphi}$  is a continuous Archimedean t-norm, its additive generator g is given by  $g(x) = f \circ \varphi(x)$ . There exists  $\alpha > 0$ , such that  $g(x) = \alpha f(x)$ , and hence f and g differ only by a positive multiplicative constant. The generator g is thus also a generator of the t-norm T, and consequently  $T_{\varphi} = T$ .

 $(\Rightarrow)$  Now we assume  $T_{\varphi}(x,y) = T(x,y)$  for all  $(x,y) \in [0,1]^2$ , thus

$$T_{\varphi}(x,y) = \varphi^{-1}[T(\varphi(x),\varphi(y))] = T(x,y).$$

The additive generator of the t-norm  $T_{\varphi}$  is given by  $g(x) = f \circ \varphi(x)$ , but since both the t-norms are equal, there exists some  $\alpha > 0$  such that  $f \circ \varphi(x) = \alpha f(x)$ .

All bijective functions  $\varphi$  on the unit interval, whose transformation form the original t-norm, determine a group of automorphisms Aut(T). This group for archimedean t-norms is described by Theorem 3.4.

### 4 Conclusion

This paper shows some conditions under which the  $\varphi$ -transformations of the t-norms and uninorms are invariant. Due to restricted space we skip most of the proofs. But we plan to generalize these results and write a more detailed article.

### References

- [1] C. Alsina, B. Schweizer, and M. Frank. *Associative Functions: Triangular Norms and Copulas*. World Scientific, 2006.
- [2] V. Havlena. Uninorm transformation (in czech). In SVOČ 2014 Soutěž studentů vysokých škol ve vědecké činnosti v matematice, page 9, Ústí nad Labem, 2014. Univerzita J. E. Purkyně.
- [3] E. Klement, R. Mesiar, and E. Pap. *Triangular Norms*. Trends in logic, Studia logica library. Springer, 2000.
- [4] M. Kuczma, B. Choczewski, and R. Ger. *Iterative Functional Equations*. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications. Cambridge University Press, 1990.
- [5] T. Rivlin. The Chebyshev polynomials. Pure and applied mathematics. Wiley, 1974.
- [6] G. J. Tee. Permutable polynomials and rational functions. January 2007.
- [7] R. R. Yager and A. Rybalov. Uninorm aggregation operators. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 80:111–120, 1996. Fuzzy Modeling.