## Quantum logics and two-dimensional states

Eva Drobná<sup>1</sup>, Oľga Nánásiová<sup>2</sup> and Ľubica Valášková<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Informatics, Armed Forces Academy of General Milan Rastislav Štefánik, Demänová 393, 031 01 Liptovský Mikuláš, Slovakia eva.drobna@aos.sk

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Civil Engineering, Slovak University of Technology, Radlinského 11, 813 68 Bratislava, Slovakia nanasiova@math.sk valaskova@math.sk

In our contribution we will deal with the characterization of orthomodular lattices by means of special types of two-dimensional states G. Under special marginal conditions a two-dimensional state G can operate as, for example, infimum measure, supremum measure or symmetric difference measure for two elements of an orthomodular lattice [2, 3, 5].

To model noncompatible events, a quantum logic was chosen among various algebraic structures as the suitable one. We can give a characterization of a center in various types of quantum logics by means of special two-dimensional states defined on them. Any quantum logic can be described as a union of blocks (a block in a given quantum logic  $\mathcal{L}$  is the maximal Boolean subalgebra of  $\mathcal{L}$ ) [6]. Center  $C(\mathcal{L})$  of a quantum logic  $\mathcal{L}$  is its Boolean subalgebra of elements compatible with all other elements of L. Each quantum logic  $\mathcal{L}$  has a center that can be taken as a common part of its blocks. We study three types of quantum logics:

- (T1) a quantum logic  $\mathcal{L}$  as a horizontal sum of k maximal Boolean algebras (blocks);
- (T2) a quantum logic  $\mathcal{L}$  created from two blocks with non trivial center;
- (T3) a quantum logic  $\mathcal{L}$  with nontrivial center as a union of k blocks  $\mathcal{B}_i, i \leq k$ , where  $\mathcal{B}_i \cap \mathcal{B}_j \subset C(\mathcal{L})$  for  $i \neq j$ .

Let us realize the process of investigation of two events A, B, each of them expressed as  $A = \{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}, B = \{b_1, \ldots, b_k\}$ , according to its organization. How to face the situation, when simple events  $a_i, b_j$  cannot be verified simultaneously, but, despite this fact, we are able to obtain some information about  $a_i$  while one of  $b_j$  does not come into being? Videlicet, how to deal with  $f(a_i|b_j^{\perp})$  or  $f(b_j|a_i^{\perp})$ ? For that reason we effort to find a basic structure created by these observations (e.g. whether some "property levels" of A, B are the same). More precisely let A, B be orthogonal partitions of unit  $1_L$ . Let us denote  $B^{\perp} = \{b_1^{\perp}, \ldots, b_k^{\perp}\}$  and  $A^{\perp} = \{a_1^{\perp}, \ldots, a_n^{\perp}\}$ . Then

$$P(A, B^{\perp}) = \begin{pmatrix} p(a_1, b_1^{\perp}) & \cdots & p(a_1, b_k^{\perp}) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ p(a_n, b_1^{\perp}) & \cdots & p(a_n, b_k^{\perp}) \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $p(a_i, b_j^{\perp}) = m(b_j)m(a_i|b_j^{\perp})$ , i = 1, ..., n and j = 1, ..., k. By analogy we get  $P(B, A^{\perp})$ . Let us denote  $p_s = 0.5(p(a, b) + p(b, a))$ . In [4], inter alia, it has been proved that  $p_s$  is an s-map and  $p_s(a, b) = p_s(b, a)$  for any  $a, b \in L$  and, moreover,  $p(a, a) = p_s(a, a)$  for each  $a \in L$ . As  $d_p(a_i, b_j) = p(a_i, b_j^{\perp}) + p(a_i^{\perp}, b_j)$ , the matrix

$$D_{p_s}(A, B) = \frac{1}{2}(P(A, B^{\perp}) + P(B, A^{\perp})^T)$$

is the matrix for the function  $d_{p_s}$ . The sum of  $d_{p_s}$  throughout all levels gives us basic information about given structure [1]. For example if  $S_{d_{p_s}}$  is not integer number then A, B do not create one Boolean algebra. Conversely if  $S_{d_{p_s}} \in N$ , it does not mean then A and B belong to one Boolean algebra.

## Acknowledgment

This work was supported by grant agency VEGA under the contracts No. 1/0103/10 and No. 1/0297/11.

## References

- Drobná, E., Nánásiová O., Valášková Ľ., Quantum logics and bivariable functions, Kybernetika, 46 (6), 2010, 982-995
- [2] Nánásiová O., Principle Conditioning, Int. Journ. Of Theor. Physc., Vol. 43, 2004, 1383-1395
- [3] Nánásiová O., Map for Simultaneus Measurements for a Quantum Logic, Int. J. Theor. Phys., 42, 2003, 1889-1903
- [4] Nánásiová O., Trokanová K., Żembery I., Commutative and non commutative smaps. Forum Statisticum Slovacum 2, 2007, 172-177
- [5] Nánásiová O., Valášková Ľ., Maps on a quantum logic. Soft Computing Vol. 14, 2010, 1047-1052
- [6] Pták P., Pulmannová S., Quantum Logics Kluwer Acad. Press, Bratislava 1991.