Categories of orthosets and maps possessing an adjoint

Thomas Vetterlein

Institute for Mathematical Methods in Medicine und Data-Based Modelling Johannes Kepler University (Linz, Austria)

Joint work with Jan Paseka and Bert Lindenhovius

Summer School on General Algebra and Ordered Sets, Stará Lesná, 3 September 2023







This work is supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF): project I 4579-N.

Orthogonality – the omnipresent notion

Motivation

In the Hilbert space model of quantum physics, the one-dimensional subspaces correspond to the pure states.

The collection of one-dimensional subspaces comes along with the relation \perp of orthogonality.

Given nothing but \perp , we are able to reconstruct the Hilbert space model. So we may ask: what is this binary relation like?

FOULIS's suggestion

The minimalist program: develop the theory of sets endowed with a symmetric and irreflexive binary relation.

J. R. Dacey, "Orthomodular spaces", Ph.D. Thesis, 1968.

Orthosets

Definition

An orthoset (with 0) is a set X together with a binary relation \perp and a constant 0 such that:

- \perp is symmetric,
- $e \perp e$ if and only if e = 0,
- $0 \perp e$ for any $e \in X$.

The guiding examples

Let H be a Hilbert space.

- H, together with \perp and the zero vector 0, is an orthoset.
- Let $P(H) = \{\langle x \rangle : x \in H\}$ and let $\langle x \rangle \perp \langle y \rangle$ if $x \perp y$. Then P(H), together with \perp and the zero subspace $\{0\}$, is an orthoset.

For a subset A of an orthoset X, let

$$A^{\perp} = \{ x \in X \colon x \perp a \text{ for all } a \in A \}.$$

Then $\mathscr{P}(X) \to \mathscr{P}(X), A \mapsto A^{\perp \perp}$ is a closure operator. The sets closed w.r.t. $^{\perp \perp}$ are called orthoclosed.

An ortholattice is a lattice equipped with an order-reversing involution $^{\perp}$ sending each *a* to a complement of *a*.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆注▶ ◆注▶ 注 のへで

Lemma

The set C(X) of orthoclosed subsets of X is a complete ortholattice.

Irredundancy of orthosets

Let X be an orthoset. Two elements $e, f \in X$ are called equivalent, and we write $e \sim f$, if

 $x \perp e \text{ iff } x \perp f \text{ for any } x \in X.$

In other words, $e \sim f$ iff $\{e\}^{\perp} = \{f\}^{\perp}$.

Definition

We call an orthoset X irredundant if \sim is the equality.

Let $\tilde{X} = X/\sim$, equipped with the induced orthogonality relation and with $0/\sim = \{0\}$.

Lemma

For any orthoset, \tilde{X} is an irredundant orthoset. Moreover, $C(\tilde{X})$ and C(X) are isomorphic.

Atomisticity of orthosets

Definition

We call an orthoset X atomistic if, for any $e, f \neq 0$,

$$\{e\}^{\perp} \subseteq \{f\}^{\perp}$$
 implies $\{e\}^{\perp} = \{f\}^{\perp}$.

If X is atomistic, so is C(X).



Definition

A \star -sfield is a division ring together with an involutive antiautomorphism.

Let *H* be a linear space over a \star -sfield *K*. $(\cdot, \cdot) : H \times H \to K$ is called an anisotropic Hermitian form if:

$$(\alpha x + \beta y, z) = \alpha (x, z) + \beta (y, z),$$

$$(z, \alpha x + \beta y) = (z, x) \alpha^{\star} + (z, y) \beta^{\star}$$

$$(x, y) = (y, x)^{\star},$$

$$(x, x) = 0 \Rightarrow x = 0.$$

Then H equipped with (\cdot, \cdot) is called a Hermitian space.

Hermitian spaces and ortholattices

For any subset A of a Hermitian space H,

$$A^{\perp} = \{ u \in H \colon u \perp a \text{ for all } a \in A \}$$

is a linear subspace called orthoclosed.

Let C(H) be the ortholattice of orthoclosed subspaces of H.

Theorem (cf. MAEDA-MAEDA)

For a Hermitian space H, C(H) is a complete atomistic ortholattice that is moreover irreducible and has the covering property.

Conversely, let L be a complete atomistic ortholattice that is moreover irreducible and has the covering property. Assume that L has length ≥ 4 . Then L is isomorphic to C(H) for some Hermitian space H.

–, with finitary conditions only

Theorem (J. PASEKA, TH. V.)

Let L be a complete ortholattice such that:

- L is atomistic,
- for any distinct atoms p and q, there is an atom $r \perp p$ such that $p \lor q = p \lor r$,
- for any distinct atoms p and q, there is a third atom $r \leq p \lor q$,
- L has length ≥ 4 .

Then L is isomorphic to C(H) for some Hermitian space H.

J. PASEKA, TH. VETTERLEIN, Linear orthosets and orthogeometries, Int. J. Theor. Phys. 2023.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆注▶ ◆注▶ 注 のへで

Linear orthosets

Definition

An orthoset (X, \bot) is called linear if, for any distinct $e, f \neq 0$, there is a $g \in X$ such that exactly one of f and g is orthogonal to e and $\{e, f\}^{\bot} = \{e, g\}^{\bot}$.

Theorem (J. PASEKA, TH. V.)

For any Hermitian space H, the orthoset $(P(H), \perp)$ is linear. Conversely, for any linear orthoset X of rank ≥ 4 , there is a Hermitian space H such that X is isomorphic to P(H).

Consequently, we have a one-to-one correspondence:

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > □ □ □

Problem

Can we extend the correspondence between orthosets and Hermitian spaces to include structure-preserving maps?

Approaches in this context:

- FAURE, FRÖHLICHER: category of orthogeometries with partial maps preserving collinearity and orthogonality;
- J. PASEKA, TH. V.: category of normal orthosets with maps preserving orthogonality and Boolean substructures;
- C. HEUNEN, A. KORNELL, ET AL.: category of Hilbert spaces with bounded linear maps.

C.-A. FAURE, A. FRÖLICHER, "Modern projective geometry", Kluwer 2000.
J. PASEKA, TH. VETTERLEIN, Categories of orthogonality spaces,
J. Pure Appl. Algebra 2021.
CH. HEUNEN, A. KORNELL, Axioms for the category of Hilbert spaces,
Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2022.

Our choice of structure-preserving maps

Definition

Let $f: X \to Y$ be a map between orthosets. Then $g: Y \to X$ is called an adjoint of f if, for any $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$

 $f(x) \perp y$ if and only if $x \perp g(y)$.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

A map possessing an adjoint is called adjointable.

Linear maps induce adjointable maps

Each linear map $S\colon H_1\to H_2$ between Hermitian spaces induces the map

$$P(S): P(H_1) \to P(H_2), \langle u \rangle \mapsto \langle S(u) \rangle$$

between the associated orthosets.

Proposition

Let $S \colon H_1 \to H_2$ be a linear map between Hermitian spaces. Assume that

- either H_1, H_2 are finite-dimensional
- or H_1, H_2 are Hilbert spaces and S is bounded.

Then P(S) is adjointable,

and $P(S^{\star})$ is the unique adjoint of P(S).

(Here, S^{\star} is the adjoint of S in the usual sense).

Adjointable maps preserve linear dependence:

Proposition Let $f: X \to Y$ be adjointable. Then, for any $x_1, x_2 \in X$, $x \in \{x_1, x_2\}^{\perp \perp}$ implies $f(x) \in \{f(x_1), f(x_2)\}^{\perp \perp}$.

Adjointable maps do not in general preserve orthogonality. But:

Proposition

Let $f: X \to Y$ be an adjointable bijection. Then f is an isomorphism of orthosets if and only if f^{-1} is an adjoint of f.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆注▶ ◆注▶ 注 のへで

Adjointable maps are induced by semilinear maps

Let H_1 and H_2 be Hermitian spaces over a \star -sfield F. $S: H_1 \to H_2$ is called semilinear if

- S(u+v) = S(u) + S(v) for $u, v \in H_1$,
- $S(\alpha u) = \alpha^{\sigma} S(u)$ for $u \in H_1, \ \alpha \in F$, where $^{\sigma} \colon F \to F$ is a homomorphism (of sfields).

From Faure and Frölicher's version of the Fundamental Theorem of Projective Geometry, we infer:

Theorem (J. PASEKA, TH. V.)

Let $f: P(H_1) \to P(H_2)$ be adjointable and let im f not be contained in a subspace of rank ≤ 2 . Then f is induced by a semilinear map $S: H_1 \to H_2$.

Adjoints of inclusion maps

Definition

Let A be a subspace of an orthoset X. A map $\sigma \colon X \to A$ such that, for any $x \in X$,

$$\{a \in A \colon a \perp x\} = \{a \in A \colon a \perp \sigma(x)\}$$

is called a Sasaki map.

Proposition

Let A be a subspace of an orthoset X. Then $\sigma: X \to A$ is a Sasaki map if and only if σ is an adjoint of the inclusion map $\iota: A \to X$.

B. Lindenhovius, Th. Vetterlein, A characterisation of orthomodular spaces by Sasaki maps, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* 2023.

Theorem (B. LINDENHOVIUS, TH. V.)

Let X be an irreducible atomistic orthoset of rank ≥ 4 . Assume that, for any subspace A of X, the inclusion map $\iota: A \to X$ has an adjoint.

Then X is linear. In fact, there is an orthomodular space H such that X is isomorphic to P(H).

<ロト <四ト <注入 <注下 <注下 <

Adjoint maps and not irredundant orthosets

Adjointable maps preserve equivalence:

Lemma

Let $f: X \to Y$ be an adjointable map between orthosets. Then $x_1 \sim x_2$ implies $f(x_1) \sim f(x_2)$. Hence we can define

$$\tilde{f} \colon \tilde{X} \to \tilde{Y}, \ \tilde{x} \mapsto \widetilde{f(x)}.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

Moreover, if g is an adjoint of f, then \tilde{g} is the unique adjoint of \tilde{f} and vice versa.

Dagger categories

A dagger category is a category equipped with an operation * that assigns to each morphism $f: X \to Y$ a morphism $f^*: Y \to X$ such that $f^{**} = f$, $(g \circ f)^* = f^* \circ g^*$, and $\mathrm{id}^* = \mathrm{id}$. Basic examples:

- $\mathcal{P}f\mathcal{H}er_F$ for a \star -sfield F:
 - orthosets P(H), where H is a finite-dimensional Hermitian space over F,
 - maps $P(S): P(H_1) \to P(H_2)$ for a linear $S: H_1 \to H_2$,

• dagger $P(S)^{\star} = P(S^{\star}).$

- \mathcal{PHil}_F for $F \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}\}$:
 - \circ orthosets P(H), where H is a Hilbert space over F,
 - maps $P(S): P(H_1) \to P(H_2)$ for a bounded linear S,
 - $\circ \text{ dagger } P(S)^{\star} = P(S^{\star}).$

• fBool:

- finite Boolean algebras;
- bijections between subsets of their atom spaces,
- dagger is inversion.

Some definitions for dagger categories

- In a dagger category, 0 is a zero object if there is, for any A, a unique morphism $0 \to A$ (and hence also $A \to 0$). We write $0_{A,B}$ for $A \to 0 \to B$.
- A dagger biproduct of A and B is a coproduct

$$A \xrightarrow{\iota_A} A \oplus B \xleftarrow{\iota_B} B$$

such that $\iota_A^* \circ \iota_A = \mathrm{id}_A$, $\iota_B^* \circ \iota_B = \mathrm{id}_B$, and $\iota_B^* \circ \iota_A = 0_{A,B}$.

- f is a dagger isomorphism if $f^* \circ f = \text{id}$ and $f \circ f^* = \text{id}$.
- A is called indecomposable if A is not the dagger biproduct of a pair of non-zero objects.

Ch. Heunen, M. Karvonen, Limits in dagger categories, *Theory Appl. Categ.* 2019.

A description of $\mathcal{P}f\mathcal{H}ez_F$

Theorem (J. PASEKA, TH. V.)

Let C be a dagger category whose objects are orthosets of finite rank, whose morphisms are maps between them, and whose dagger assigns to each morphism an adjoint.

- (1) C has finite dagger biproducts.
- (2) Let A be a subspace of X. Then A and A^{\perp} belong to C and $A \xrightarrow{\iota_A} X \xleftarrow{\iota_{A^{\perp}}} A^{\perp}$ is the dagger biproduct of A and A^{\perp} , where ι_A and $\iota_{A^{\perp}}$ are the inclusion maps.
- (3) Any singleton orthoset in \mathcal{C} is indecomposable.
- (4) Any two singleton orthosets in \mathcal{C} are dagger isomorphic.

Let then \tilde{C} be the category whose objects are \tilde{X} for each orthoset X in C, whose morphisms are \tilde{f} for each morphism f in C, and whose dagger assigns $\tilde{f^{\star}}$ to \tilde{f} . Then \tilde{C} is equivalent either to fBool, or to $\mathcal{P}fHer_F$ for some \star -sfield F.

Conclusion

- Hilbert spaces and more generally Hermitian spaces are characterised by their associated orthosets, so-called linear orthosets.
- Maps $f: X \to Y$ and $g: Y \to X$ between orthosets form an adjoint pair provided that

$$f(x) \perp y$$
 iff $x \perp g(y)$, $x \in X, y \in Y$.

Adjointable maps between linear orthosets correspond closely to linear maps of Hermitian spaces.

- The requirement that inclusion maps are adjointable even replaces the linearity condition for orthosets.
- As a consequence, we may describe the category of (projective) finite-dimensional Hermitian spaces by means of orthosets and adjointable maps.
- The characterisation uses a biproduct but no tensor product.

Further issues:

- Describe fHer_F without the formation of a quotient. To this end, discover the "internal structure" of the equivalence classes of the orthosets in C subject to the above conditions.
- Describe the category of Hilbert spaces in a similar manner.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆注▶ ◆注▶ 注 のへで

• What happens when dropping the conditions involving singleton orthosets?