

Abstract commutator theory in concrete classes

David Stanovský

Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic

Summer School on Algebras and Ordered Sets, September 2023

Part I:

Solvability and nilpotence:
the beginnings and motivation

Part II:

Abelianness and Centrality:
examples and module
representation

Part III:

The commutator in specific varieties

Abelian algebras

Recall:

abelian = "module-like" (\neq commutative, associative)

... abelian groups = the only groups that can be considered as modules

Abelian algebras

Recall:

abelian = "module-like" (\neq commutative, associative)

... abelian groups = the only groups that can be considered as modules

An algebra A is *abelian* if $[1_A, 1_A] = 0_A$. That is,

for every term $t(x, y_1, \dots, y_n)$ and every a, b, \bar{u}, \bar{v} in A

$$t(a, \bar{u}) = t(a, \bar{v}) \Rightarrow t(b, \bar{u}) = t(b, \bar{v})$$

[J.D.H. Smith 1970s]

Abelian algebras: examples

A is *abelian* if for every term $t(x, y_1, \dots, y_n)$ and every a, b, \bar{u}, \bar{v} in A

$$t(a, \bar{u}) = t(a, \bar{v}) \Rightarrow t(b, \bar{u}) = t(b, \bar{v})$$

\rightsquigarrow **Modules** are abelian.

Proof: $t(x, y_1, \dots, y_n) = rx + \sum r_i y_i$, cancel ra , add rb .

Subreducts of modules are also abelian.

Abelian algebras: examples

A is *abelian* if for every term $t(x, y_1, \dots, y_n)$ and every a, b, \bar{u}, \bar{v} in A

$$t(a, \bar{u}) = t(a, \bar{v}) \Rightarrow t(b, \bar{u}) = t(b, \bar{v})$$

\rightsquigarrow **Modules** are abelian.

Proof: $t(x, y_1, \dots, y_n) = rx + \sum r_i y_i$, cancel ra , add rb .

Subreducts of modules are also abelian.

\rightsquigarrow **Unary algebras** are abelian.

Proof: Terms depend on at most one variable.

Abelian algebras: identities

A is *abelian* if for every term $t(x, y_1, \dots, y_n)$ and every a, b, \bar{u}, \bar{v} in A

$$t(a, \bar{u}) = t(a, \bar{v}) \Rightarrow t(b, \bar{u}) = t(b, \bar{v})$$

\rightsquigarrow An abelian *semigroup* is commutative.

Proof: $t(x, y, z) = yxz$, $a11 = 11a \Rightarrow ab1 = 1ba$

(but commutative semigroups need not be abelian)

Abelian algebras: identities

A is *abelian* if for every term $t(x, y_1, \dots, y_n)$ and every a, b, \bar{u}, \bar{v} in A

$$t(a, \bar{u}) = t(a, \bar{v}) \Rightarrow t(b, \bar{u}) = t(b, \bar{v})$$

\rightsquigarrow An abelian *semigroup* is commutative.

Proof: $t(x, y, z) = yxz$, $a11 = 11a \Rightarrow ab1 = 1ba$

(but commutative semigroups need not be abelian)

\rightsquigarrow An abelian *monoid* is cancellative.

Proof: $t(x, y) = xy$, $ab = ac \Rightarrow 1b = 1c$

(and commutative cancellative monoids are abelian)

Abelian algebras: identities

A is *abelian* if for every term $t(x, y_1, \dots, y_n)$ and every a, b, \bar{u}, \bar{v} in A

$$t(a, \bar{u}) = t(a, \bar{v}) \Rightarrow t(b, \bar{u}) = t(b, \bar{v})$$

\rightsquigarrow An abelian *semigroup* is commutative.

Proof: $t(x, y, z) = yxz$, $a11 = 11a \Rightarrow ab1 = 1ba$

(but commutative semigroups need not be abelian)

\rightsquigarrow An abelian *monoid* is cancellative.

Proof: $t(x, y) = xy$, $ab = ac \Rightarrow 1b = 1c$

(and commutative cancellative monoids are abelian)

\rightsquigarrow An abelian *binary algebra with 1* is associative.

Proof: $t(x, y, z, w) = (xy)(zw)$,
 $(1b)(c1) = (11)(bc) \Rightarrow (ab)(c1) = (a1)(bc)$

Abelian algebras: no lattices

A is *abelian* if for every term $t(x, y_1, \dots, y_n)$ and every a, b, \bar{u}, \bar{v} in A

$$t(a, \bar{u}) = t(a, \bar{v}) \Rightarrow t(b, \bar{u}) = t(b, \bar{v})$$

\rightsquigarrow An abelian *idempotent self-distributive* algebra (e.g. *quandle*) is medial.

Proof: $t(x, y, u, v) = (xy)(uv)$,
 $(bb)(cd) = (bc)(bd) \Rightarrow (ab)(cd) = (ac)(ad)$

(a quandle is abelian iff it is medial and $\text{Dis}(Q)$ acts semiregularly [JPSZ 2018])

Abelian algebras: no lattices

A is *abelian* if for every term $t(x, y_1, \dots, y_n)$ and every a, b, \bar{u}, \bar{v} in A

$$t(a, \bar{u}) = t(a, \bar{v}) \Rightarrow t(b, \bar{u}) = t(b, \bar{v})$$

\rightsquigarrow An abelian *idempotent self-distributive* algebra (e.g. *quandle*) is medial.

Proof: $t(x, y, u, v) = (xy)(uv),$
 $(bb)(cd) = (bc)(bd) \Rightarrow (ab)(cd) = (ac)(ad)$

(a quandle is abelian iff it is medial and $\text{Dis}(Q)$ acts semiregularly [JPSZ 2018])

\rightsquigarrow An abelian algebra with a *semilattice* reduct is trivial.

Proof: $t(x, y) = x \wedge y, \quad (a \wedge b) \wedge a = (a \wedge b) \wedge b \Rightarrow a \wedge a = a \wedge b,$
hence $a \leq b$ for all a, b

Abelian algebras vs. modules

Theorem (Gumm-Smith 1970s)

An algebra with a *Mal'tsev* polynomial is *abelian* if and only if it is *polynomially equivalent to a module*.

(examples: groups, quasigroups; non-examples: quandles, monoids)

- [Herrmann 1979] ditto under congruence modularity (hard!)
- [Kearnes-Szendrei 1998] even weaker assumptions
- [tame congruence theory / Barto-Kozik-S 2015] finite and Taylor
- fails for infinite Taylor: $(\mathbb{Q}, \frac{x+y}{2})$.

Abelian algebras vs. modules

Theorem (Gumm-Smith 1970s)

An algebra with a *Mal'tsev* polynomial is *abelian* if and only if it is *polynomially equivalent to a module*.

(examples: groups, quasigroups; non-examples: quandles, monoids)

- [Herrmann 1979] ditto under congruence modularity (hard!)
- [Kearnes-Szendrei 1998] even weaker assumptions
- [tame congruence theory / Barto-Kozik-S 2015] finite and Taylor
- fails for infinite Taylor: $(\mathbb{Q}, \frac{x+y}{2})$.

Theorem (Kearnes-Szendrei 1998)

An algebra with a *Taylor* term is *abelian* if and only if it is *polynomial subreduct of a module*.

- also true for finite simple [TCT], idempotent simple [Kearnes 1994], ...
- also true for monoids, quandles [JPSZ 2018]
- fails in general [McKenzie, Quackenbush 1980s], examples rare

Abelian congruences

A congruence α of A is *abelian* if for every term $t(x, y_1, \dots, y_n)$ and every $a \equiv_{\alpha} b, \bar{u} \equiv_{\alpha} \bar{v}$

$$t(a, \bar{u}) = t(a, \bar{v}) \Rightarrow t(b, \bar{u}) = t(b, \bar{v})$$

Fact: A **group** congruence α is abelian iff $[1]_{\alpha}$ is an abelian group

Proof:

(\Rightarrow) $t(x, y, z) = yxz$, for all $a, b \equiv_{\alpha} 1$ get $a11 = 11a \Rightarrow ab1 = 1ba$.

(\Leftarrow) not so obvious

Abelian congruences

A congruence α of A is *abelian* if for every term $t(x, y_1, \dots, y_n)$ and every $a \stackrel{\alpha}{\equiv} b, \bar{u} \stackrel{\alpha}{\equiv} \bar{v}$

$$t(a, \bar{u}) = t(a, \bar{v}) \Rightarrow t(b, \bar{u}) = t(b, \bar{v})$$

Fact: A **group** congruence α is abelian iff $[1]_\alpha$ is an abelian group

Proof:

(\Rightarrow) $t(x, y, z) = yxz$, for all $a, b \stackrel{\alpha}{\equiv} 1$ get $a11 = 11a \Rightarrow ab1 = 1ba$.

(\Leftarrow) not so obvious

Fact: For **loops**, it fails. **Ex.:** a loop of order 8, a Moufang loop of order 16

Theorem (S-Vojtěchovský 2014)

A normal subloop N is *abelian* iff $\varphi|_N \in \text{Aut}(N)$ for every $\varphi \in \text{Inn}(Q)$, and $\forall a, b \in N, x, u, v \in Q$ s.t. $u/v \in N$

$$[a, b] = [a, b, x] = [a, x, b] = [x, a, b] = 1, \quad [a, x, u] = [a, x, v]$$

Central congruences

A congruence α of A is *abelian* if for every term $t(x, y_1, \dots, y_n)$ and every $a \stackrel{\alpha}{\equiv} b, \bar{u} \stackrel{\alpha}{\equiv} \bar{v}$

$$t(a, \bar{u}) = t(a, \bar{v}) \Rightarrow t(b, \bar{u}) = t(b, \bar{v})$$

A congruence α of A is *central* if for every term $t(x, y_1, \dots, y_n)$ and every $a \stackrel{\alpha}{\equiv} b, \bar{u}, \bar{v}$

$$t(a, \bar{u}) = t(a, \bar{v}) \Rightarrow t(b, \bar{u}) = t(b, \bar{v})$$

The *center* of A , denoted ζ_A , is the largest central congruence.

Central congruences

A congruence α of A is *abelian* if for every term $t(x, y_1, \dots, y_n)$ and every $a \stackrel{\alpha}{\equiv} b$, $\bar{u} \stackrel{\alpha}{\equiv} \bar{v}$

$$t(a, \bar{u}) = t(a, \bar{v}) \Rightarrow t(b, \bar{u}) = t(b, \bar{v})$$

A congruence α of A is *central* if for every term $t(x, y_1, \dots, y_n)$ and every $a \stackrel{\alpha}{\equiv} b$, \bar{u}, \bar{v}

$$t(a, \bar{u}) = t(a, \bar{v}) \Rightarrow t(b, \bar{u}) = t(b, \bar{v})$$

The *center* of A , denoted ζ_A , is the largest central congruence.

Fact: In *groups*, *loops*, the center is the classical center.

Fact: In *monoids*, $[1]_{\zeta M} = \{a : ax = xa, [ax = ay \rightarrow x=y] \text{ for all } x, y \in M\}$.

Central congruences

A congruence α of A is *abelian* if for every term $t(x, y_1, \dots, y_n)$ and every $a \stackrel{\alpha}{\equiv} b$, $\bar{u} \stackrel{\alpha}{\equiv} \bar{v}$

$$t(a, \bar{u}) = t(a, \bar{v}) \Rightarrow t(b, \bar{u}) = t(b, \bar{v})$$

A congruence α of A is *central* if for every term $t(x, y_1, \dots, y_n)$ and every $a \stackrel{\alpha}{\equiv} b$, \bar{u}, \bar{v}

$$t(a, \bar{u}) = t(a, \bar{v}) \Rightarrow t(b, \bar{u}) = t(b, \bar{v})$$

The *center* of A , denoted ζ_A , is the largest central congruence.

Fact: In *groups*, *loops*, the center is the classical center.

Fact: In *monoids*, $[1]_{\zeta M} = \{a : ax = xa, [ax = ay \rightarrow x=y] \text{ for all } x, y \in M\}$.

In general, it seems rather difficult to describe the center!

For example, in *quandles* [Bonatto-S 2019]

$$\zeta_Q = \{(a, b) : \text{Dis}(Q)_a = \text{Dis}(Q)_b \text{ and } L_a L_b^{-1} \in Z(\text{Dis}(Q))\}$$

Inverse semigroups

... $(S, \cdot, ')$ where (S, \cdot) is a semigroup and $aa'a = a, a'aa' = a' \forall a$

... partial bijections on a set X form an inverse semigroup

... (Cayley-like repre.) every inverse semigroup embeds into a semigroup of partial bijections [Wagner, Preston 1950s]

Inverse semigroups

... $(S, \cdot, ')$ where (S, \cdot) is a semigroup and $aa'a = a, a'aa' = a' \forall a$

... partial bijections on a set X form an inverse semigroup

... (Cayley-like repre.) every inverse semigroup embeds into a semigroup of partial bijections [Wagner, Preston 1950s]

What is the center of inverse semigroup?

Indeed, $\{a : ax = xa \text{ for all } x\}$ is a wrong guess. (It is not even a normal subsemigroup.)

Center of a group / loop, revisited

Groups:

$$\begin{aligned}Z(G) &= \{a : ax = xa \ \forall x\} \\ &= \{a : \phi_x(a) = a \ \forall x\} \\ &= \text{Ker}(\Phi)\end{aligned}$$

$$\Phi : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(G)$$

$$x \mapsto [\phi_x : y \mapsto x^{-1}yx]$$

Loops:

$$\begin{aligned}Z(Q) &= \{a : ax = xa, a(xy) = (ax)y, \dots \ \forall x, y\} \\ &= \{a : \phi(a) = a \ \forall \phi \in \text{Inn}(Q)\}\end{aligned}$$

... what is more important, *commutativity* OR *conjugacy/inner mappings* ?

Center of an inverse semigroup

[Kinyon-S.]

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta_S &= \mathcal{H} \cap \{(a, b) : axb = bxa \ \forall x\} \\ &= \text{Ker}(\Phi) \\ &= \text{Ker}(\Psi)\end{aligned}$$

$$Z(S) = \{a : axa'a = aa'xa \ \forall x\}$$

$$\Phi : S \rightarrow \text{PAut}(S)$$

$$x \mapsto [\phi_a : a'Sa \rightarrow aSa', y \mapsto xyx']$$

$$\Psi : S \rightarrow \text{Trans}(S)$$

$$x \mapsto [\psi_a : S \rightarrow Sa, y \mapsto xyx']$$

Center of an inverse semigroup

[Kinyon-S.]

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta_S &= \mathcal{H} \cap \{(a, b) : axb = bxa \ \forall x\} \\ &= \text{Ker}(\Phi) \\ &= \text{Ker}(\Psi)\end{aligned}$$

$$Z(S) = \{a : axa'a = aa'xa \ \forall x\}$$

$$\Phi : S \rightarrow \text{PAut}(S)$$

$$x \mapsto [\phi_a : a'Sa \rightarrow aSa', y \mapsto xyx']$$

$$\Psi : S \rightarrow \text{Trans}(S)$$

$$x \mapsto [\psi_a : S \rightarrow Sa, y \mapsto xyx']$$

Sad corollary: Solvable/nilpotent inverse semigroups are groups.

Part I:

Solvability and nilpotence: the beginnings and motivation

Part II:

Abelianness and Centrality: examples and module representation

Part III:
The commutator in specific
varieties