## Quantum Suplattices

Gejza Jenča, Bert Lindenhovius

Slovak University of Technology, Slovak Academy of Sciences

SSAOS 2023 September 4, 2023

In the context of supremum-preserving functions as morphisms, complete lattices are called suplattices.

- are obtained via a scheme called discrete quantization.
- are algebras for monads that are quantum versions of the power set monad and the lower set monad;
- are not generalizations of ordinary suplattices;
- satisfy usual theorems for ordinary suplattices such as the existence of Galois connections and the Knaster-Tarski Theorem;
- Lead to possible quantum versions of topological spaces...

In the context of supremum-preserving functions as morphisms, complete lattices are called suplattices.

- are obtained via a scheme called discrete quantization;
- are algebras for monads that are quantum versions of the power set monad and the lower set monad;
- are not generalizations of ordinary suplattices;
- satisfy usual theorems for ordinary suplattices such as the existence of Galois connections and the Knaster-Tarski Theorem;
- Lead to possible quantum versions of topological spaces.

In the context of supremum-preserving functions as morphisms, complete lattices are called <u>suplattices</u>.

- are obtained via a scheme called discrete quantization;
- are algebras for monads that are quantum versions of the power set monad and the lower set monad;
- are not generalizations of ordinary suplattices;
- satisfy usual theorems for ordinary suplattices such as the existence of Galois connections and the Knaster-Tarski Theorem;
- Lead to possible quantum versions of topological spaces.

In the context of supremum-preserving functions as morphisms, complete lattices are called <u>suplattices</u>.

- are obtained via a scheme called discrete quantization;
- are algebras for monads that are quantum versions of the power set monad and the lower set monad;
- are not generalizations of ordinary suplattices;
- satisfy usual theorems for ordinary suplattices such as the existence of Galois connections and the Knaster-Tarski Theorem;
- Lead to possible quantum versions of topological spaces.

In the context of supremum-preserving functions as morphisms, complete lattices are called <u>suplattices</u>.

- are obtained via a scheme called discrete quantization;
- are algebras for monads that are quantum versions of the power set monad and the lower set monad;
- are not generalizations of ordinary suplattices;
- satisfy usual theorems for ordinary suplattices such as the existence of Galois connections and the Knaster-Tarski Theorem;
- Lead to possible quantum versions of topological spaces.

In the context of supremum-preserving functions as morphisms, complete lattices are called <u>suplattices</u>.

- are obtained via a scheme called discrete quantization;
- are algebras for monads that are quantum versions of the power set monad and the lower set monad;
- are not generalizations of ordinary suplattices;
- satisfy usual theorems for ordinary suplattices such as the existence of Galois connections and the Knaster-Tarski Theorem;
- Lead to possible quantum versions of topological spaces.

In the context of supremum-preserving functions as morphisms, complete lattices are called <u>suplattices</u>.

- are obtained via a scheme called discrete quantization;
- are algebras for monads that are quantum versions of the power set monad and the lower set monad;
- are not generalizations of ordinary suplattices;
- satisfy usual theorems for ordinary suplattices such as the existence of Galois connections and the Knaster-Tarski Theorem;
- Lead to possible quantum versions of topological spaces.

### • A program to obtain natural models of quantum structures;

- Main idea: algebras of operators on a Hilbert space H can be used to construct 'non-commutative' generalizations of classical structures;
- Example:  $X \mapsto C(X)$  yields a categorical duality between the categories of compact Hausdorff spaces and of commutative unital C\*-algebras (Gelfand duality);
- Hence the dual of the category of unital C\*-algebras can be regarded as the category of 'non-commutative' compact Hausdorff spaces.
- Quantization is the process of finding noncommutative versions of a mathematical structure.

- A program to obtain natural models of quantum structures;
- Main idea: algebras of operators on a Hilbert space H can be used to construct 'non-commutative' generalizations of classical structures;
- Example:  $X \mapsto C(X)$  yields a categorical duality between the categories of compact Hausdorff spaces and of commutative unital C\*-algebras (Gelfand duality);
- Hence the dual of the category of unital C\*-algebras can be regarded as the category of 'non-commutative' compact Hausdorff spaces.
- Quantization is the process of finding noncommutative versions of a mathematical structure.

- A program to obtain natural models of quantum structures;
- Main idea: algebras of operators on a Hilbert space *H* can be used to construct 'non-commutative' generalizations of classical structures;
- Example:  $X \mapsto C(X)$  yields a categorical duality between the categories of compact Hausdorff spaces and of commutative unital C\*-algebras (Gelfand duality);
- Hence the dual of the category of unital C\*-algebras can be regarded as the category of 'non-commutative' compact Hausdorff spaces.
- Quantization is the process of finding noncommutative versions of a mathematical structure.

- A program to obtain natural models of quantum structures;
- Main idea: algebras of operators on a Hilbert space H can be used to construct 'non-commutative' generalizations of classical structures;
- Example:  $X \mapsto C(X)$  yields a categorical duality between the categories of compact Hausdorff spaces and of commutative unital C\*-algebras (Gelfand duality);
- Hence the dual of the category of unital C\*-algebras can be regarded as the category of 'non-commutative' compact Hausdorff spaces.
- Quantization is the process of finding noncommutative versions of a mathematical structure.

- A program to obtain natural models of quantum structures;
- Main idea: algebras of operators on a Hilbert space *H* can be used to construct 'non-commutative' generalizations of classical structures;
- Example:  $X \mapsto C(X)$  yields a categorical duality between the categories of compact Hausdorff spaces and of commutative unital C\*-algebras (Gelfand duality);
- Hence the dual of the category of unital C\*-algebras can be regarded as the category of 'non-commutative' compact Hausdorff spaces.
- Quantization is the process of finding noncommutative versions of a mathematical structure.

- Duan, Severini, Winter: quantum graphs in quantum error correction;
- Kuperberg and Weaver: quantization of metric spaces; quantum hamming metric in quantum error correction
- Weaver: identification of quantum relations as underlying structure of quantum metric spaces and quantum graphs;
- Weaver: quantum posets;
- Kornell: quantum sets and their categorical properties;
- Kornell, L., Mislove: categorical structure of quantum posets;
- Kornell, L., Mislove: quantum cpos and their application in the semantics of quantum programming languages.

- Duan, Severini, Winter: quantum graphs in quantum error correction;
- Kuperberg and Weaver: quantization of metric spaces; quantum hamming metric in quantum error correction
- Weaver: identification of quantum relations as underlying structure of quantum metric spaces and quantum graphs;
- Weaver: quantum posets;
- Kornell: quantum sets and their categorical properties;
- Kornell, L., Mislove: categorical structure of quantum posets;
- Kornell, L., Mislove: quantum cpos and their application in the semantics of quantum programming languages.

- Duan, Severini, Winter: quantum graphs in quantum error correction;
- Kuperberg and Weaver: quantization of metric spaces; quantum hamming metric in quantum error correction
- Weaver: identification of quantum relations as underlying structure of quantum metric spaces and quantum graphs;
- Weaver: quantum posets;
- Kornell: quantum sets and their categorical properties;
- Kornell, L., Mislove: categorical structure of quantum posets;
- Kornell, L., Mislove: quantum cpos and their application in the semantics of quantum programming languages.

- Duan, Severini, Winter: quantum graphs in quantum error correction;
- Kuperberg and Weaver: quantization of metric spaces; quantum hamming metric in quantum error correction
- Weaver: identification of quantum relations as underlying structure of quantum metric spaces and quantum graphs;
- Weaver: quantum posets;
- Kornell: quantum sets and their categorical properties;
- Kornell, L., Mislove: categorical structure of quantum posets;
- Kornell, L., Mislove: quantum cpos and their application in the semantics of quantum programming languages.

- Duan, Severini, Winter: quantum graphs in quantum error correction;
- Kuperberg and Weaver: quantization of metric spaces; quantum hamming metric in quantum error correction
- Weaver: identification of quantum relations as underlying structure of quantum metric spaces and quantum graphs;
- Weaver: quantum posets;
- Kornell: quantum sets and their categorical properties;
- Kornell, L., Mislove: categorical structure of quantum posets;
- Kornell, L., Mislove: quantum cpos and their application in the semantics of quantum programming languages.

- Duan, Severini, Winter: quantum graphs in quantum error correction;
- Kuperberg and Weaver: quantization of metric spaces; quantum hamming metric in quantum error correction
- Weaver: identification of quantum relations as underlying structure of quantum metric spaces and quantum graphs;
- Weaver: quantum posets;
- Kornell: quantum sets and their categorical properties;
- Kornell, L., Mislove: categorical structure of quantum posets;
- Kornell, L., Mislove: quantum cpos and their application in the semantics of quantum programming languages.

- Duan, Severini, Winter: quantum graphs in quantum error correction;
- Kuperberg and Weaver: quantization of metric spaces; quantum hamming metric in quantum error correction
- Weaver: identification of quantum relations as underlying structure of quantum metric spaces and quantum graphs;
- Weaver: quantum posets;
- Kornell: quantum sets and their categorical properties;
- Kornell, L., Mislove: categorical structure of quantum posets;
- Kornell, L., Mislove: quantum cpos and their application in the semantics of quantum programming languages.

Internalization is the process of generalizing set-theoretic constructions that can be defined in terms of the categorical structure of **Set** or **Rel** to other categories that posses the same categorical structure needed for these constructions. Example: in any category with all finite products, a group G is an object equipped with morphisms  $m: G \times G \to G$ ,  $e: 1 \to G$ , and  $(-)^{-1}: G \to G$  such that:

• Unitality: 
$$G \times 1 \xrightarrow{\operatorname{id}_G \times e} G \times G \quad 1 \times G \xrightarrow{e \times \operatorname{id}_G} G \times G$$

$$G \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow G \quad G \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow G$$

- Inverses:  $\begin{vmatrix} G & \frac{\operatorname{diag} \mathcal{E}}{\operatorname{G}} & G \times G & \frac{\operatorname{diag} \mathcal{E}}{\operatorname{G}} & G \times G & G & \frac{\operatorname{diag} \mathcal{E}}{\operatorname{G}} & G \times G & \frac{\operatorname{d$

Internalization is the process of generalizing set-theoretic constructions that can be defined in terms of the categorical structure of **Set** or **Rel** to other categories that posses the same categorical structure needed for these constructions. Example: in any category with all finite products, a group G is an object equipped with morphisms  $m: G \times G \to G$ ,  $e: 1 \to G$ , and  $(-)^{-1}: G \to G$  such that:

• Unitality: 
$$G \times 1 \xrightarrow{\operatorname{id}_G \times e} G \times G \quad 1 \times G \xrightarrow{e \times \operatorname{id}_G} G \times G$$

$$\downarrow m \qquad \cong \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow m$$

$$G \xrightarrow{} G \xrightarrow{} G \xrightarrow{} G \xrightarrow{} G \xrightarrow{} G$$

<u>Internalization</u> is the process of generalizing set-theoretic constructions that can be defined in terms of the categorical structure of **Set** or **Rel** to other categories that posses the same categorical structure needed for these constructions. <u>Example</u>: in any category with all finite products, a group G is an object equipped with morphisms  $m: G \times G \to G$ ,  $e: 1 \to G$ , and  $(-)^{-1}: G \to G$  such that:

- Inverses:  $G \xrightarrow{\operatorname{diag}_{G}} G \times G \xrightarrow{\operatorname{id}_{G} \times (-)^{-1}} G \times G \xrightarrow{G} G \xrightarrow{\operatorname{diag}_{G}} G \times G \xrightarrow{(-)^{-1} \times \operatorname{id}_{G}} G \times G$   $\downarrow \downarrow m \qquad \downarrow \downarrow m$   $\downarrow m \qquad \downarrow m$   $\downarrow m \qquad \downarrow m$

<u>Internalization</u> is the process of generalizing set-theoretic constructions that can be defined in terms of the categorical structure of **Set** or **Rel** to other categories that posses the same categorical structure needed for these constructions. <u>Example</u>: in any category with all finite products, a group G is an object equipped with morphisms  $m: G \times G \to G$ ,  $e: 1 \to G$ , and  $(-)^{-1}: G \to G$  such that:

- Inverses:  $G \xrightarrow{\operatorname{diag}_{G}} G \times G \xrightarrow{\operatorname{id}_{G} \times (-)^{-1}} G \times G \xrightarrow{G} G \xrightarrow{\operatorname{diag}_{G}} G \times G \xrightarrow{(-)^{-1} \times \operatorname{id}_{G}} G \times G$   $\downarrow \downarrow m \qquad \downarrow \downarrow m$   $\downarrow m \qquad \downarrow m$   $\downarrow m \qquad \downarrow m$

- We employ a method of quantization by internalizing structures in a suitable category of C\*-algebras whose objects are noncommutative generalizations of sets;
- In general, one can internalize functions in a category resembling Rel, whereas binary relations cannot always be internalized in a category resembling Set;
- Therefore, our category of operator algebras should be a noncommutative generalization of the category Rel;
- The dual of the category WStar of von Neumann algebras can be regarded the category of 'non-commutative' measure spaces.
- Weaver: quantum relations between von Neumann algebras are certain operator spaces generalizing measurable binary relations<sup>1</sup>.

¹N. Weaver, *Quantum relations*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 215 (2012). ← ★ → ★ ◆ へ へ

- We employ a method of quantization by internalizing structures in a suitable category of C\*-algebras whose objects are noncommutative generalizations of sets;
- In general, one can internalize functions in a category resembling Rel, whereas binary relations cannot always be internalized in a category resembling Set;
- Therefore, our category of operator algebras should be a noncommutative generalization of the category Rel;
- The dual of the category WStar of von Neumann algebras can be regarded the category of 'non-commutative' measure spaces.
- Weaver: quantum relations between von Neumann algebras are certain operator spaces generalizing measurable binary relations<sup>1</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>N. Weaver, Quantum relations, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. ▶215 (20½2). ← 章 ▶ □ ♥ ९ ९

- We employ a method of quantization by internalizing structures in a suitable category of C\*-algebras whose objects are noncommutative generalizations of sets;
- In general, one can internalize functions in a category resembling Rel, whereas binary relations cannot always be internalized in a category resembling Set;
- Therefore, our category of operator algebras should be a noncommutative generalization of the category Rel;
- The dual of the category WStar of von Neumann algebras can be regarded the category of 'non-commutative' measure spaces.
- Weaver: quantum relations between von Neumann algebras are certain operator spaces generalizing measurable binary relations<sup>1</sup>.

- We employ a method of quantization by internalizing structures in a suitable category of C\*-algebras whose objects are noncommutative generalizations of sets;
- In general, one can internalize functions in a category resembling Rel, whereas binary relations cannot always be internalized in a category resembling Set;
- Therefore, our category of operator algebras should be a noncommutative generalization of the category Rel;
- The dual of the category WStar of von Neumann algebras can be regarded the category of 'non-commutative' measure spaces.
- Weaver: quantum relations between von Neumann algebras are certain operator spaces generalizing measurable binary relations<sup>1</sup>.

- We employ a method of quantization by internalizing structures in a suitable category of C\*-algebras whose objects are noncommutative generalizations of sets;
- In general, one can internalize functions in a category resembling Rel, whereas binary relations cannot always be internalized in a category resembling Set;
- Therefore, our category of operator algebras should be a noncommutative generalization of the category Rel;
- The dual of the category WStar of von Neumann algebras can be regarded the category of 'non-commutative' measure spaces.
- Weaver: quantum relations between von Neumann algebras are certain operator spaces generalizing measurable binary relations<sup>1</sup>.

- Hereditarily atomic von Neumann algebras are von Neumann algebras isomorphic to  $\bigoplus_{i \in I} L(H_i)$  with  $H_i$  a finite-dimensional Hilbert space, and can be used as non-commutative generalizations of sets;
- The category **WRel** of von Neumann algebras and quantum relations is a quantaloid (**Sup**-enriched category) with a dagger;
- Its full subcategory  $WRel_{\rm HA}$  of hereditarily atomic von Neumann algebras is a dagger compact quantaloid<sup>2</sup> just like Rel.
- <u>Discrete quantization</u> is the process of internalizing mathematical structures in **WRel**<sub>HA</sub>;
- Compare: fuzzification can be regarded as internalizing structures in  $V ext{-Rel}$  for a quantale V such as [0,1];
- WRel<sub>HA</sub> is equivalent to a category qRel of quantum sets, which are essentially families of finite-dimensional Hilbert spaces called atoms;
- We have a fully faithful functor '(−): Rel → qRel preserving the dagger structure and the order between relations.

- Hereditarily atomic von Neumann algebras are von Neumann algebras isomorphic to  $\bigoplus_{i \in I} L(H_i)$  with  $H_i$  a finite-dimensional Hilbert space, and can be used as non-commutative generalizations of sets;
- The category **WRel** of von Neumann algebras and quantum relations is a quantaloid (**Sup**-enriched category) with a dagger;
- Its full subcategory  $WRel_{\rm HA}$  of hereditarily atomic von Neumann algebras is a dagger compact quantaloid<sup>2</sup> just like Rel.
- <u>Discrete quantization</u> is the process of internalizing mathematical structures in **WRel**<sub>HA</sub>;
- Compare: fuzzification can be regarded as internalizing structures in  $V ext{-Rel}$  for a quantale V such as [0,1];
- WRel<sub>HA</sub> is equivalent to a category qRel of <u>quantum sets</u>, which are essentially families of finite-dimensional Hilbert spaces called <u>atoms</u>;
- We have a fully faithful functor '(−): Rel → qRel preserving the dagger structure and the order between relations.

- Hereditarily atomic von Neumann algebras are von Neumann algebras isomorphic to  $\bigoplus_{i \in I} L(H_i)$  with  $H_i$  a finite-dimensional Hilbert space, and can be used as non-commutative generalizations of sets;
- The category **WRel** of von Neumann algebras and quantum relations is a quantaloid (**Sup**-enriched category) with a dagger;
- ullet Its full subcategory **WRel**<sub>HA</sub> of hereditarily atomic von Neumann algebras is a dagger compact quantaloid<sup>2</sup> just like **Rel**.
- <u>Discrete quantization</u> is the process of internalizing mathematical structures in **WRel**<sub>HA</sub>;
- Compare: fuzzification can be regarded as internalizing structures in V-Rel for a quantale V such as [0,1];
- WRel<sub>HA</sub> is equivalent to a category qRel of <u>quantum sets</u>, which are essentially families of finite-dimensional Hilbert spaces called <u>atoms</u>;
- We have a fully faithful functor '(−): Rel → qRel preserving the dagger structure and the order between relations.

- Hereditarily atomic von Neumann algebras are von Neumann algebras isomorphic to  $\bigoplus_{i \in I} L(H_i)$  with  $H_i$  a finite-dimensional Hilbert space, and can be used as non-commutative generalizations of sets;
- The category WRel of von Neumann algebras and quantum relations is a quantaloid (Sup-enriched category) with a dagger;
- Its full subcategory  $\mathbf{WRel}_{\mathrm{HA}}$  of hereditarily atomic von Neumann algebras is a dagger compact quantaloid<sup>2</sup> just like  $\mathbf{Rel}$ .
- <u>Discrete quantization</u> is the process of internalizing mathematical structures in **WRel**<sub>HA</sub>;
- Compare: fuzzification can be regarded as internalizing structures in V-Rel for a quantale V such as [0,1];
- WReI<sub>HA</sub> is equivalent to a category qReI of quantum sets, which are essentially families of finite-dimensional Hilbert spaces called <u>atoms</u>;
- We have a fully faithful functor '(−): Rel → qRel preserving the dagger structure and the order between relations.

<sup>2</sup>A. Kornell, *Quantum sets*, J. Math. Phys. 61 (2020)

- Hereditarily atomic von Neumann algebras are von Neumann algebras isomorphic to  $\bigoplus_{i \in I} L(H_i)$  with  $H_i$  a finite-dimensional Hilbert space, and can be used as non-commutative generalizations of sets;
- The category WRel of von Neumann algebras and quantum relations is a quantaloid (Sup-enriched category) with a dagger;
- Its full subcategory  $\mathbf{WRel}_{\mathrm{HA}}$  of hereditarily atomic von Neumann algebras is a dagger compact quantaloid<sup>2</sup> just like  $\mathbf{Rel}$ .
- <u>Discrete quantization</u> is the process of internalizing mathematical structures in **WRel**<sub>HA</sub>;
- ullet Compare: fuzzification can be regarded as internalizing structures in  $V ext{-Rel}$  for a quantale V such as [0,1];
- WReI<sub>HA</sub> is equivalent to a category qReI of quantum sets, which are essentially families of finite-dimensional Hilbert spaces called atoms;
- We have a fully faithful functor '(−): Rel → qRel preserving the dagger structure and the order between relations.

<sup>2</sup>A. Kornell, *Quantum sets*, J. Math. Phys. 61 (2020)

- Hereditarily atomic von Neumann algebras are von Neumann algebras isomorphic to  $\bigoplus_{i \in I} L(H_i)$  with  $H_i$  a finite-dimensional Hilbert space, and can be used as non-commutative generalizations of sets;
- The category WRel of von Neumann algebras and quantum relations is a quantaloid (Sup-enriched category) with a dagger;
- Its full subcategory  $\mathbf{WRel}_{\mathrm{HA}}$  of hereditarily atomic von Neumann algebras is a dagger compact quantaloid<sup>2</sup> just like  $\mathbf{Rel}$ .
- <u>Discrete quantization</u> is the process of internalizing mathematical structures in **WRel**<sub>HA</sub>;
- Compare: fuzzification can be regarded as internalizing structures in  $V ext{-Rel}$  for a quantale V such as [0,1];
- WRel<sub>HA</sub> is equivalent to a category qRel of <u>quantum sets</u>, which are essentially families of finite-dimensional Hilbert spaces called <u>atoms</u>;
- We have a fully faithful functor '(−): Rel → qRel preserving the dagger structure and the order between relations.

<sup>2</sup>A. Kornell, *Quantum sets*, J. Math. Phys. 61 (2020)

- Hereditarily atomic von Neumann algebras are von Neumann algebras isomorphic to  $\bigoplus_{i \in I} L(H_i)$  with  $H_i$  a finite-dimensional Hilbert space, and can be used as non-commutative generalizations of sets;
- The category WRel of von Neumann algebras and quantum relations is a quantaloid (**Sup**-enriched category) with a dagger;
- Its full subcategory WRel<sub>HA</sub> of hereditarily atomic von Neumann algebras is a dagger compact quantaloid<sup>2</sup> just like **Rel**.
- Discrete quantization is the process of internalizing mathematical structures in WRelHA:
- Compare: fuzzification can be regarded as internalizing structures in V-**Rel** for a quantale V such as [0,1];
- WRel<sub>HA</sub> is equivalent to a category qRel of quantum sets, which are essentially families of finite-dimensional Hilbert spaces called atoms;
- We have a fully faithful functor (-): Rel  $\rightarrow$  qRel preserving the dagger structure and the order between relations.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>A. Kornell, *Quantum sets*, J. Math. Phys. 61 (2020) Jenča, Lindenhovius (STU, SAS)

A morphism  $f: X \to Y$  in **Rel** is a function if and only if  $f^{\dagger} \circ f \geq 1_X$  and  $f \circ f^{\dagger} \leq 1_Y$ .

#### Definition

- qSet is complete, cocomplete and symmetric monoidal closed<sup>3</sup>,
- The assignment  $\mathcal{X} \mapsto \ell^{\infty}(\mathcal{X}) := \bigoplus_{X \in \mathcal{X}} L(X)$  extends to a duality between **qSet** and the category **WStar**<sub>HA</sub> of hereditarily atomic von Neumann algebras and normal unital \*-homomorphisms;
- '(−) restricts to a fully faithful functor Set → qSet;
- Instead of 'quantum relation' and 'quantum function', we say simply 'relation' and 'function', respectively.

A morphism  $f: X \to Y$  in **Rel** is a function if and only if  $f^{\dagger} \circ f \geq 1_X$  and  $f \circ f^{\dagger} \leq 1_Y$ .

### **Definition**

- qSet is complete, cocomplete and symmetric monoidal closed<sup>3</sup>
- The assignment  $\mathcal{X} \mapsto \ell^\infty(\mathcal{X}) := \bigoplus_{X \in \mathcal{X}} L(X)$  extends to a duality between **qSet** and the category **WStar**<sub>HA</sub> of hereditarily atomic vor Neumann algebras and normal unital \*-homomorphisms;
- ullet '(-) restricts to a fully faithful functor  $\mathbf{Set} o \mathbf{qSet}$
- Instead of 'quantum relation' and 'quantum function', we say simply 'relation' and 'function', respectively.

A morphism  $f: X \to Y$  in **Rel** is a function if and only if  $f^{\dagger} \circ f \geq 1_X$  and  $f \circ f^{\dagger} \leq 1_Y$ .

### **Definition**

- qSet is complete, cocomplete and symmetric monoidal closed<sup>3</sup>;
- The assignment  $\mathcal{X} \mapsto \ell^{\infty}(\mathcal{X}) := \bigoplus_{X \in \mathcal{X}} L(X)$  extends to a duality between **qSet** and the category **WStar**<sub>HA</sub> of hereditarily atomic vor Neumann algebras and normal unital \*-homomorphisms;
- '(-) restricts to a fully faithful functor  $\mathbf{Set} \to \mathbf{qSet}$ ;
- Instead of 'quantum relation' and 'quantum function', we say simply 'relation' and 'function', respectively.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>A. Kornell, *Quantum sets*, J. Math. Phys. 61 (2020) ←□ → ←② → ←② → ←② → ◆② → ◆②

A morphism  $f: X \to Y$  in **Rel** is a function if and only if  $f^{\dagger} \circ f \geq 1_X$  and  $f \circ f^{\dagger} \leq 1_Y$ .

### **Definition**

- qSet is complete, cocomplete and symmetric monoidal closed<sup>3</sup>;
- The assignment  $\mathcal{X} \mapsto \ell^\infty(\mathcal{X}) := \bigoplus_{X \in \mathcal{X}} L(X)$  extends to a duality between **qSet** and the category **WStar**<sub>HA</sub> of hereditarily atomic von Neumann algebras and normal unital \*-homomorphisms;
- '(-) restricts to a fully faithful functor  $\mathbf{Set} \to \mathbf{qSet}$ ;
- Instead of 'quantum relation' and 'quantum function', we say simply 'relation' and 'function', respectively.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>A. Kornell, *Quantum sets*, J. Math. Phys. 61 (2020) ←□ → ←② → ←② → ←② → ◆② → ◆②

A morphism  $f: X \to Y$  in **Rel** is a function if and only if  $f^{\dagger} \circ f \geq 1_X$  and  $f \circ f^{\dagger} \leq 1_Y$ .

### **Definition**

- qSet is complete, cocomplete and symmetric monoidal closed<sup>3</sup>;
- The assignment  $\mathcal{X} \mapsto \ell^\infty(\mathcal{X}) := \bigoplus_{X \in \mathcal{X}} L(X)$  extends to a duality between **qSet** and the category **WStar**<sub>HA</sub> of hereditarily atomic von Neumann algebras and normal unital \*-homomorphisms;
- '(-) restricts to a fully faithful functor  $\mathbf{Set} \to \mathbf{qSet}$ ;
- Instead of 'quantum relation' and 'quantum function', we say simply 'relation' and 'function', respectively.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>A. Kornell, *Quantum sets*, J. Math. Phys. 61 (2020)

A morphism  $f: X \to Y$  in **Rel** is a function if and only if  $f^{\dagger} \circ f \geq 1_X$  and  $f \circ f^{\dagger} \leq 1_Y$ .

### **Definition**

- qSet is complete, cocomplete and symmetric monoidal closed<sup>3</sup>;
- The assignment  $\mathcal{X} \mapsto \ell^\infty(\mathcal{X}) := \bigoplus_{X \in \mathcal{X}} L(X)$  extends to a duality between **qSet** and the category **WStar**<sub>HA</sub> of hereditarily atomic von Neumann algebras and normal unital \*-homomorphisms;
- '(-) restricts to a fully faithful functor  $\mathbf{Set} \to \mathbf{qSet}$ ;
- Instead of 'quantum relation' and 'quantum function', we say simply 'relation' and 'function', respectively.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>A. Kornell, *Quantum sets*, J. Math. Phys. 61 (2020) ←□ → ←♂ → ← ≧ → ← ≧ → ← ≧ → ← ② → ← ○ →

# Quantum posets

An order  $\sqsubseteq$  on a set X is a binary relation such that  $1_X \leq (\sqsubseteq)$ ,  $(\sqsubseteq \circ \sqsubseteq) \leq (\sqsubseteq)$ , and  $(\sqsubseteq) \wedge (\supseteq) = 1_X$ , where  $\supseteq := \sqsubseteq^{\dagger}$ .

### Definition

- A preorder on a quantum set  $\mathcal X$  is a binary relation  $\preccurlyeq : \mathcal X \to \mathcal X$  such that
  - (1)  $I_{\mathcal{X}} \leq \preceq$  (reflexivity);
  - (2)  $\preccurlyeq \circ \preccurlyeq \leq \preccurlyeq$  (transitivity).
- The opposite  $\succcurlyeq := \preccurlyeq^{\dagger}$  of a preorder is a preorder.
- ullet A preorder  $\preccurlyeq$  on  ${\mathcal X}$  is called an order if
  - (3)  $\preccurlyeq \land \succcurlyeq \leq I_{\mathcal{X}}$  (antisymmetry)
- A function  $F: (\mathcal{X}, \preccurlyeq_{\mathcal{X}}) \to (\mathcal{Y}, \preccurlyeq_{\mathcal{Y}})$  is monotone if  $F \circ \preccurlyeq_{\mathcal{X}} \leq \preccurlyeq_{\mathcal{Y}} \circ F$

## Proposition

The functors (-): Rel  $\rightarrow$  qRel and (-): Set  $\rightarrow$  qSet induce a fully faithful functor (-): Pos  $\rightarrow$  qPos,  $(X, \sqsubseteq) \mapsto (X, \subseteq)$ .

## Quantum posets

An order  $\sqsubseteq$  on a set X is a binary relation such that  $1_X \leq (\sqsubseteq)$ ,  $(\sqsubseteq \circ \sqsubseteq) \leq (\sqsubseteq)$ , and  $(\sqsubseteq) \wedge (\supseteq) = 1_X$ , where  $\supseteq := \sqsubseteq^{\dagger}$ .

### **Definition**

- A preorder on a quantum set  $\mathcal X$  is a binary relation  $\preccurlyeq$  :  $\mathcal X \to \mathcal X$  such that
  - (1)  $I_{\mathcal{X}} \leq \preceq$  (reflexivity);
  - (2)  $\preccurlyeq \circ \preccurlyeq \leq \preccurlyeq$  (transitivity).
- The opposite  $\succcurlyeq := \preccurlyeq^{\dagger}$  of a preorder is a preorder.
- ullet A preorder  $\preccurlyeq$  on  ${\mathcal X}$  is called an order if
  - (3)  $\preccurlyeq \land \succcurlyeq \leq I_{\mathcal{X}}$  (antisymmetry)
- A function  $F: (\mathcal{X}, \preccurlyeq_{\mathcal{X}}) \to (\mathcal{Y}, \preccurlyeq_{\mathcal{Y}})$  is monotone if  $F \circ \preccurlyeq_{\mathcal{X}} \leq \preccurlyeq_{\mathcal{Y}} \circ F$

### Proposition

The functors (-): Rel  $\rightarrow$  qRel and (-): Set  $\rightarrow$  qSet induce a fully faithful functor (-): Pos  $\rightarrow$  qPos,  $(X, \sqsubseteq) \mapsto (X, \subseteq)$ .

# Quantum posets

An order  $\sqsubseteq$  on a set X is a binary relation such that  $1_X \leq (\sqsubseteq)$ ,  $(\sqsubseteq \circ \sqsubseteq) \leq (\sqsubseteq)$ , and  $(\sqsubseteq) \wedge (\supseteq) = 1_X$ , where  $\supseteq := \sqsubseteq^{\dagger}$ .

### **Definition**

- A preorder on a quantum set  $\mathcal X$  is a binary relation  $\preccurlyeq$  :  $\mathcal X \to \mathcal X$  such that
  - (1)  $I_{\mathcal{X}} \leq \preceq$  (reflexivity);
- The opposite  $\succcurlyeq := \preccurlyeq^{\dagger}$  of a preorder is a preorder.
- ullet A preorder  $\preccurlyeq$  on  ${\mathcal X}$  is called an order if
  - (3)  $\preccurlyeq \land \succcurlyeq \leq I_{\mathcal{X}}$  (antisymmetry)
- A function  $F: (\mathcal{X}, \preccurlyeq_{\mathcal{X}}) \to (\mathcal{Y}, \preccurlyeq_{\mathcal{Y}})$  is monotone if  $F \circ \preccurlyeq_{\mathcal{X}} \leq \preccurlyeq_{\mathcal{Y}} \circ F$

## Proposition

The functors (-): Rel  $\rightarrow$  qRel and (-): Set  $\rightarrow$  qSet induce a fully faithful functor (-): Pos  $\rightarrow$  qPos,  $(X, \sqsubseteq) \mapsto (X, \subseteq)$ .

- (1) Suplattices are the algebras of the lower set monad D on **Pos**;
- (2) Suplattices are posets X such that the canonical embedding  $X \to D(X)$ ,  $x \mapsto \downarrow x$  has a lower Galois adjoint  $\bigvee$ .

#### Definition

- Any monotone relation  $r: X \to Y$  corresponds to a monotone function  $X^{op} \times Y \to 2$ , so to a 2-enriched profunctor when X and Y are regarded as 2-enriched categories;
- The category RelPos of posets and monotone relations is compact closed.
- The embedding  $\operatorname{Pos} \to \operatorname{RelPos}$  has a right adjoint; the induced monad on  $\operatorname{Pos}$  is the lower set monad D. The unit of the adjunction is the embedding  $X \to D(X), x \mapsto \downarrow x$ .

- (1) Suplattices are the algebras of the lower set monad D on **Pos**;
- (2) Suplattices are posets X such that the canonical embedding  $X \to D(X)$ ,  $x \mapsto \downarrow x$  has a lower Galois adjoint  $\bigvee$ .

#### Definition

- Any monotone relation  $r: X \to Y$  corresponds to a monotone function  $X^{op} \times Y \to 2$ , so to a 2-enriched profunctor when X and Y are regarded as 2-enriched categories;
- The category RelPos of posets and monotone relations is compact closed
- The embedding  $\operatorname{Pos} \to \operatorname{RelPos}$  has a right adjoint; the induced monad on  $\operatorname{Pos}$  is the lower set monad D. The unit of the adjunction is the embedding  $X \to D(X), x \mapsto \downarrow x$ .

- (1) Suplattices are the algebras of the lower set monad D on **Pos**;
- (2) Suplattices are posets X such that the canonical embedding  $X \to D(X)$ ,  $x \mapsto \downarrow x$  has a lower Galois adjoint  $\bigvee$ .

#### Definition

- Any monotone relation  $r: X \to Y$  corresponds to a monotone function  $X^{op} \times Y \to 2$ , so to a 2-enriched profunctor when X and Y are regarded as 2-enriched categories;
- The category RelPos of posets and monotone relations is compact closed
- The embedding  $\operatorname{Pos} \to \operatorname{RelPos}$  has a right adjoint; the induced monad on  $\operatorname{Pos}$  is the lower set monad D. The unit of the adjunction is the embedding  $X \to D(X), x \mapsto \downarrow x$ .

- (1) Suplattices are the algebras of the lower set monad D on **Pos**;
- (2) Suplattices are posets X such that the canonical embedding  $X \to D(X)$ ,  $x \mapsto \downarrow x$  has a lower Galois adjoint  $\bigvee$ .

#### **Definition**

- Any monotone relation  $r: X \to Y$  corresponds to a monotone function  $X^{op} \times Y \to 2$ , so to a 2-enriched profunctor when X and Y are regarded as 2-enriched categories;
- The category RelPos of posets and monotone relations is compact closed.
- The embedding  $Pos \rightarrow RelPos$  has a right adjoint; the induced monad on Pos is the lower set monad D. The unit of the adjunction is the embedding  $X \rightarrow D(X), x \mapsto \downarrow x$ .

- (1) Suplattices are the algebras of the lower set monad D on **Pos**;
- (2) Suplattices are posets X such that the canonical embedding  $X \to D(X)$ ,  $x \mapsto \downarrow x$  has a lower Galois adjoint  $\bigvee$ .

#### **Definition**

- Any monotone relation r: X → Y corresponds to a monotone function X<sup>op</sup> × Y → 2, so to a 2-enriched profunctor when X and Y are regarded as 2-enriched categories;
- The category RelPos of posets and monotone relations is compact closed.
- The embedding  $\operatorname{Pos} \to \operatorname{RelPos}$  has a right adjoint; the induced monad on  $\operatorname{Pos}$  is the lower set monad D. The unit of the adjunction is the embedding  $X \to D(X), x \mapsto \downarrow x$ .

- (1) Suplattices are the algebras of the lower set monad D on **Pos**;
- (2) Suplattices are posets X such that the canonical embedding  $X \to D(X)$ ,  $x \mapsto \downarrow x$  has a lower Galois adjoint  $\bigvee$ .

#### **Definition**

- Any monotone relation  $r: X \to Y$  corresponds to a monotone function  $X^{\mathrm{op}} \times Y \to 2$ , so to a 2-enriched profunctor when X and Y are regarded as 2-enriched categories;
- The category **RelPos** of posets and monotone relations is compact closed.
- The embedding  $\operatorname{Pos} \to \operatorname{RelPos}$  has a right adjoint; the induced monad on  $\operatorname{Pos}$  is the lower set monad D. The unit of the adjunction is the embedding  $X \to D(X), x \mapsto \downarrow x$ .

- (1) Suplattices are the algebras of the lower set monad D on **Pos**;
- (2) Suplattices are posets X such that the canonical embedding  $X \to D(X)$ ,  $x \mapsto \downarrow x$  has a lower Galois adjoint  $\bigvee$ .

#### **Definition**

- Any monotone relation  $r: X \to Y$  corresponds to a monotone function  $X^{\mathrm{op}} \times Y \to 2$ , so to a 2-enriched profunctor when X and Y are regarded as 2-enriched categories;
- The category RelPos of posets and monotone relations is compact closed.
- The embedding  $\operatorname{Pos} \to \operatorname{RelPos}$  has a right adjoint; the induced monad on  $\operatorname{Pos}$  is the lower set monad D. The unit of the adjunction is the embedding  $X \to D(X), x \mapsto \downarrow x$ .

#### **Definition**

A monotone relation  $R: (\mathcal{X}, \preccurlyeq_{\mathcal{X}}) \to (\mathcal{Y}, \preccurlyeq_{\mathcal{Y}})$  between quantum posets is a binary relation  $R: \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$  such that  $\succcurlyeq_{\mathcal{Y}} \circ R \leq R$  and  $R \circ \succcurlyeq_{\mathcal{X}} \leq R$ .

### Theorem

The category **qRelPos** of quantum posets and monotone relations is compact closed.

#### Theorem

The embedding  $qPos \rightarrow qRelPos$  has a right adjoint; its induced monad  $\mathcal{D}$  is called the quantum lower set monad.

The existence of right adjoints of embeddings  $Pos \to RelPos$ ,  $Set \to Rel$ ,  $qSet \to qRel$  and  $qPos \to qRelPos$  can all be proven in one scheme involving the embedding of a symmetric monoidal closed category S into a compact closed category S.

#### **Definition**

A monotone relation  $R: (\mathcal{X}, \preccurlyeq_{\mathcal{X}}) \to (\mathcal{Y}, \preccurlyeq_{\mathcal{Y}})$  between quantum posets is a binary relation  $R: \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$  such that  $\succcurlyeq_{\mathcal{Y}} \circ R \leq R$  and  $R \circ \succcurlyeq_{\mathcal{X}} \leq R$ .

#### **Theorem**

The category **qRelPos** of quantum posets and monotone relations is compact closed.

#### Theorem

The embedding **qPos**  $\rightarrow$  **qRelPos** has a right adjoint; its induced monad  $\mathcal{D}$  is called the quantum lower set monad.

The existence of right adjoints of embeddings  $Pos \to RelPos$ ,  $Set \to Rel$ ,  $qSet \to qRel$  and  $qPos \to qRelPos$  can all be proven in one scheme involving the embedding of a symmetric monoidal closed category S into a compact closed category R.

#### **Definition**

A monotone relation  $R: (\mathcal{X}, \preccurlyeq_{\mathcal{X}}) \to (\mathcal{Y}, \preccurlyeq_{\mathcal{Y}})$  between quantum posets is a binary relation  $R: \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$  such that  $\succcurlyeq_{\mathcal{Y}} \circ R \leq R$  and  $R \circ \succcurlyeq_{\mathcal{X}} \leq R$ .

#### **Theorem**

The category **qRelPos** of quantum posets and monotone relations is compact closed.

#### **Theorem**

The embedding  $qPos \rightarrow qRelPos$  has a right adjoint; its induced monad  $\mathcal D$  is called the <u>quantum lower set monad</u>.

The existence of right adjoints of embeddings  $Pos \rightarrow RelPos$ ,  $Set \rightarrow Rel$ ,  $qSet \rightarrow qRel$  and  $qPos \rightarrow qRelPos$  can all be proven in one scheme involving the embedding of a symmetric monoidal closed category S into a compact closed category S.

#### **Definition**

A monotone relation  $R: (\mathcal{X}, \preccurlyeq_{\mathcal{X}}) \to (\mathcal{Y}, \preccurlyeq_{\mathcal{Y}})$  between quantum posets is a binary relation  $R: \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$  such that  $\succcurlyeq_{\mathcal{Y}} \circ R \leq R$  and  $R \circ \succcurlyeq_{\mathcal{X}} \leq R$ .

#### **Theorem**

The category **qRelPos** of quantum posets and monotone relations is compact closed.

#### **Theorem**

The embedding  $qPos \rightarrow qRelPos$  has a right adjoint; its induced monad  $\mathcal{D}$  is called the <u>quantum lower set monad</u>.

The existence of right adjoints of embeddings  $Pos \rightarrow RelPos$ ,  $Set \rightarrow Rel$ ,  $qSet \rightarrow qRel$  and  $qPos \rightarrow qRelPos$  can all be proven in one scheme involving the embedding of a symmetric monoidal closed category S into a compact closed category S.

#### **Definition**

The <u>pointwise order</u>  $\sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{Y}}$  of functions  $F, G : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$  where  $\mathcal{X}$  is a quantum set and  $\mathcal{Y}$  is a quantum poset ordered by  $\preccurlyeq$  is defined by  $F \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{Y}} G$  if and only if  $F \leq \succcurlyeq \circ G$ .

#### Definition

A <u>Galois connection</u> between quantum posets  $(\mathcal{X}, \preccurlyeq_{\mathcal{X}})$  and  $(\mathcal{Y}, \preccurlyeq_{\mathcal{Y}})$  consists of a pair of monotone maps  $F: \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$  and  $G: \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}$  such that  $I_{\mathcal{X}} \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{X}} G \circ F$  and  $F \circ G \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{Y}} I_{\mathcal{Y}}$ . F is called the <u>lower Galois adjoint of</u> G.

Also in the quantum case there is a concept of closure operators related to Galois connections:

#### Definition

### **Definition**

The <u>pointwise order</u>  $\sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{Y}}$  of functions  $F, G : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$  where  $\mathcal{X}$  is a quantum set and  $\mathcal{Y}$  is a quantum poset ordered by  $\preccurlyeq$  is defined by  $F \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{Y}} G$  if and only if  $F \leq \succcurlyeq \circ G$ .

### **Definition**

A <u>Galois connection</u> between quantum posets  $(\mathcal{X}, \preccurlyeq_{\mathcal{X}})$  and  $(\mathcal{Y}, \preccurlyeq_{\mathcal{Y}})$  consists of a pair of monotone maps  $F: \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$  and  $G: \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}$  such that  $I_{\mathcal{X}} \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{X}} G \circ F$  and  $F \circ G \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{Y}} I_{\mathcal{Y}}$ . F is called the <u>lower Galois adjoint of</u> G.

Also in the quantum case there is a concept of closure operators related to Galois connections:

#### Definition

### **Definition**

The <u>pointwise order</u>  $\sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{Y}}$  of functions  $F, G : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$  where  $\mathcal{X}$  is a quantum set and  $\mathcal{Y}$  is a quantum poset ordered by  $\preccurlyeq$  is defined by  $F \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{Y}} G$  if and only if  $F \leq \succcurlyeq \circ G$ .

### **Definition**

A <u>Galois connection</u> between quantum posets  $(\mathcal{X}, \preccurlyeq_{\mathcal{X}})$  and  $(\mathcal{Y}, \preccurlyeq_{\mathcal{Y}})$  consists of a pair of monotone maps  $F: \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$  and  $G: \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}$  such that  $I_{\mathcal{X}} \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{X}} G \circ F$  and  $F \circ G \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{Y}} I_{\mathcal{Y}}$ . F is called the <u>lower Galois adjoint of</u> G.

Also in the quantum case there is a concept of closure operators related to Galois connections:

#### Definition

#### Definition

The pointwise order  $\sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{Y}}$  of functions  $F, G : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$  where  $\mathcal{X}$  is a quantum set and  $\mathcal{Y}$  is a quantum poset ordered by  $\preccurlyeq$  is defined by  $F \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{Y}} G$  if and only if  $F \leq \succcurlyeq \circ G$ .

### **Definition**

A <u>Galois connection</u> between quantum posets  $(\mathcal{X}, \preccurlyeq_{\mathcal{X}})$  and  $(\mathcal{Y}, \preccurlyeq_{\mathcal{Y}})$  consists of a pair of monotone maps  $F: \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$  and  $G: \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}$  such that  $I_{\mathcal{X}} \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{X}} G \circ F$  and  $F \circ G \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{Y}} I_{\mathcal{Y}}$ . F is called the <u>lower Galois adjoint of</u> G.

Also in the quantum case there is a concept of closure operators related to Galois connections:

#### **Definition**

The unit of the **qPos/qRelPos** adjunction yields a canonical order embedding  $\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{X})$ ; a quantum generalization of the order embedding  $X \to \mathcal{D}(X)$ ,  $x \mapsto \downarrow x$  for ordinary posets X.

### Definition

A quantum poset  $(\mathcal{X}, \preccurlyeq_{\mathcal{X}})$  is called a <u>quantum suplattice</u> if the canonical order embedding  $\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{X})$  has a lower Galois adjoint  $\bigvee_{\mathcal{X}}$ . A monotone map  $F: (\mathcal{X}, \preccurlyeq_{\mathcal{X}}) \to (\mathcal{Y}, \preccurlyeq_{\mathcal{Y}})$  between quantum suplattices is called a <u>sup-homomorphism</u> if  $F \circ \bigvee_{\mathcal{X}} = \bigvee_{\mathcal{Y}} \circ \mathcal{D}(F)$ . The category of quantum suplattices and sup-homomorphisms is denoted by **qSup**.

## Example

Let  $\mathcal X$  be a quantum poset. Then  $\mathcal D(\mathcal X)$  is a quantum suplattice where  $\bigvee_{\mathcal D(\mathcal X)}$  is the multiplication  $\mathcal D^2(\mathcal X) \to \mathcal D(\mathcal X)$ .

### Theorem

The unit of the qPos/qRelPos adjunction yields a canonical order embedding  $\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{X})$ ; a quantum generalization of the order embedding  $X \to \mathcal{D}(X)$ ,  $x \mapsto \downarrow x$  for ordinary posets X.

### **Definition**

A quantum poset  $(\mathcal{X}, \preccurlyeq_{\mathcal{X}})$  is called a <u>quantum suplattice</u> if the canonical order embedding  $\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{X})$  has a lower Galois adjoint  $\bigvee_{\mathcal{X}}$ . A monotone map  $F: (\mathcal{X}, \preccurlyeq_{\mathcal{X}}) \to (\mathcal{Y}, \preccurlyeq_{\mathcal{Y}})$  between quantum suplattices is called a <u>sup-homomorphism</u> if  $F \circ \bigvee_{\mathcal{X}} = \bigvee_{\mathcal{Y}} \circ \mathcal{D}(F)$ . The category of quantum suplattices and sup-homomorphisms is denoted by **qSup**.

## Example

Let  $\mathcal X$  be a quantum poset. Then  $\mathcal D(\mathcal X)$  is a quantum suplattice where  $V_{\mathcal D(\mathcal X)}$  is the multiplication  $\mathcal D^2(\mathcal X) \to \mathcal D(\mathcal X)$ .

## Theorem

The unit of the **qPos/qRelPos** adjunction yields a canonical order embedding  $\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{X})$ ; a quantum generalization of the order embedding  $X \to \mathcal{D}(X)$ ,  $x \mapsto \downarrow x$  for ordinary posets X.

### **Definition**

A quantum poset  $(\mathcal{X}, \preccurlyeq_{\mathcal{X}})$  is called a <u>quantum suplattice</u> if the canonical order embedding  $\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{X})$  has a lower Galois adjoint  $\bigvee_{\mathcal{X}}$ . A monotone map  $F: (\mathcal{X}, \preccurlyeq_{\mathcal{X}}) \to (\mathcal{Y}, \preccurlyeq_{\mathcal{Y}})$  between quantum suplattices is called a <u>sup-homomorphism</u> if  $F \circ \bigvee_{\mathcal{X}} = \bigvee_{\mathcal{Y}} \circ \mathcal{D}(F)$ . The category of quantum suplattices and sup-homomorphisms is denoted by **qSup**.

## Example

Let  $\mathcal X$  be a quantum poset. Then  $\mathcal D(\mathcal X)$  is a quantum suplattice where  $\bigvee_{\mathcal D(\mathcal X)}$  is the multiplication  $\mathcal D^2(\mathcal X) \to \mathcal D(\mathcal X)$ .

## Theorem

The unit of the **qPos/qRelPos** adjunction yields a canonical order embedding  $\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{X})$ ; a quantum generalization of the order embedding  $X \to \mathcal{D}(X)$ ,  $x \mapsto \downarrow x$  for ordinary posets X.

### **Definition**

A quantum poset  $(\mathcal{X}, \preccurlyeq_{\mathcal{X}})$  is called a <u>quantum suplattice</u> if the canonical order embedding  $\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{X})$  has a lower Galois adjoint  $\bigvee_{\mathcal{X}}$ . A monotone map  $F: (\mathcal{X}, \preccurlyeq_{\mathcal{X}}) \to (\mathcal{Y}, \preccurlyeq_{\mathcal{Y}})$  between quantum suplattices is called a <u>sup-homomorphism</u> if  $F \circ \bigvee_{\mathcal{X}} = \bigvee_{\mathcal{Y}} \circ \mathcal{D}(F)$ . The category of quantum suplattices and sup-homomorphisms is denoted by **qSup**.

## Example

Let  $\mathcal X$  be a quantum poset. Then  $\mathcal D(\mathcal X)$  is a quantum suplattice where  $\bigvee_{\mathcal D(\mathcal X)}$  is the multiplication  $\mathcal D^2(\mathcal X) \to \mathcal D(\mathcal X)$ .

### **Theorem**

### Proposition

The fully faithful functor (-): **Pos**  $\rightarrow$  **qPos** does not restrict and corestrict to a functor  $\mathbf{Sup} \rightarrow \mathbf{qSup}$ .

## Counterexample

The 4-element Boolean algebra is not a quantum suplattice.

- If X is a poset with poset D(X) of lower sets, then 'X is a quantum poset, and 'D(X) is a quantum poset which embeds into D(X);
- The image of this embedding are the one-dimensional atoms of  $\mathcal{D}(X)$ , i.e., its classical part of  $\mathcal{D}(X)$ .
- However,  $\mathcal{D}(X)$  has also higher-dimensional atoms

### Conjecture

## Proposition

The fully faithful functor (-): **Pos**  $\rightarrow$  **qPos** does not restrict and corestrict to a functor  $\mathbf{Sup} \rightarrow \mathbf{qSup}$ .

## Counterexample

The 4-element Boolean algebra is not a quantum suplattice.

- If X is a poset with poset D(X) of lower sets, then 'X is a quantum poset, and 'D(X) is a quantum poset which embeds into D(X);
- The image of this embedding are the one-dimensional atoms of  $\mathcal{D}(X)$ , i.e., its classical part of  $\mathcal{D}(X)$ .
- ullet However,  $\mathcal{D}({}^{\iota}X)$  has also higher-dimensional atoms

### Conjecture

### Proposition

The fully faithful functor '(-):  $\mathbf{Pos} \to \mathbf{qPos}$  does not restrict and corestrict to a functor  $\mathbf{Sup} \to \mathbf{qSup}$ .

## Counterexample

The 4-element Boolean algebra is not a quantum suplattice.

- If X is a poset with poset D(X) of lower sets, then 'X is a quantum poset, and 'D(X) is a quantum poset which embeds into D(X);
- The image of this embedding are the one-dimensional atoms of  $\mathcal{D}({}^{\iota}X)$ , i.e., its classical part of  $\mathcal{D}({}^{\iota}X)$ .
- However,  $\mathcal{D}({}^{\iota}X)$  has also higher-dimensional atoms.

## Conjecture

### Proposition

The fully faithful functor '(-):  $\mathbf{Pos} \to \mathbf{qPos}$  does not restrict and corestrict to a functor  $\mathbf{Sup} \to \mathbf{qSup}$ .

## Counterexample

The 4-element Boolean algebra is not a quantum suplattice.

- If X is a poset with poset D(X) of lower sets, then 'X is a quantum poset, and 'D(X) is a quantum poset which embeds into  $\mathcal{D}(X)$ ;
- The image of this embedding are the one-dimensional atoms of  $\mathcal{D}({}^{\iota}X)$ , i.e., its classical part of  $\mathcal{D}({}^{\iota}X)$ .
- However,  $\mathcal{D}({}^{\iota}X)$  has also higher-dimensional atoms.

## Conjecture

### Proposition

The fully faithful functor '(-):  $\mathbf{Pos} \to \mathbf{qPos}$  does not restrict and corestrict to a functor  $\mathbf{Sup} \to \mathbf{qSup}$ .

## Counterexample

The 4-element Boolean algebra is not a quantum suplattice.

- If X is a poset with poset D(X) of lower sets, then 'X is a quantum poset, and 'D(X) is a quantum poset which embeds into D(X);
- The image of this embedding are the one-dimensional atoms of  $\mathcal{D}({}^{\iota}X)$ , i.e., its classical part of  $\mathcal{D}({}^{\iota}X)$ .
- However,  $\mathcal{D}({}^{\iota}X)$  has also higher-dimensional atoms.

## Conjecture

### Proposition

The fully faithful functor '(-):  $\mathbf{Pos} \to \mathbf{qPos}$  does not restrict and corestrict to a functor  $\mathbf{Sup} \to \mathbf{qSup}$ .

## Counterexample

The 4-element Boolean algebra is not a quantum suplattice.

- If X is a poset with poset D(X) of lower sets, then 'X is a quantum poset, and 'D(X) is a quantum poset which embeds into  $\mathcal{D}(X)$ ;
- The image of this embedding are the one-dimensional atoms of  $\mathcal{D}({}^{\iota}X)$ , i.e., its classical part of  $\mathcal{D}({}^{\iota}X)$ .
- However,  $\mathcal{D}({}^{\iota}X)$  has also higher-dimensional atoms.

## Conjecture

### Proposition

The fully faithful functor '(-):  $\mathbf{Pos} \to \mathbf{qPos}$  does not restrict and corestrict to a functor  $\mathbf{Sup} \to \mathbf{qSup}$ .

## Counterexample

The 4-element Boolean algebra is not a quantum suplattice.

- If X is a poset with poset D(X) of lower sets, then 'X is a quantum poset, and 'D(X) is a quantum poset which embeds into D(X);
- The image of this embedding are the one-dimensional atoms of  $\mathcal{D}({}^{\iota}X)$ , i.e., its classical part of  $\mathcal{D}({}^{\iota}X)$ .
- However,  $\mathcal{D}({}^{\iota}X)$  has also higher-dimensional atoms.

### Conjecture

# Quantum versions of some theorems on suplattices

#### **Theorem**

The opposite  $(\mathcal{X}, \succcurlyeq)$  of a quantum suplattice  $(\mathcal{X}, \preccurlyeq)$  is a quantum suplattice.

#### Theorem

Let  $F: \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$  be a monotone map between quantum suplattices. Then F is a sup-homomorphism if and only if F is a lower Galois adjoint.

Let  $F: \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}$  be a monotone endomap on a quantum poset  $\mathcal{X}$ . A subset  $\mathcal{Y} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$  with canonical embedding  $J_{\mathcal{Y}}: \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}$  is called a <u>subset of fixpoints</u> if  $F \circ J_{\mathcal{Y}} = J_{\mathcal{Y}}$ .

## Theorem (Quantum Knaster-Tarski)

Let  $F: \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}$  be a monotone endomap on a quantum suplattice  $(\mathcal{X}, \preccurlyeq)$ . Then the largest subset of fixpoints  $\mathcal{Y}$  of  $\mathcal{X}$  exists and is a quantum suplattice in its relative order  $J_{\mathcal{Y}}^{\dagger} \circ \preccurlyeq \circ J_{\mathcal{Y}}$ .

# Quantum versions of some theorems on suplattices

#### **Theorem**

The opposite  $(\mathcal{X}, \succcurlyeq)$  of a quantum suplattice  $(\mathcal{X}, \preccurlyeq)$  is a quantum suplattice.

#### **Theorem**

Let  $F: \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$  be a monotone map between quantum suplattices. Then F is a sup-homomorphism if and only if F is a lower Galois adjoint.

Let  $F: \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}$  be a monotone endomap on a quantum poset  $\mathcal{X}$ . A subset  $\mathcal{Y} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$  with canonical embedding  $J_{\mathcal{Y}}: \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}$  is called a <u>subset of fixpoints</u> if  $F \circ J_{\mathcal{Y}} = J_{\mathcal{Y}}$ .

#### Theorem (Quantum Knaster-Tarski)

Let  $F: \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}$  be a monotone endomap on a quantum suplattice  $(\mathcal{X}, \preccurlyeq)$ . Then the largest subset of fixpoints  $\mathcal{Y}$  of  $\mathcal{X}$  exists and is a quantum suplattice in its relative order  $J_{\mathcal{Y}}^{\dagger} \circ \preccurlyeq \circ J_{\mathcal{Y}}$ .

# Quantum versions of some theorems on suplattices

#### **Theorem**

The opposite  $(\mathcal{X}, \succcurlyeq)$  of a quantum suplattice  $(\mathcal{X}, \preccurlyeq)$  is a quantum suplattice.

#### **Theorem**

Let  $F: \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$  be a monotone map between quantum suplattices. Then F is a sup-homomorphism if and only if F is a lower Galois adjoint.

Let  $F: \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}$  be a monotone endomap on a quantum poset  $\mathcal{X}$ . A subset  $\mathcal{Y} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$  with canonical embedding  $J_{\mathcal{Y}}: \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}$  is called a <u>subset of fixpoints</u> if  $F \circ J_{\mathcal{Y}} = J_{\mathcal{Y}}$ .

#### Theorem (Quantum Knaster-Tarski)

Let  $F: \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}$  be a monotone endomap on a quantum suplattice  $(\mathcal{X}, \preccurlyeq)$ . Then the largest subset of fixpoints  $\mathcal{Y}$  of  $\mathcal{X}$  exists and is a quantum suplattice in its relative order  $J_{\mathcal{Y}}^{\dagger} \circ \preccurlyeq \circ J_{\mathcal{Y}}$ .

# Quantum versions of some theorems on suplattices

#### **Theorem**

The opposite  $(\mathcal{X}, \succcurlyeq)$  of a quantum suplattice  $(\mathcal{X}, \preccurlyeq)$  is a quantum suplattice.

#### **Theorem**

Let  $F: \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$  be a monotone map between quantum suplattices. Then F is a sup-homomorphism if and only if F is a lower Galois adjoint.

Let  $F: \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}$  be a monotone endomap on a quantum poset  $\mathcal{X}$ . A subset  $\mathcal{Y} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$  with canonical embedding  $J_{\mathcal{Y}}: \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}$  is called a <u>subset of</u> fixpoints if  $F \circ J_{\mathcal{Y}} = J_{\mathcal{Y}}$ .

#### Theorem (Quantum Knaster-Tarski)

Let  $F: \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}$  be a monotone endomap on a quantum suplattice  $(\mathcal{X}, \preccurlyeq)$ . Then the largest subset of fixpoints  $\mathcal{Y}$  of  $\mathcal{X}$  exists and is a quantum suplattice in its relative order  $J_{\mathcal{Y}}^{\dagger} \circ \preccurlyeq \circ J_{\mathcal{Y}}$ .

#### Open problems

#### Conjecture (Quantum Cantor-Schröder-Bernstein)

Let  $F: \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$  and  $G: \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}$  be injective functions between quantum sets  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $\mathcal{Y}$ . Then there is a bijection  $\mathcal{X} \cong \mathcal{Y}$ .

In terms of operator algebras, this translates to

#### Conjecture

Let  $f: M \to N$  and  $g: N \to M$  be surjective normal unital \*-homomorphisms between hereditarily atomic von Neumann algebras M and N. Then there is a \*-isomorphism  $M \to N$ .

#### Open problems

#### Conjecture (Quantum Cantor-Schröder-Bernstein)

Let  $F: \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$  and  $G: \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}$  be injective functions between quantum sets  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $\mathcal{Y}$ . Then there is a bijection  $\mathcal{X} \cong \mathcal{Y}$ .

In terms of operator algebras, this translates to

#### Conjecture

Let  $f: M \to N$  and  $g: N \to M$  be surjective normal unital \*-homomorphisms between hereditarily atomic von Neumann algebras M and N. Then there is a \*-isomorphism  $M \to N$ .

- C\*-algebras form the standard approach to quantum topology, but only generalize locally compact Hausdorff spaces.
- Several mathematical structures have associated topological spaces that are not locally compact Hausdorff
- Examples: the Alexandrov topology on a poset, the Scott topology on a cpo.
- We propose different definitions of quantum topologies that allows the quantization of specific topological spaces beyond locally compact Hausdorff spaces.

- C\*-algebras form the standard approach to quantum topology, but only generalize locally compact Hausdorff spaces.
- Several mathematical structures have associated topological spaces that are not locally compact Hausdorff
- Examples: the Alexandrov topology on a poset, the Scott topology on a cpo.
- We propose different definitions of quantum topologies that allows the quantization of specific topological spaces beyond locally compact Hausdorff spaces.

- C\*-algebras form the standard approach to quantum topology, but only generalize locally compact Hausdorff spaces.
- Several mathematical structures have associated topological spaces that are not locally compact Hausdorff
- Examples: the Alexandrov topology on a poset, the Scott topology on a cpo.
- We propose different definitions of quantum topologies that allows the quantization of specific topological spaces beyond locally compact Hausdorff spaces.

- C\*-algebras form the standard approach to quantum topology, but only generalize locally compact Hausdorff spaces.
- Several mathematical structures have associated topological spaces that are not locally compact Hausdorff
- Examples: the Alexandrov topology on a poset, the Scott topology on a cpo.
- We propose different definitions of quantum topologies that allows the quantization of specific topological spaces beyond locally compact Hausdorff spaces.

- The quantum power set  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$  of a quantum set  $\mathcal{X}$  is given by  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{X}, I_{\mathcal{X}}) := [\mathcal{X}^*, \mathbf{2}]_{qPOS}$ .
- Here, 2 = 2, where 2 is the ordinary two-element chain.
- The ortholattice operations on the ordinary power set can be defined in terms of ortholattice operations on 2;
- The latter operations induce operations on 2, and also operations  $\cap$ ,  $\cup$ ,  $(-)^c$  on  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$  that resemble ortholattice operations.

## Definition (First candidate definition of a quantum topology)

A quantum topology on a quantum set  $\mathcal{X}$  is a subset  $\mathcal{T}$  of  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$  that contains the largest and smallest atom of  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$  such that  $\bigcup_{\mathcal{X}}: \mathcal{P}^2(\mathcal{X}) \to \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$  and  $\cap: \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X}) \times \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X}) \to \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$  restrict and corestrict to maps  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{T}) \to \mathcal{T}$  and  $\mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{T}$ , respectively

# Definition (Second candidate definition of a quantum topology)

- The quantum power set  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$  of a quantum set  $\mathcal{X}$  is given by  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{X}, I_{\mathcal{X}}) := [\mathcal{X}^*, \mathbf{2}]_{qPOS}$ .
- Here, 2 = 2, where 2 is the ordinary two-element chain.
- The ortholattice operations on the ordinary power set can be defined in terms of ortholattice operations on 2;
- The latter operations induce operations on 2, and also operations  $\cap$ ,  $\cup$ ,  $(-)^c$  on  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$  that resemble ortholattice operations.

## Definition (First candidate definition of a quantum topology)

A quantum topology on a quantum set  $\mathcal{X}$  is a subset  $\mathcal{T}$  of  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$  that contains the largest and smallest atom of  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$  such that  $\bigcup_{\mathcal{X}}: \mathcal{P}^2(\mathcal{X}) \to \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$  and  $\cap: \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X}) \times \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X}) \to \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$  restrict and corestrict to maps  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{T}) \to \mathcal{T}$  and  $\mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{T}$ , respectively

## Definition (Second candidate definition of a quantum topology)

- The quantum power set  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$  of a quantum set  $\mathcal{X}$  is given by  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{X}, I_{\mathcal{X}}) := [\mathcal{X}^*, \mathbf{2}]_{qPOS}$ .
- Here, 2 = 2, where 2 is the ordinary two-element chain.
- The ortholattice operations on the ordinary power set can be defined in terms of ortholattice operations on 2;
- The latter operations induce operations on **2**, and also operations  $\cap$ ,  $\cup$ ,  $(-)^c$  on  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$  that resemble ortholattice operations.

#### Definition (First candidate definition of a quantum topology)

A quantum topology on a quantum set  $\mathcal{X}$  is a subset  $\mathcal{T}$  of  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$  that contains the largest and smallest atom of  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$  such that  $\bigcup_{\mathcal{X}}: \mathcal{P}^2(\mathcal{X}) \to \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$  and  $\cap: \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X}) \times \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X}) \to \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$  restrict and corestrict to maps  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{T}) \to \mathcal{T}$  and  $\mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{T}$ , respectively

## Definition (Second candidate definition of a quantum topology)

- The quantum power set  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$  of a quantum set  $\mathcal{X}$  is given by  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{X}, I_{\mathcal{X}}) := [\mathcal{X}^*, \mathbf{2}]_{qPOS}$ .
- Here, 2 = 2, where 2 is the ordinary two-element chain.
- The ortholattice operations on the ordinary power set can be defined in terms of ortholattice operations on 2;
- The latter operations induce operations on **2**, and also operations  $\cap$ ,  $\cup$ ,  $(-)^c$  on  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$  that resemble ortholattice operations.

#### Definition (First candidate definition of a quantum topology)

A quantum topology on a quantum set  $\mathcal{X}$  is a subset  $\mathcal{T}$  of  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$  that contains the largest and smallest atom of  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$  such that  $\bigcup_{\mathcal{X}}: \mathcal{P}^2(\mathcal{X}) \to \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$  and  $\cap: \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X}) \times \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X}) \to \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$  restrict and corestrict to maps  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{T}) \to \mathcal{T}$  and  $\mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{T}$ , respectively

# Definition (Second candidate definition of a quantum topology)

- The quantum power set  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$  of a quantum set  $\mathcal{X}$  is given by  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{X}, I_{\mathcal{X}}) := [\mathcal{X}^*, \mathbf{2}]_{qPOS}$ .
- Here, 2 = 2, where 2 is the ordinary two-element chain.
- The ortholattice operations on the ordinary power set can be defined in terms of ortholattice operations on 2;
- The latter operations induce operations on **2**, and also operations  $\cap$ ,  $\cup$ ,  $(-)^c$  on  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$  that resemble ortholattice operations.

#### Definition (First candidate definition of a quantum topology)

A quantum topology on a quantum set  $\mathcal{X}$  is a subset  $\mathcal{T}$  of  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$  that contains the largest and smallest atom of  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$  such that  $\bigcup_{\mathcal{X}}: \mathcal{P}^2(\mathcal{X}) \to \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$  and  $\cap: \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X}) \times \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X}) \to \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$  restrict and corestrict to maps  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{T}) \to \mathcal{T}$  and  $\mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{T}$ , respectively

## Definition (Second candidate definition of a quantum topology)

- The quantum power set  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$  of a quantum set  $\mathcal{X}$  is given by  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{X}, I_{\mathcal{X}}) := [\mathcal{X}^*, \mathbf{2}]_{qPOS}$ .
- ullet Here,  ${f 2}=$  '2, where 2 is the ordinary two-element chain.
- The ortholattice operations on the ordinary power set can be defined in terms of ortholattice operations on 2;
- The latter operations induce operations on **2**, and also operations  $\cap$ ,  $\cup$ ,  $(-)^c$  on  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$  that resemble ortholattice operations.

## Definition (First candidate definition of a quantum topology)

A quantum topology on a quantum set  $\mathcal{X}$  is a subset  $\mathcal{T}$  of  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$  that contains the largest and smallest atom of  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$  such that  $\bigcup_{\mathcal{X}}: \mathcal{P}^2(\mathcal{X}) \to \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$  and  $\cap: \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X}) \times \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X}) \to \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$  restrict and corestrict to maps  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{T}) \to \mathcal{T}$  and  $\mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{T}$ , respectively

Definition (Second candidate definition of a quantum topology) A quantum topology on a quantum set  $\mathcal{X}$  is a closure operator C on

- The quantum power set  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$  of a quantum set  $\mathcal{X}$  is given by  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{X}, I_{\mathcal{X}}) := [\mathcal{X}^*, \mathbf{2}]_{qPOS}$ .
- Here, 2 = 2, where 2 is the ordinary two-element chain.
- The ortholattice operations on the ordinary power set can be defined in terms of ortholattice operations on 2;
- The latter operations induce operations on **2**, and also operations  $\cap$ ,  $\cup$ ,  $(-)^c$  on  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$  that resemble ortholattice operations.

## Definition (First candidate definition of a quantum topology)

A quantum topology on a quantum set  $\mathcal{X}$  is a subset  $\mathcal{T}$  of  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$  that contains the largest and smallest atom of  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$  such that  $\bigcup_{\mathcal{X}}: \mathcal{P}^2(\mathcal{X}) \to \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$  and  $\cap: \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X}) \times \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X}) \to \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$  restrict and corestrict to maps  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{T}) \to \mathcal{T}$  and  $\mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{T}$ , respectively

#### Definition (Second candidate definition of a quantum topology)

- The quantum Alexandrov topology on a quantum poset (X, ≼) should be the internal hom [(X, ≽)\*, 2]<sub>qPos</sub>.
- We previously quantized cpos. The internal hom  $[(X, \succeq)^*, 2]_{qCPO}$  should be the quantum Scott topology on a quantum cpo  $(\mathcal{X}, \preccurlyeq)$
- A quantum metric on a quantum set  $\mathcal{X}$  in the sense of Kuperberg and Weaver is a family of relations  $(D_r: \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}, r \ge 0)$  such that
  - (1)  $D_r = \bigwedge_{s>r} D_s$  for each  $r \in [0, \infty)$ ;
  - (2)  $D_0 = I_X$
  - (3)  $D_r^1 = D_r$  for each  $r \in [0, \infty)$ ;
  - (4)  $D_r \circ D_s \leq D_{r+s}$  for each  $r, s \in [0, \infty)$

- The quantum Alexandrov topology on a quantum poset  $(\mathcal{X}, \preccurlyeq)$  should be the internal hom  $[(\mathbf{X}, \succcurlyeq)^*, \mathbf{2}]_{\mathbf{qPos}}$ .
- We previously quantized cpos. The internal hom  $[(X, \succeq)^*, 2]_{qCPO}$  should be the quantum Scott topology on a quantum cpo  $(\mathcal{X}, \preceq)$ .
- A quantum metric on a quantum set  $\mathcal{X}$  in the sense of Kuperberg and Weaver is a family of relations  $(D_r: \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}, r \ge 0)$  such that
  - (1)  $D_r = \bigwedge_{s>r} D_s$  for each  $r \in [0, \infty)$ ;
  - $(2) D_0 = I_{\mathcal{X}};$
  - (3)  $D_r^1 = D_r$  for each  $r \in [0, \infty)$ ;
  - (4)  $D_r \circ D_s \leq D_{r+s}$  for each  $r, s \in [0, \infty)$

- The quantum Alexandrov topology on a quantum poset (X, ≼) should be the internal hom [(X, ≽)\*, 2]<sub>qPos</sub>.
- We previously quantized cpos. The internal hom  $[(X, \succcurlyeq)^*, 2]_{qCPO}$  should be the quantum Scott topology on a quantum cpo  $(\mathcal{X}, \preccurlyeq)$ .
- A quantum metric on a quantum set  $\mathcal{X}$  in the sense of Kuperberg and Weaver is a family of relations  $(D_r : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}, r \ge 0)$  such that
  - (1)  $D_r = \bigwedge_{s>r} D_s$  for each  $r \in [0, \infty)$ ;
  - $(2) D_0 = I_{\mathcal{X}};$
  - (3)  $D_r^{\dagger} = D_r$  for each  $r \in [0, \infty)$ ;
  - (4)  $D_r \circ D_s \leq D_{r+s}$  for each  $r, s \in [0, \infty)$ .

- The quantum Alexandrov topology on a quantum poset (X, ≼) should be the internal hom [(X, ≽)\*, 2]<sub>qPos</sub>.
- We previously quantized cpos. The internal hom  $[(X, \succcurlyeq)^*, 2]_{qCPO}$  should be the quantum Scott topology on a quantum cpo  $(\mathcal{X}, \preccurlyeq)$ .
- A quantum metric on a quantum set  $\mathcal{X}$  in the sense of Kuperberg and Weaver is a family of relations  $(D_r : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}, r \ge 0)$  such that
  - (1)  $D_r = \bigwedge_{s>r} D_s$  for each  $r \in [0, \infty)$ ;
  - $(2) D_0 = I_{\mathcal{X}};$
  - (3)  $D_r^{\dagger} = D_r$  for each  $r \in [0, \infty)$ ;
  - (4)  $D_r \circ D_s \leq D_{r+s}$  for each  $r, s \in [0, \infty)$ .

- The quantum Alexandrov topology on a quantum poset  $(\mathcal{X}, \preccurlyeq)$  should be the internal hom  $[(\mathbf{X}, \succcurlyeq)^*, \mathbf{2}]_{qPos}$ .
- We previously quantized cpos. The internal hom  $[(X, \succcurlyeq)^*, 2]_{qCPO}$  should be the quantum Scott topology on a quantum cpo  $(\mathcal{X}, \preccurlyeq)$ .
- A quantum metric on a quantum set  $\mathcal{X}$  in the sense of Kuperberg and Weaver is a family of relations  $(D_r : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}, r \ge 0)$  such that
  - (1)  $D_r = \bigwedge_{s>r} D_s$  for each  $r \in [0, \infty)$ ;
  - (2)  $D_0 = I_{\mathcal{X}};$
  - (3)  $D_r^{\dagger} = D_r$  for each  $r \in [0, \infty)$ ;
  - (4)  $D_r \circ D_s \leq D_{r+s}$  for each  $r, s \in [0, \infty)$ .

- The quantum Alexandrov topology on a quantum poset (X, ≼) should be the internal hom [(X, ≽)\*, 2]<sub>qPos</sub>.
- We previously quantized cpos. The internal hom  $[(X, \succcurlyeq)^*, 2]_{qCPO}$  should be the quantum Scott topology on a quantum cpo  $(\mathcal{X}, \preccurlyeq)$ .
- A quantum metric on a quantum set  $\mathcal{X}$  in the sense of Kuperberg and Weaver is a family of relations  $(D_r : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}, r \ge 0)$  such that
  - (1)  $D_r = \bigwedge_{s>r} D_s$  for each  $r \in [0, \infty)$ ;
  - $(2) D_0 = I_{\mathcal{X}};$
  - (3)  $D_r^{\dagger} = D_r$  for each  $r \in [0, \infty)$ ;
  - (4)  $D_r \circ D_s \leq D_{r+s}$  for each  $r, s \in [0, \infty)$ .

- The quantum Alexandrov topology on a quantum poset (X, ≼) should be the internal hom [(X, ≽)\*, 2]<sub>qPos</sub>.
- We previously quantized cpos. The internal hom  $[(X, \succcurlyeq)^*, 2]_{qCPO}$  should be the quantum Scott topology on a quantum cpo  $(\mathcal{X}, \preccurlyeq)$ .
- A quantum metric on a quantum set  $\mathcal{X}$  in the sense of Kuperberg and Weaver is a family of relations  $(D_r : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}, r \ge 0)$  such that
  - (1)  $D_r = \bigwedge_{s>r} D_s$  for each  $r \in [0, \infty)$ ;
  - $(2) D_0 = I_{\mathcal{X}};$
  - (3)  $D_r^{\dagger} = D_r$  for each  $r \in [0, \infty)$ ;
  - (4)  $D_r \circ D_s \leq D_{r+s}$  for each  $r, s \in [0, \infty)$ .

- The quantum Alexandrov topology on a quantum poset (X, ≼) should be the internal hom [(X, ≽)\*, 2]<sub>qPos</sub>.
- We previously quantized cpos. The internal hom  $[(X, \succcurlyeq)^*, 2]_{qCPO}$  should be the quantum Scott topology on a quantum cpo  $(\mathcal{X}, \preccurlyeq)$ .
- A quantum metric on a quantum set  $\mathcal{X}$  in the sense of Kuperberg and Weaver is a family of relations  $(D_r : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}, r \ge 0)$  such that
  - (1)  $D_r = \bigwedge_{s>r} D_s$  for each  $r \in [0, \infty)$ ;
  - (2)  $D_0 = I_{\mathcal{X}};$
  - (3)  $D_r^{\dagger} = D_r$  for each  $r \in [0, \infty)$ ;
  - (4)  $D_r \circ D_s \leq D_{r+s}$  for each  $r, s \in [0, \infty)$ .

- The quantum Alexandrov topology on a quantum poset (X, ≼) should be the internal hom [(X, ≽)\*, 2]<sub>qPos</sub>.
- We previously quantized cpos. The internal hom  $[(X, \succcurlyeq)^*, 2]_{qCPO}$  should be the quantum Scott topology on a quantum cpo  $(\mathcal{X}, \preccurlyeq)$ .
- A quantum metric on a quantum set  $\mathcal{X}$  in the sense of Kuperberg and Weaver is a family of relations  $(D_r : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}, r \ge 0)$  such that
  - (1)  $D_r = \bigwedge_{s>r} D_s$  for each  $r \in [0, \infty)$ ;
  - (2)  $D_0 = I_{\mathcal{X}};$
  - (3)  $D_r^{\dagger} = D_r$  for each  $r \in [0, \infty)$ ;
  - (4)  $D_r \circ D_s \leq D_{r+s}$  for each  $r, s \in [0, \infty)$ .

- There are two possible definitions of the quantum ultrafilter monad:
  - As the codensity monad of the embedding of αSet<sub>2</sub> into αSet;
  - ► As the monad induced by adjunction **qSet** → **qPosInv**<sup>op</sup>, where the left adjoint is given by the contravariant quantum power set functor.
- The existence of the former is assured, but not vet of the latter.
- How are the algebras of this monad related to unital C\*-algebras?
- Monoidal topology: topological spaces are lax algebras of the Barra extension of the ultrafilter monad to Rel:
- Is there a quantum Barr extension of the quantum ultrafilter monad to qRel?

## Definition (Third candidate definition of a quantum topological space)

A quantum topological space is a lax algebra of the quantum Barr extension of the quantum ultrafilter monad to **qRel**.

- There are two possible definitions of the quantum ultrafilter monad:
  - ► As the codensity monad of the embedding of **gSet**<sub>s</sub> into **gSet**;
  - As the monad induced by adjunction qSet → qPosInv<sup>op</sup>, where the left adjoint is given by the contravariant quantum power set functor
- The existence of the former is assured, but not vet of the latter.
- How are the algebras of this monad related to unital C\*-algebras?
- Monoidal topology: topological spaces are lax algebras of the Barra extension of the ultrafilter monad to Rel;
- Is there a quantum Barr extension of the quantum ultrafilter monad to qRel?

## Definition (Third candidate definition of a quantum topological space)

A quantum topological space is a lax algebra of the quantum Barr extension of the quantum ultrafilter monad to **qRel**.

- There are two possible definitions of the quantum ultrafilter monad:
  - ► As the codensity monad of the embedding of **qSet**<sub>fin</sub> into **qSet**;
  - As the monad induced by adjunction qSet → qPosInv<sup>op</sup>, where the left adjoint is given by the contravariant quantum power set functor.
- The existence of the former is assured, but not yet of the latter.
- How are the algebras of this monad related to unital C\*-algebras?
- Monoidal topology: topological spaces are lax algebras of the Barr extension of the ultrafilter monad to Rel;
- Is there a quantum Barr extension of the quantum ultrafilter monad to qRel?

## Definition (Third candidate definition of a quantum topological space)

A quantum topological space is a lax algebra of the quantum Barr extension of the quantum ultrafilter monad to **qRel**.

- There are two possible definitions of the quantum ultrafilter monad:
  - As the codensity monad of the embedding of qSet<sub>fin</sub> into qSet;
  - As the monad induced by adjunction qSet → qPosInv<sup>op</sup>, where the left adjoint is given by the contravariant quantum power set functor.
- The existence of the former is assured, but not yet of the latter.
- How are the algebras of this monad related to unital C\*-algebras?
- Monoidal topology: topological spaces are lax algebras of the Barr extension of the ultrafilter monad to Rel;
- Is there a quantum Barr extension of the quantum ultrafilter monad to qRel?

# Definition (Third candidate definition of a quantum topological space)

A quantum topological space is a lax algebra of the quantum Barr extension of the quantum ultrafilter monad to **qRel**.

- There are two possible definitions of the quantum ultrafilter monad:
  - As the codensity monad of the embedding of qSet<sub>fin</sub> into qSet;
  - As the monad induced by adjunction qSet → qPosInv<sup>op</sup>, where the left adjoint is given by the contravariant quantum power set functor.
- The existence of the former is assured, but not yet of the latter.
- How are the algebras of this monad related to unital C\*-algebras?
- Monoidal topology: topological spaces are lax algebras of the Barr extension of the ultrafilter monad to Rel;
- Is there a quantum Barr extension of the quantum ultrafilter monad to qRel?

# Definition (Third candidate definition of a quantum topological space)

A quantum topological space is a lax algebra of the quantum Barr extension of the quantum ultrafilter monad to **qRel**.

- There are two possible definitions of the quantum ultrafilter monad:
  - As the codensity monad of the embedding of qSet<sub>fin</sub> into qSet;
  - As the monad induced by adjunction qSet → qPosInv<sup>op</sup>, where the left adjoint is given by the contravariant quantum power set functor.
- The existence of the former is assured, but not yet of the latter.
- How are the algebras of this monad related to unital C\*-algebras?
- Monoidal topology: topological spaces are lax algebras of the Barr extension of the ultrafilter monad to Rel;
- Is there a quantum Barr extension of the quantum ultrafilter monad to qRel?

# Definition (Third candidate definition of a quantum topological space)

A quantum topological space is a lax algebra of the quantum Barr extension of the quantum ultrafilter monad to **qRel**.

- There are two possible definitions of the quantum ultrafilter monad:
  - As the codensity monad of the embedding of qSet<sub>fin</sub> into qSet;
  - As the monad induced by adjunction qSet → qPosInv<sup>op</sup>, where the left adjoint is given by the contravariant quantum power set functor.
- The existence of the former is assured, but not yet of the latter.
- How are the algebras of this monad related to unital C\*-algebras?
- Monoidal topology: topological spaces are lax algebras of the Barr extension of the ultrafilter monad to Rel;
- Is there a quantum Barr extension of the quantum ultrafilter monad to qRel?

# Definition (Third candidate definition of a quantum topological space) A quantum topological space is a lax algebra of the quantum Barr extension of the quantum ultrafilter monad to **qRel**.

- There are two possible definitions of the quantum ultrafilter monad:
  - As the codensity monad of the embedding of qSet<sub>fin</sub> into qSet;
  - As the monad induced by adjunction qSet → qPosInv<sup>op</sup>, where the left adjoint is given by the contravariant quantum power set functor.
- The existence of the former is assured, but not yet of the latter.
- How are the algebras of this monad related to unital C\*-algebras?
- Monoidal topology: topological spaces are lax algebras of the Barr extension of the ultrafilter monad to Rel;
- Is there a quantum Barr extension of the quantum ultrafilter monad to qRel?

Definition (Third candidate definition of a quantum topological space) A quantum topological space is a lax algebra of the quantum Barr extension of the quantum ultrafilter monad to **qRel**.

- There are two possible definitions of the quantum ultrafilter monad:
  - As the codensity monad of the embedding of qSet<sub>fin</sub> into qSet;
  - As the monad induced by adjunction qSet → qPosInv<sup>op</sup>, where the left adjoint is given by the contravariant quantum power set functor.
- The existence of the former is assured, but not yet of the latter.
- How are the algebras of this monad related to unital C\*-algebras?
- Monoidal topology: topological spaces are lax algebras of the Barr extension of the ultrafilter monad to Rel;
- Is there a quantum Barr extension of the quantum ultrafilter monad to qRel?

Definition (Third candidate definition of a quantum topological space) A quantum topological space is a lax algebra of the quantum Barr extension of the quantum ultrafilter monad to **qRel**.

- There are two possible definitions of the quantum ultrafilter monad:
  - As the codensity monad of the embedding of qSet<sub>fin</sub> into qSet;
  - As the monad induced by adjunction qSet → qPosInv<sup>op</sup>, where the left adjoint is given by the contravariant quantum power set functor.
- The existence of the former is assured, but not yet of the latter.
- How are the algebras of this monad related to unital C\*-algebras?
- Monoidal topology: topological spaces are lax algebras of the Barr extension of the ultrafilter monad to Rel;
- Is there a quantum Barr extension of the quantum ultrafilter monad to qRel?

## Definition (Third candidate definition of a quantum topological space)

A quantum topological space is a lax algebra of the quantum Barr extension of the quantum ultrafilter monad to **qRel**.

- There are two possible definitions of the quantum ultrafilter monad:
  - As the codensity monad of the embedding of qSet<sub>fin</sub> into qSet;
  - As the monad induced by adjunction qSet → qPosInv<sup>op</sup>, where the left adjoint is given by the contravariant quantum power set functor.
- The existence of the former is assured, but not yet of the latter.
- How are the algebras of this monad related to unital C\*-algebras?
- Monoidal topology: topological spaces are lax algebras of the Barr extension of the ultrafilter monad to Rel;
- Is there a quantum Barr extension of the quantum ultrafilter monad to qRel?

## Definition (Third candidate definition of a quantum topological space)

A quantum topological space is a lax algebra of the quantum Barr extension of the quantum ultrafilter monad to **qRel**.