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## Weak congruence

symmetric, transitive, compatible binary relation on an algebra

## Weak congruence

symmetric, transitive, compatible binary relation on an algebra
The collection $\mathrm{Cw}(A)$ of weak congruences on an algebra $A$ is an algebraic lattice under inclusion. The sublattices of the weak congruence lattice of $A$ are $\operatorname{Con}(A), \operatorname{Sub}(A)$ and $\operatorname{Con}(B)$, for every subalgebra $B$. See [3].


## Some preliminaries

How to calculate the number of weak congruences?

$$
|\mathrm{Cw}(B, \vee)|=1+\sum_{\substack{B^{*} \in \operatorname{Sub} B \\ B^{*} \neq \emptyset}}\left|\operatorname{Con} B^{*}\right|
$$

First see [4].

## Theorem (H., D. Ahmed and Z. Németh)

If $(B, \vee)$ is a semilattice defined by a binary tree $B$, then

$$
|\operatorname{Cw}(B, \mathrm{v})|=4\left(\left|\operatorname{Cw}\left(B_{1}, \mathrm{~V}\right)\right| \cdot\left|\operatorname{Cw}\left(B_{2}, \mathrm{~V}\right)\right|\right)-\left(\left|\operatorname{Cw}\left(B_{1}, \mathrm{~V}\right)\right|+\left|\operatorname{Cw}\left(B_{2}, \mathrm{~V}\right)\right|\right),
$$

where $B_{1}, B_{2}$ are the left and right maximal subtrees of the tree, respectively.

## The calculation

Proof. Both $\mathrm{Cw}\left(B_{1}+_{\text {ord }} 1^{\prime}, \mathrm{V}\right)$ and $\mathrm{Cw}\left(B_{2}+_{\text {ord }} 1^{\prime}, \mathrm{V}\right)$ contain tl only congruence on the singleton $\{1\}$, is
$=\sum_{\substack{B_{i}^{*} \in \operatorname{sub} B_{i}, B_{i}^{\prime} \neq \emptyset}} 4\left|\operatorname{Con}\left(B_{1}^{*}, \mathrm{~V}\right)\right| \cdot\left|\operatorname{Con}\left(B_{2}^{*}, \mathrm{~V}\right)\right|+4\left|\operatorname{Cw}\left(B_{1}, \mathrm{~V}\right)\right|+4\left|\operatorname{Cw}\left(B_{2}, \mathrm{v}\right)\right|$

$$
-\left|\operatorname{Cw}\left(B_{1}, \mathrm{v}\right)\right|-\left|\operatorname{Cw}\left(B_{2}, \mathrm{v}\right)\right|-4 .
$$

Now for $B_{i}$,

$$
\left|\operatorname{Cw}\left(B_{i}, \mathrm{v}\right)\right|=1+\sum_{\substack{B_{i}^{*} \in \operatorname{Sub} B_{i} \\ B_{i}^{*} \neq \emptyset}}\left|\operatorname{Con}\left(B_{i}^{*}, \mathrm{~V}\right)\right|
$$

and let us use

$$
\left|\operatorname{Cw}\left(B_{1}, \mathrm{~V}\right)\right| \cdot\left|\operatorname{Cw}\left(B_{2}, \mathrm{~V}\right)\right|=\left(1+\sum_{\substack{B_{1}^{*} \in \operatorname{Sub} B_{1} \\ B_{1}^{*} \neq \emptyset}}\left|\operatorname{Con}\left(B_{1}^{*}, \vee\right)\right|\right)
$$

$$
\left(1+\sum_{\substack{B_{2}^{*} \in \operatorname{Sub} B_{2} \\ B_{i}^{*} \neq \emptyset}}\left|\operatorname{Con}\left(B_{2}^{*}, \mathrm{~V}\right)\right|\right)
$$

$=\sum_{\substack{B_{i}^{*} \in \operatorname{Suw} B_{i} \\ B_{i} \neq \emptyset}}\left|\operatorname{Con}\left(B_{1}^{*}, \mathrm{~V}\right)\right| \cdot\left|\operatorname{Con}\left(B_{2}^{*}, \mathrm{~V}\right)\right|+\left|\operatorname{Cw}\left(B_{1}, \mathrm{v}\right)\right|+\left|\operatorname{Cw}\left(B_{2}, \mathrm{v}\right)\right|-1$.
Then we arrive at

## Theorem (H., D. Ahmed and Z. Németh)

If $(B, \vee)$ is a semilattice defined by a prickly-snake $B$ of height h , then

$$
|\operatorname{Cw}(B, \vee)|=7 \cdot\left|\operatorname{Cw}\left(B_{1}, \vee\right)\right|-2=\frac{5 \cdot 7^{h}+1}{3}
$$

where $B_{1}$ is the left maximal subtree of the tree.


## Theorem (H., D. Ahmed and Z. Németh)

If $(B, \vee)$ is a semilattice defined by a perfect binary tree $B$ of height $h$, then

$$
|\mathrm{Cw}(B, \vee)|=4 \cdot\left|\operatorname{Cw}\left(B_{1}, \vee\right)\right|^{2}-2 \cdot\left|\mathrm{Cw}\left(B_{1}, \vee\right)\right|,
$$

where $B_{1}$ is the left maximal subtree of the tree.
Moreover,

$$
|\mathrm{Cw}(B, \vee)|=\left\lceil\frac{1}{4} C^{2^{h+1}}\right\rceil, \quad C=2.61803398874989 \ldots
$$

where $\lceil x\rceil$ denotes the least integer greater than or equal to $x$.

## Conjecture

The constant $C$ in this Theorem seems to be equal to $\frac{3+\sqrt{5}}{2}$, i.e. the Golden Ratio plus 1. Our numerical experiments with Mathlab, Mathematica and Maple affirm this idea; there are differences in the 16th decimal places but they could be numerical errors. On the other hand, we have been unable to prove or disprove the conjecture.

## The greatest number of weak congruences of finite lattices

## Theorem (H., A. Tepavčević)

If $L$ is a finite lattice of size $n=|L|$, then $L$ has at most $\frac{3^{n}+1}{2}$ weak congruences.
Furthermore, $|\mathrm{Cw} L|=\frac{3^{n}+1}{2}$ if and only if $L$ is a chain.

## Proof of the greatest case: chain, $\frac{3^{n}+1}{2}$

First, we prove that if $L$ is a chain, then $|\mathrm{Cw} L|=\frac{3^{n}+1}{2}$. An $n$-element lattice can have at most $2^{n}$ subuniverses. Furthermore, by [2], $|\operatorname{Sub} L|=2^{n}$ if and only if it is a chain. By [1], an $n$-element lattice can have at most $2^{n-1}$ congruences; furthermore, $|\operatorname{Con} L|=2^{n-1}$ if and only if it is a chain. Now

$$
\begin{gathered}
|\operatorname{Cw} L|=1+\sum_{\substack{L^{*} \in \operatorname{Sub} L \\
L^{*} \neq \emptyset}}\left|\operatorname{Con} L^{*}\right|=1+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\binom{n}{i} 2^{i-1}= \\
1+\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\binom{n}{i} 2^{i}}{2}=1+\frac{-1+\sum_{i=0}^{n}\binom{n}{i} 2^{i}}{2}= \\
=1+\frac{-1+(1+2)^{n}}{2}=\frac{3^{n}+1}{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Proof of the greatest case: chain, $\frac{3^{n}+1}{2}$

We have to show that all the $n$-element lattices have fewer weak congruences than $\frac{3^{n}+1}{2}$. We denote the elements of $L$ by $a_{1} \prec \cdots \prec a_{n}$. If $L^{\prime}$ is not a chain, then it has at least two incomparable elements, say $p \| q$. Of course $p \vee q \in L^{\prime}$ and $p \wedge q \in L^{\prime}$. We denote the remaining elements of $L^{\prime}$ by $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n-4}$ arbitrarily. Now

$$
\left|\operatorname{Cw} L^{\prime}\right|=1+\sum_{\substack{L^{*} \in \operatorname{Sub} L^{\prime} \\ L^{*} \neq \emptyset}}\left|\operatorname{Con} L^{*}\right|
$$

By [2], the sum $\left|\mathrm{Cw} L^{\prime}\right|$ has less summands than the sum $|\mathrm{Cw} L|$. We make an injection from the summands of $\left|\mathrm{Cw} L^{\prime}\right|$ to the summands of $\left|\mathrm{Cw}_{\mathrm{w}} L\right|$ in such a way that the image of each summand is not greater than the summand itself. For this, we define a bijective map $\varphi: L^{\prime} \rightarrow L,(p \wedge q) \mapsto a_{1}, p \mapsto a_{2}, q \mapsto a_{3}$, $(p \vee q) \mapsto a_{4}$, and if $x \notin\{p, q, p \wedge q, p \vee q\}$, then $\varphi(x) \in L \backslash\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}\right\}$ arbitrarily...

## The second greatest number of weak congruences of finite lattices

## Theorem (H., A. Tepavčević)

If $|L|=n \geq 4$ and $L$ has less than $\frac{3^{n}+1}{2}$ weak congruences, then the second greatest value in weak congruences is $\frac{53 \cdot 3^{n-4}+1}{2}$. Furthermore, $L$ has $\frac{53 \cdot 3^{n-4}+1}{2}$ weak congruences if and only if $L \simeq C_{1}+{ }_{g l u} B_{4}+{ }_{g l u} C_{2}$, where $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are chains or the empty set and $B_{4}$ is the four element Boolean lattice.

We prove that all the other $n$-element lattices have less weak congruences. To show this, first we calculate the above number in a different way. By [2], $L$ has $13 \cdot n^{n-4}$ subuniverses. By [1], this form of an $n$-element lattice $L$ has $2^{n-2}$ congruences. We denote the non-comparable elements of $B_{4}$ by $a$ and $b$. Now

$$
\begin{gathered}
|\operatorname{Cw} L|=1+\sum_{\substack{L^{*} \in \operatorname{Sub} L \\
L^{*} \neq \emptyset}}\left|\operatorname{Con} L^{*}\right|= \\
=1+\sum_{\substack{L^{*} \in \operatorname{Sub} L \\
B_{4} \subseteq L^{*}}}\left|\operatorname{Con} L^{*}\right|+\sum_{\substack{L^{*} \in \operatorname{Sub} L \\
b \notin L^{*} \\
a \in L^{*}}}\left|\operatorname{Con} L^{*}\right|+\sum_{\substack{L^{*} \in \operatorname{Sub} L \\
\{a\} \cap L^{*}=\emptyset}}\left|\operatorname{Con} L^{*}\right|=(* *)
\end{gathered}
$$

Now

$$
1+\sum_{\substack{L^{*} \in \operatorname{Sub} L \\\{a\} \cap L^{*}=\emptyset}}\left|\operatorname{Con} L^{*}\right|=\left|\operatorname{Cw} C_{n-1}\right|
$$

so

$$
\begin{aligned}
(* *) & =\sum_{i=0}^{n-4}\binom{n-4}{i} 2^{i+4-2}+\sum_{i=0}^{n-2}\binom{n-2}{i} 2^{i+1-1}+\frac{3^{n-1}+1}{2}= \\
& =4(1+2)^{n-4}+(1+2)^{n-2}+\frac{3^{n-1}+1}{2}=\frac{53 \cdot 3^{n-4}+1}{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consider an arbitrary $n$-element lattice $L^{\prime}$ that is neither a chain, nor of form $C_{1}+{ }_{\text {glu }} B_{4}+{ }_{\text {glu }} C_{2}$, . Clearly

$$
\left|\operatorname{Cw} L^{\prime}\right|=1+\sum_{\substack{L^{*} \in \operatorname{Sub} \\ L^{*} \neq \emptyset}}\left|\operatorname{Con} L^{*}\right| .
$$

This sum contains not more summands than that of $L$ by [2].

We show that $\left|\mathrm{Cw} L^{\prime}\right| \leq|\mathrm{Cw} L|$. If $L^{\prime}$ is neither a chain, nor of the form $C_{1}+{ }_{g l u} B_{4}+{ }_{g l u} C_{2}$, then it has antichains, let $a \| b$ one of them. We make an injection from the summands of $\left|\mathrm{Cw} L^{\prime}\right|$ to the summands of $|\mathrm{Cw} L|$ in such a way that the image of each summand is not greater than the summand itself. For this, we define a bijective map $\varphi: L^{\prime} \rightarrow L$. Denote the elements of $B_{4}$ in $L$ by $\{p, q, p \wedge q, p \vee q\}$. Let $a \varphi=p, b \varphi=q,(a \wedge b) \varphi=p \wedge q,(a \vee b) \varphi=p \vee q$; otherwise we define $\varphi$ arbitrarily but bijectively. The image of any sublattice of $L^{\prime}$ is a sublattice of $L$ because if the considered sublattice contains both $a$ and $b$, then the image of it is a sublattice of form $C_{1}+{ }_{g l u} B_{4}+{ }_{g l u} C_{2}$. If the considered sublattice contains at most one of $a$ and $b$, then its image is a chain. Now clearly by [1], the image of each summand is not greater than the summand itself because the image of a sublattice is a chain or of form $C_{1}+{ }_{g l u} B_{4}+{ }_{g l u} C_{2}$, but the latter case happens only when the sublattice is not a chain.

The "'third" greatest number of weak congruences of finite lattices

Theorem (H., A. Tepavčević)
If $|L|=n \geq 4$ and $L \simeq C_{1}+{ }_{\text {glu }} N_{5}+{ }_{\text {glu }} C_{2}$, where $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are chains or the empty set, then the number of weak congruences of finite lattices is $\frac{125 \cdot 3^{n-5}+1}{2}$.

## The "'third" greatest number of weak congruences of finite lattices

## Theorem (H., A. Tepavčević)

If $|L|=n \geq 4$ and $L \simeq C_{1}+{ }_{\text {glu }} N_{5}+{ }_{g l u} C_{2}$, where $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are chains or the empty set, then the number of weak congruences of finite lattices is $\frac{125 \cdot 3^{n-5}+1}{2}$.

Conjecture (H., A. Tepavčević)
We conjecture that $\frac{125 \cdot 3^{n-5}+1}{2}$ is the third greatest number of weak congruences of finite lattices, and the corresponding lattice is $L \simeq C_{1}+{ }_{g l u} N_{5}+{ }_{g l u} C_{2}$, where $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are chains or the empty set.

## Lantern: the n-element lattice $M_{n-2}$

We use the notation $M_{1}$ for the 3-element chain and $M_{2}$ for the 4-element Boolean lattice. For $n \geq 3, M_{n-2}$ consists of $n-2$ atoms, which are also coatoms, and of 0 and 1 . So, the lattice $M_{n-2}$ has $n-2$ atoms and $n$ elements. We call the lattice $M_{n-2}$ a lantern.

## Theorem (H., A. Tepavčević)

For $n \geq 3$, the lantern $M_{n-2}$ has $2^{n-1}+n^{2}+2 n-5$ weak congruences.

The number of weak congruences of ordinal sum of lattices
Lemma (H., A. Tepavčević)
Given finite lattices $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$, let $L=L_{1}+_{\text {ord }} L_{2}$. Then

$$
|\operatorname{Sub} L|=\left|\operatorname{Sub} L_{1}\right|\left|\operatorname{Sub} L_{2}\right| .
$$

## Lemma (H., A. Tepavčević)

Given finite lattices $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$, let $L=L_{1}+{ }_{g l u} L_{2}$. Then
$|\operatorname{Con} L|=\left|\operatorname{Con} L_{1}\right|\left|\operatorname{Con} L_{2}\right|$.

Lemma (H., A. Tepavčević)
Given finite lattices $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$, Let $L=L_{1}+_{\text {ord }} L_{2}$. Then

$$
|\mathrm{Cw} L|=2 \cdot\left(\left|\mathrm{Cw} L_{1}\right|-1\right)\left(\left|\mathrm{Cw} L_{2}\right|-1\right)+\left|\mathrm{Cw} L_{1}\right|+\left|\mathrm{Cw} L_{2}\right|-1 .
$$

## Lantern on a chain

## Lemma (H., A. Tepavčević)

If $L \simeq C_{1}+_{\text {ord }} M_{k-2}+_{\text {ord }} C_{2}$, where $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are chains or the empty set, and $\left|C_{1}\right|+\left|C_{2}\right|=l$, then

$$
|\mathrm{Cw} L|=\frac{\left(2^{k}+2 k^{2}+4 k-11\right) \cdot 3^{l}+1}{2}
$$

By using this, we also obtain the result $\frac{53 \cdot 3^{n-4}+1}{2}$.

## Chandelier

Let $N_{m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{n}}$ be a lattice of width n , containing n chains with $m_{1}$, $m_{2}, \ldots, m_{n}$ elements. They have intersection $\{0,1\}$, any other element of it belongs exactly to one chain.
The index $i$ in $m_{i}$ denote the $i$-th chain. We call the lattice $N_{m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{n}}$ a chandelier.

## Lemma (H., A. Tepavčević)

The chandelier $N_{m, k}$ has

$$
\frac{3^{m}-1}{2} \frac{3^{k}-1}{2}+3 \cdot\left(2^{m}-1\right)\left(2^{k}-1\right)+\frac{3^{m+2}+3^{k+2}}{2}-4
$$

weak congruences.

## Chandelier

Let $N_{m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{n}}$ be a chandelier of width n , containing n chains with $m_{1}$, $m_{2}, \ldots, m_{n}$ elements. Let $w^{(k)}\left(m_{l_{1}}, \ldots, m_{l_{k}}\right)$ be the number of special weak congruences on $N_{m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{n}}$, which are weak congruences of sublattices of $N_{m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{n}}$ of width $k$ where $\left\{m_{l_{1}}, \ldots m_{l_{k}}\right\}$ is a fixed subset of the set $\left\{m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{n}\right\}$ containing $k$ different elements.

## Chandelier

Let $N_{m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{n}}$ be a chandelier of width n , containing n chains with $m_{1}$, $m_{2}, \ldots, m_{n}$ elements. Let $w^{(k)}\left(m_{l_{1}}, \ldots, m_{l_{k}}\right)$ be the number of special weak congruences on $N_{m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{n}}$, which are weak congruences of sublattices of $N_{m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{n}}$ of width $k$ where $\left\{m_{l_{1}}, \ldots m_{l_{k}}\right\}$ is a fixed subset of the set $\left\{m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{n}\right\}$ containing $k$ different elements.

## Lemma (H., A. Tepavčević)

Let $k \geq 3$. Then,

$$
w^{(k)}\left(m_{1}, \ldots m_{n}\right)=\prod_{1}^{k} \frac{3^{m_{i}}-1}{2}+\left(2^{m_{1}}-1\right) \cdot\left(2^{m_{2}}-1\right) \cdot \ldots\left(2^{m_{k}}-1\right)
$$

It is easy to see that

$$
\left|\mathrm{Cw} N_{m, k}\right|=w^{(1)}(m)+w^{(1)}(k)+w^{(2)}(m, k)-3 .
$$

Further, $\left|\mathrm{Cw} N_{m, k, l}\right|=$
$w^{(1)}(m)+w^{(1)}(k)+w^{(1)}(l)+w^{(2)}(m, k)+w^{(2)}(m, l)+w^{(2)}(k, l)+w^{(3)}(m, k, l)-7$.

It is easy to see that

$$
\left|\mathrm{Cw} N_{m, k}\right|=w^{(1)}(m)+w^{(1)}(k)+w^{(2)}(m, k)-3 .
$$

Further, $\left|\mathrm{Cw} N_{m, k, l}\right|=$

$$
w^{(1)}(m)+w^{(1)}(k)+w^{(1)}(l)+w^{(2)}(m, k)+w^{(2)}(m, l)+w^{(2)}(k, l)+w^{(3)}(m, k, l)-7 .
$$

## Theorem (H., A. Tepavčević)

The number of weak congruences of a chandelier of width $n$ is

$$
\left|\mathrm{Cw} N_{m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{n}}\right|=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{A \in \mathcal{P}^{i}\left(\left\{m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{n}\right\}\right)} w^{(i)} A-4 n+5,
$$

where $\mathcal{P}^{i}\left(\left\{m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{n}\right\}\right)$ is the set of all subsets of $\left\{m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{n}\right\}$ with $i$ elements.
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