



# Towards weak bases of minimal relational clones on all finite sets

Mike Behrisch $^{\times *1}$ 

 $^{\times}$  Institute of Discrete Mathematics and Geometry, Algebra Group, TU Wien

\*Institute for Algebra JKU Linz

3rd September 2023 • (Stará Lesná, Slovakia)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Supported by Austrian Science Fund (FWF) grant P 33878.

### Introduction

M. Behrisch Weak bases for minimal relational clones

# What are weak bases good for?

- tool for reductions (≤) between various types of computational problems in complexity theory
- obtaining special complexity reductions where other methods fail (e.g., incompatibility with ∃) or are too coarse,
  - for example:
    - unique satisfiability
    - surjective satisfiability
    - inverse satisfiability
    - counting problems under parsimonious reductions
    - optimisation problems

weak base  

$$P_1 \xrightarrow{i} P_2$$
  
 $i'P_1$  at most as hard as  $P_2'$ 

### Basic notions

M. Behrisch Weak bases for minimal relational clones

# Clones and relational clones

#### Clone = set of (total) finitary functions F

• closed under composition (substitution)  $x \mapsto f(g_1(x), \ldots, g_n(x))$ 

• containing all projection operations  $(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \stackrel{e_i}{\mapsto} x_i$ ,  $1 \le i \le n \in \mathbb{N}_+$ 

Relational clone = set of finitary relations Q

• containing equality relation  $\Delta_A = \{(x, x) \mid x \in A\}$ 

• closed under pp-definable relations (by a formula  $\exists z_1 \cdots z_t : \bigwedge_{i=1}^{\ell} \varrho_i(y_{i,1}, \dots, y_{i,m_i})$ )

Preservation (compatibility)

$$f \triangleright \varrho \iff \forall \mathbf{r}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{r}_n \in \varrho$$
:  $f \circ (\mathbf{r}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{r}_n) \in \varrho$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} Q\mapsto \operatorname{\mathsf{Pol}} Q\ F\mapsto\operatorname{\mathsf{Inv}} F \end{array}$ 

(polymorphisms, compatible functions = clone) (invariant (compatible) relations = rel. clone) M. Behrisch Weak bases for minimal relational clones

0, *e* 

 $\exists, \land, \uparrow$ 

# Strong partial clones and weak systems with eq.

Strong partial clone = set of <u>partial</u> finitary functions F

- closed under composition (substitution)
- containing all projection operations
- closed under domain restriction:  $f \subseteq g \in F \implies f \in F | \circ, e_i, \uparrow$

Weak system with equality = set of finitary relations Q

• containing equality relation  $\Delta_A = \{(x, x) \mid x \in A\}$ 

 closed under conjunctively definable relations (by a formula Λ<sup>ℓ</sup><sub>i=1</sub> ρ<sub>i</sub>(y<sub>i,1</sub>,..., y<sub>i,m<sub>i</sub></sub>))

Preservation (compatibility)

$$f \triangleright \varrho \iff \forall \mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_n \in \varrho$$
:  $f \circ (\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_n) \in \varrho$  if defined

 $Q \mapsto pPol Q$ (partial polymorphisms = strong partial clone) $F \mapsto Inv F$ (invariant relations = weak system with equality)M. BehrischWeak bases for minimal relational clones

# Weak bases of a relational clone Q / clone F



# Weak bases of a relational clone Q / clone F



weak base of Q / F: a finite  $W \subseteq Q$  with  $[W]_{\wedge,=} = S_Q / POI W$  the largest strong partial clone P with  $O_A \cap P = F$ 

### Reduced weak base relations

#### $\rho \subseteq A^m$ weak base relation $\iff \{\rho\}$ weak base

#### Fictitious coordinates

- *m*-th coordinate fictitious  $\iff \exists \tilde{\rho} \subset A^{m-1}$ :  $\rho = \tilde{\rho} \times A$
- $\rho$  afficitious  $\iff$  no fictitious coordinates, i.e.  $\rho \neq \tilde{\rho} \times A$  up to permutation of arguments

#### Redundant pairs

- $1 \le i < j \le m$  redundant pair  $\iff \forall \mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_m) \in \varrho: x_i = x_i$
- $\rho$  irredundant  $\iff$  no redundant pairs

#### **Reduced** weak base relation $\rho \subset A^m$

- $\rho$  afictitious (no fictitious coordinates)
- *p* irredundant (no redundant pairs)
- identification of any coord's  $1 \le i \le j \le m$  in  $\rho$  loses weak base M. Behrisch

Weak bases for minimal relational clones

# Tools

M. Behrisch Weak bases for minimal relational clones

# *n*-th graphic of a clone

#### For $F \subseteq O_A$ , $\varrho \subseteq A^m$ , $m \in \mathbb{N}_+$

 $\Gamma_F(\varrho)$ : the least *F*-invariant relation containing  $\varrho$ , subalg. closure

Given  $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$ , set  $m := |A^n|$ ; fix a bijection  $\beta \colon m = |A^n| \longrightarrow A^n$ 

#### *n*-th graphic of a clone $F \leq O_A$

representation of *n*-ary part  $F^{(n)}$  as a relation of arity *m* (value tuples)  $\Gamma_F(\chi_n) = \{ f \circ \beta \mid f \in F^{(n)} \}$ 

Example: 
$$A = \{0, 1, 2\}, n = 2, m = 3^2 = 9 F^{(2)} = \{f_1, \dots, f_s\}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} 0 \mapsto x_{0} = (0,0) \\ 1 \mapsto x_{1} = (0,1) \\ 2 \mapsto x_{2} = (0,2) \\ 3 \mapsto x_{3} = (1,0) \\ 5 \mapsto x_{5} = (1,2) \\ 6 \mapsto x_{6} = (2,0) \\ 7 \mapsto x_{7} = (2,1) \\ 8 \mapsto x_{8} = (2,2) \end{array} \qquad \Gamma_{F}(\chi_{n}) = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} f_{1}(x_{0}) \\ f_{1}(x_{1}) \\ f_{1}(x_{2}) \\ f_{1}(x_{3}) \\ f_{1}(x_{4}) \\ f_{1}(x_{5}) \\ f_{1}(x_{6}) \\ f_{1}(x_{7}) \\ f_{1}(x_{8}) \end{pmatrix}, \dots, \begin{pmatrix} f_{s}(x_{0}) \\ f_{s}(x_{1}) \\ f_{s}(x_{3}) \\ f_{s}(x_{4}) \\ f_{s}(x_{5}) \\ f_{s}(x_{5}) \\ f_{s}(x_{5}) \\ f_{1}(x_{6}) \\ f_{1}(x_{7}) \\ f_{1}(x_{8}) \end{pmatrix}, \dots, \begin{pmatrix} f_{s}(x_{0}) \\ f_{s}(x_{3}) \\ f_{s}(x_{3}) \\ f_{s}(x_{4}) \\ f_{s}(x_{5}) \\ f_{s}(x_{5}) \\ f_{s}(x_{5}) \\ f_{s}(x_{5}) \\ f_{s}(x_{5}) \\ f_{s}(x_{7}) \\ f_{s}(x_{8}) \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}$$

M. Behrisch Weak bases for minimal relational clones

### Basic tool

### Getting weak bases from sizes of cores

Core of a clone  $F \leq O_A$   $\equiv$  a relation  $\varrho \in \mathbb{R}_A$  with  $F = \operatorname{Pol}_A\{\Gamma_F(\varrho)\}$   $|\varrho|$ : a core size of Faka a (finite) generating set for a single generator of a relational clone Basic tool: Theorem (Schnoor & Schnoor) clone  $F \leq O_A$  has a core of size  $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$  $\implies \Gamma_F(\chi_n)$  weak base relation of F

Will be our starting point!

### Main tool

### Getting new weak bases from old ones

Main tool:

$$\begin{split} & W \subseteq \mathsf{R}_A \text{ weak base of } F \leq \mathsf{O}_A \\ & W' \subseteq [W]_{\wedge,=} \text{ and } \mathsf{Pol}_A W' \subseteq F \implies W' \text{ weak base of } F \end{split}$$

Note:

• 
$$W' \subseteq [W]_{\wedge,=} \subseteq [W]_{\mathsf{R}_{\mathcal{A}}} = \mathsf{Inv}_{\mathcal{A}} \mathsf{Pol}_{\mathcal{A}} W$$

$$\implies$$
  $\operatorname{Pol}_A W' \supseteq \operatorname{Pol}_A W \stackrel{\mathsf{wb}}{=} F$ 

- $\operatorname{Pol}_A W' \subseteq F$  ensures that  $\operatorname{Pol}_A W' = F$ , i.e.,
- W' is not too simple (sufficiently rich)

# Background tool

# Characterisation of maximal clones

maximal clone  $\equiv$  co-atom in the clone lattice  $\leftrightarrow$  minimal relational clone

Theorem (I. Rosenberg)

 $F \leq O_A$  is maximal iff  $\exists \varrho \in \mathsf{R}_A \setminus \mathsf{Inv}_A O_A$ :  $F = \mathsf{Pol}_A \{ \varrho \}$  and

•  $\varrho = \leq$  partial order with top and bottom

### Towards results

M. Behrisch Weak bases for minimal relational clones

### Two sorts of maximal clones

2 Cases for a maximal clone  $F \leq O_A$   $2 \leq k = |A| < \aleph_0$   $F \supseteq O_A^{(1)} \quad k = 2 \implies F = L \text{ clone of (affine) linear functions}$   $k \geq 3 \implies F = U_{k-1} = \text{Pol}_A\{\iota_k\}$  Słupecki's clone (all non-surjective ops. or ess. permutations)  $F \supseteq O_A^{(1)}$  all other maximal clones

### Maximal clones of the first sort (of type 6)

$$3 \leq k = |A| < \aleph_0$$

$$O_A^{(1)} \subseteq F$$
, i.e.,  $F = U_{k-1} = \text{Pol}_A\{\iota_k\}$  Słupecki's clone  
 $\exists f_1 \neq f_2 \in O_A^{(1)} \subseteq F$ :  $\iota_k = \Gamma_{U_{k-1}}(\{f_1 \circ \beta, f_2 \circ \beta\})$ 

Basic tool (Schnoor & Schnoor):  $\implies \{f_1 \circ \beta, f_2 \circ \beta\} \text{ is a core } \implies \Gamma_{U_{k-1}}(\chi_2) \text{ is irr. weak base rel.}$ 

#### Simplification with the main tool

 $\iota_{k} = \{ (x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}) | (x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}, \ldots, x_{k}) \in \Gamma_{U_{k-1}}(\chi_{2}) \} \in [\{ \Gamma_{U_{k-1}}(\chi_{2}) \}]_{\wedge,=}$ and  $\mathsf{Pol}_{A} \{ \iota_{k} \} = F$  $\implies \iota_{k}$  reduced weak base relation

M. Behrisch

Weak bases for minimal relational clones

 $3 \le k = |A| < \aleph_0 \qquad \qquad \chi_1 = \{ id_A \circ \beta \}$  $U_{k-1} \ne F \le O_A$  $F \text{ has core size 1,} \qquad \text{thus } \Gamma_F(\chi_1) \text{ irr. weak base rel. for } F$  $\bullet \ F \ne U_{k-1} \implies F^{(1)} \subsetneq O_A^{(1)}$ 

 $3 \le k = |A| < \aleph_0 \qquad \qquad \chi_1 = \{ id_A \circ \beta \}$   $U_{k-1} \ne F \le O_A$ F has core size 1, thus  $\Gamma_F(\chi_1)$  irr. weak base rel. for F •  $F \ne U_{k-1} \implies F^{(1)} \subsetneq O_A^{(1)}$ 

• for  $f \in O_A^{(1)}$ :  $f \in F^{(1)} \iff f \circ \beta \in \Gamma_F(\chi_1) \iff f \in \mathsf{Pol}_A\{\Gamma_F(\chi_1)\}$ 

 $3 \le k = |A| < \aleph_0 \qquad \qquad \chi_1 = \{ id_A \circ \beta \}$  $U_{k-1} \ne F \le O_A$  $F \text{ has core size 1,} \qquad \text{thus } \Gamma_F(\chi_1) \text{ irr. weak base rel. for } F$  $\bullet \ F \ne U_{k-1} \implies F^{(1)} \subsetneq O_A^{(1)}$ 

• for  $f \in O_A^{(1)}$ :  $f \in F^{(1)} \iff f \circ \beta \in \Gamma_F(\chi_1) \iff f \in \mathsf{Pol}_A\{\Gamma_F(\chi_1)\}$ •  $\mathsf{Pol}_A^{(1)}\{\Gamma_F(\chi_1)\} = F^{(1)} \subset O_A^{(1)}$ .

 $3 \le k = |A| < \aleph_0 \qquad \qquad \chi_1 = \{ \mathrm{id}_A \circ \beta \}$  $U_{k-1} \ne F \le O_A$  $F \text{ has core size 1,} \qquad \qquad \text{thus } \Gamma_F(\chi_1) \text{ irr. weak base rel. for } F$  $\bullet \ F \ne U_{k-1} \Longrightarrow F^{(1)} \subsetneq O_A^{(1)}$ 

- for  $f \in O_A^{(1)}$ :  $f \in F^{(1)} \iff f \circ \beta \in \Gamma_F(\chi_1) \iff f \in \mathsf{Pol}_A\{\Gamma_F(\chi_1)\}$ •  $\mathsf{Pol}_A^{(1)}\{\Gamma_F(\chi_1)\} = F^{(1)} \subset O_A^{(1)}$ .
- $\implies$   $F \subseteq \operatorname{Pol}_{A}\{\Gamma_{F}(\chi_{1})\} \subsetneq O_{A}$

 $3 \leq k = |A| < \aleph_0 \qquad \qquad \chi_1 = \{ \mathrm{id}_A \circ \beta \}$   $U_{k-1} \neq F \leq O_A$ F has core size 1, thus  $\Gamma_F(\chi_1)$  irr. weak base rel. for F •  $F \neq U_{k-1} \Longrightarrow F^{(1)} \subsetneq O_A^{(1)}$ • for  $f \in O_A^{(1)}$ :  $f \in F^{(1)} \iff f \circ \beta \in \Gamma_F(\chi_1) \iff f \in \mathrm{Pol}_A \{ \Gamma_F(\chi_1) \}$ •  $\mathrm{Pol}_A^{(1)} \{ \Gamma_F(\chi_1) \} = F^{(1)} \subsetneq O_A^{(1)}$ .

• 
$$\implies$$
  $F \subseteq \operatorname{Pol}_{A}\{\Gamma_{F}(\chi_{1})\} \subsetneq O_{A}$ 

•  $F = \text{Pol}_{A} \{ \Gamma_{F}(\chi_{1}) \}$  by maximality of F

 $\chi_1 = \{ \mathsf{id}_A \circ \beta \}$  $3 \leq k = |A| < \aleph_0$  $U_{k-1} \neq F < O_A$ F has core size 1. thus  $\Gamma_F(\chi_1)$  irr. weak base rel. for F •  $F \neq U_{k-1} \implies F^{(1)} \subseteq O^{(1)}_{\Lambda}$ • for  $f \in O_A^{(1)}$ :  $f \in F^{(1)} \iff f \circ \beta \in \Gamma_F(\chi_1) \iff f \in \mathsf{Pol}_A\{\Gamma_F(\chi_1)\}$ •  $\mathsf{Pol}^{(1)}_{A} \{ \Gamma_{F}(\chi_{1}) \} = F^{(1)} \subseteq \mathsf{O}^{(1)}_{A}.$ •  $\implies$   $F \subseteq \operatorname{Pol}_{A} \{ \Gamma_{F}(\chi_{1}) \} \subseteq O_{A}$ •  $F = \operatorname{Pol}_A \{ \Gamma_F(\chi_1) \}$  by maximality of F•  $\chi_1 = \{ id_A \circ \beta \}$  core of *F* with 1 element

 $\chi_1 = \{ \mathsf{id}_A \circ \beta \}$  $3 \leq k = |A| < \aleph_0$  $U_{k-1} \neq F < O_A$ F has core size 1. thus  $\Gamma_F(\chi_1)$  irr. weak base rel. for F •  $F \neq U_{k-1} \implies F^{(1)} \subseteq O^{(1)}_{\Lambda}$ • for  $f \in O_A^{(1)}$ :  $f \in F^{(1)} \iff f \circ \beta \in \Gamma_F(\chi_1) \iff f \in \mathsf{Pol}_A\{\Gamma_F(\chi_1)\}$ •  $\operatorname{Pol}_{A}^{(1)} \{ \Gamma_{F}(\chi_{1}) \} = F^{(1)} \subset O_{A}^{(1)}.$ •  $\implies$   $F \subseteq \operatorname{Pol}_{A}\{\Gamma_{F}(\chi_{1})\} \subseteq O_{A}$ •  $F = \text{Pol}_{A} \{ \Gamma_{F}(\chi_{1}) \}$  by maximality of F •  $\chi_1 = \{ id_A \circ \beta \}$  core of F with 1 element • basic tool (Schnoor & Schnoor):  $\Gamma_F(\chi_1)$  weak base relation

 $3 \leq k = |A| < \aleph_0$  $\chi_1 = \{ \mathsf{id}_A \circ \beta \}$  $U_{k-1} \neq F < O_A$ F has core size 1. thus  $\Gamma_F(\chi_1)$  irr. weak base rel. for F •  $F \neq U_{k-1} \implies F^{(1)} \subsetneq O^{(1)}_{A}$ • for  $f \in O^{(1)}_{\Lambda}$ :  $f \in F^{(1)} \iff f \circ \beta \in \Gamma_F(\chi_1) \iff f \in \mathsf{Pol}_A\{\Gamma_F(\chi_1)\}$ •  $\mathsf{Pol}^{(1)}_{A} \{ \Gamma_{F}(\chi_{1}) \} = F^{(1)} \subset \mathsf{O}^{(1)}_{A}.$ •  $\implies$   $F \subseteq \operatorname{Pol}_{A}\{\Gamma_{F}(\chi_{1})\} \subseteq O_{A}$ •  $F = \text{Pol}_{A} \{ \Gamma_{F}(\chi_{1}) \}$  by maximality of F •  $\chi_1 = \{ id_A \circ \beta \}$  core of F with 1 element • basic tool (Schnoor & Schnoor):  $\Gamma_F(\chi_1)$  weak base relation Type 3: affine  $F = L_G$  for  $G = \langle A; +, 0 \rangle$ 

 $\Gamma_{L_{\mathsf{G}}}(\chi_1)$  reduced weak base relation for  $L_{\mathsf{G}}$ 

### Further simplification using our main tool

#### Type 1: bounded orders

 $\Gamma_{\mathcal{F}}(\chi_1) \rightsquigarrow \leq$  reduced weak base relation

Type 2: graphs of prime permutations s $\Gamma_F(\chi_1) \rightsquigarrow \{(a, s(a), s^2(a), \dots, s^{p-1}(a)) \mid a \in A\}$  red. weak base rel.

Type 4: equivalence relations  $\theta$  $\Gamma_F(\chi_1) \rightsquigarrow \theta$  reduced weak base relation

Type 5: central relations  $\varrho_a \subsetneq A^m$ ,  $1 \le m < |A|$  $\Gamma_F(\chi_1) \rightsquigarrow \varrho_a$  reduced weak base relation

Type 6: *h*-universal relations  $\varrho' \subsetneq A^h$ ,  $3 \le h < |A|$ ,  $\operatorname{Pol}_A\{\varrho'\} \ne U_{k-1}$ :  $\Gamma_F(\chi_1) \rightsquigarrow \varrho'$  reduced weak base relation

# Example: clone $Pol_A \{\leq\}$ of monotone operations

#### $F = \operatorname{Pol}_{A} \{\leq\}$ with $\forall x \in A \colon 0 \leq x \leq 1$

- $\Gamma_F(\chi_1)$  irredundant weak base relation
- identify arguments:

 $\varrho := \{ (x, y) \in A^2 \mid (x, y, \dots, y) \in \Gamma_F(\chi_1) \} \in [\{ \Gamma_F(\chi_1) \}]_{\wedge,=}$ (identified indices depend on a suitable choice of  $\beta$ )

- prove:  $\varrho = \leq$
- hence:  $\leq \in [\{\Gamma_F(\chi_1)\}]_{\wedge,=}$  and clearly  $\mathsf{Pol}_A\{\leq\} = F$
- main tool  $\implies W' = \{\leq\}$  weak base

# Example: clone $Pol_A\{\theta\}$ of $\theta$ -compatible op's

#### $F = \operatorname{Pol}_{A}\{\theta\}$

- $\Gamma_F(\chi_1)$  irredundant weak base relation
- identify arguments:

 $\varrho := \{ (x, y) \in A^2 \mid (x, y, \dots, y) \in \Gamma_F(\chi_1) \} \in [\{\Gamma_F(\chi_1)\}]_{\wedge,=}$ (identified indices depend on a suitable choice of  $\beta$ )

- prove:  $\varrho = \theta$
- hence:  $\theta \in [\{\Gamma_F(\chi_1)\}]_{\wedge,=}$  and clearly  $\mathsf{Pol}_A\{\theta\} = F$
- main tool  $\implies W' = \{\theta\}$  weak base

# Example: clone $Pol_A{s^{\bullet}}$ of *s*-self-dual op's

#### $F = \operatorname{Pol}_A\{s^{\bullet}\}, s \in \operatorname{Sym}(A) \text{ with } d \text{ cycles of length } p$

- $\Gamma_F(\chi_1)$  irredundant weak base relation
- identify arguments:

$$\varrho := \{ (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p) \in \mathcal{A}^p \mid (x_1, \dots, x_p, x_1, \dots, x_p, \dots, x_1, \dots, x_p) \in \Gamma_F(\chi_1) \} \\ \in [\{ \Gamma_F(\chi_1)\}]_{\wedge,=}$$

(identified indices depend on a suitable choice of  $\beta$ )

- prove:  $\varrho = \{ (a, s(a), \dots, s^{p-1}(a)) \mid a \in A \}$
- hence:  $\{(a, s(a), \dots, s^{p-1}(a)) \mid a \in A\} \in [\{\Gamma_F(\chi_1)\}]_{\wedge,=}$ and even  $\operatorname{Pol}_A\{\varrho\} = F$
- main tool  $\implies \{(a, s(a), \dots, s^{p-1}(a)) \mid a \in A\}$  weak base rel.

# Example: clone $Pol_A{\varrho_a}$ of $\varrho_a$ -preserving op's

#### $F = \operatorname{Pol}_{A} \{ \varrho_{a} \}, \ \varrho_{a} \subsetneq A^{m}$ with central element a

- $\Gamma_F(\chi_1)$  irredundant weak base relation
- identify arguments:

$$\varrho := \{ (x_1, \ldots, x_m) \in \mathcal{A}^m \mid (x_1, \ldots, x_m, x_m, \ldots, x_m) \in \Gamma_F(\chi_1) \} \in [\{ \Gamma_F(\chi_1) \}]_{\wedge,=}$$

(identified indices depend on a suitable choice of  $\beta$ )

- prove:  $\varrho = \varrho_a$
- hence:  $\varrho_a \in [\{\Gamma_F(\chi_1)\}]_{\wedge,=}$  and clearly  $\mathsf{Pol}_A\{\varrho_a\} = F$
- main tool  $\implies \varrho_a$  weak base relation

# Example: clone $\operatorname{Pol}_{A}\{\varrho'\} \neq U_{k-1}$ of $\varrho'$ -preserving op's, not Słupecki's clone

### $U_{k-1} \neq F = \operatorname{Pol}_{A}\{\varrho'\}, \ \varrho' = (\varphi \circ)^{-1} [\iota_{h}^{\otimes m}] \subsetneq A^{h} \ h$ -universal

- $\Gamma_F(\chi_1)$  irredundant weak base relation
- identify arguments:

$$\varrho := \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_h) \in \mathcal{A}^h \mid (x_1, \dots, x_h, x_h, \dots, x_h) \in \Gamma_F(\chi_1) \right\} \in \left[ \{ \Gamma_F(\chi_1) \} \right].$$

(identified indices depend on a suitable choice of  $\beta$ )

- prove:  $\varrho = \varrho'$  (using  $F \neq U_{k-1}$ )
- hence:  $\varrho' \in [\{\Gamma_F(\chi_1)\}]_{\wedge,=}$  and clearly  $\mathsf{Pol}_A\{\varrho'\} = F$
- main tool  $\implies \varrho'$  weak base relation

# Summary

Theorem (for  $3 \le |A| < \aleph_0$ )  $F = \operatorname{Pol}_A \{\varrho\} \le O_A$  maximal clone,  $\varrho$  a Rosenberg rel. • F affine linear op's  $\implies \Gamma_F(\chi_1)$  reduced weak base rel. • F s-self-dual op's  $\implies \{(a, s(a), \dots, s^{p-1}(a)) \mid a \in A\}$  reduced weak base rel. • other F  $\implies \varrho$  reduced weak base rel.

# Example: The set $A = \{0, 1, 2\}$

18 maximal clones have the following reduced weak base relations:

• L clone of affine operations w.r.t.  $\langle \mathbb{Z}_3; +, 0 \rangle$ :

 $\varrho = \Gamma_L(\chi_1) = \begin{cases} 012001122\\ 012120201\\ 012212010 \end{cases}$ 

- s = (012) cyclic shift:  $F = \text{Pol}_A\{s^{\bullet}\}$  self-dual operations  $\varrho = \begin{cases} 012\\ 120\\ 201 \end{cases}$
- all other 16 maximal clones F = Pol<sub>A</sub>{ρ}:
   *ρ* as in Rosenberg's theorem.
  - 3 clones of monotone operations
  - 3 clones of partition preserving operations
  - 3+3 clones of subset preserving operations
  - 3 clones of operations preserving binary central relations
  - 1 clone preserving  $\iota_3 = U_2$  (Słupecki's clone)

### Final remarks / next steps

•  $F = \text{Pol}_{A}\{\varrho\} = \text{Pol}_{A}\{\Gamma_{F}(\chi_{n})\}$  with  $n \leq 2$  maximal clone  $\varrho$  from Rosenberg's theorem

•  $\operatorname{Inv}_{A} F = [\{\varrho\}]_{R_{A}} = [\{\Gamma_{F}(\chi_{n})\}]_{R_{A}}$  minimal relational clone

• any non-trivial  $\sigma \in Inv_A F = [\{\Gamma_F(\chi_n)\}]_{R_A}$  satisfies

• 
$$\operatorname{Inv}_{A} F = [\{\sigma\}]_{\mathsf{R}_{A}}$$
, i.e.,  $\operatorname{Pol}_{A}\{\sigma\} = F$   
•  $\sigma \in [\{\Gamma_{F}(\chi_{n})\}]_{\exists, \land, =}$ 

is a potential weak base relation (also  $\varrho$  is a candidate), depending on  $\sigma \in [\{\Gamma_F(\chi_n)\}]_{\wedge,=}$  (by the main tool)

Future work complexity perspective: weak bases for other (e.g., minimal) clones also interesting also more challenging: finite relatedness problem