

# MV-pairs and state operators

Sylvia Pulmannová and Elena Vinceková

Mathematical Institute, Slovak Academy of Sciences  
Štefánikova 49, SK-81473 Bratislava, Slovakia  
[elena.vincekova@mat.savba.sk](mailto:elena.vincekova@mat.savba.sk)



FSTA 2014, January 26 - 31, Liptovský Ján

- A key relationship between Boolean algebras and MV-algebras lies in the fact that the set of all idempotents of an MV-algebra  $M$  is a Boolean algebra, in fact the greatest Boolean subalgebra of  $M$ . The Boolean algebra of idempotents can be considered as a system of classical propositions, while the surrounding algebra  $M$  can be considered as an extension of the classical logic by fuzzy or unsharp propositions.

# Introduction: MV-pairs

- Another relation between MV-algebras and Boolean algebras was shown by Jenča in 2007 - a representation theorem for MV-algebras is given in terms of Boolean algebras and their automorphism groups. Actually, Jenča showed that given a Boolean algebra  $B$  and a subgroup  $G$  of its automorphism group satisfying certain conditions, the pair  $(B, G)$  can be canonically associated with an MV-algebra. Such pairs  $(B, G)$  are called *MV-pairs*.

# Introduction: MV-pairs

- Another relation between MV-algebras and Boolean algebras was shown by Jenča in 2007 - a representation theorem for MV-algebras is given in terms of Boolean algebras and their automorphism groups. Actually, Jenča showed that given a Boolean algebra  $B$  and a subgroup  $G$  of its automorphism group satisfying certain conditions, the pair  $(B, G)$  can be canonically associated with an MV-algebra. Such pairs  $(B, G)$  are called *MV-pairs*.
- Conversely, given an MV-algebra  $M$ , if  $B(M)$  denotes its R-generated Boolean algebra and  $G(M)$  is a special subgroup of the automorphism group of  $B(M)$ , it turns out that  $(B(M), G(M))$  forms an MV-pair. Independently, a similar study of certain type of  $(B, G)$ -pairs which yield an MV-algebra, so called ambiguity algebras, were studied by Vetterlein (2008). A comparison of these two approaches were made by De la Vega last year. In 2009, Di Nola, Holčapek and Jenča came up with a categorical development of the results concerning MV-pairs.

# Introduction: State Operators

- Recently (2009) the notion of a state on an MV-algebra was generalized by Flaminio and Montagna to an algebraically defined notion for MV-algebras. The language of MV-algebras has been enlarged by a unary operation  $\sigma$ , called an *internal state* or a *state operator*. Such MV-algebras are called *state-MV-algebras*. These algebras are now intensively studied by Di Nola, Dvurečenskij, Lettieri and many others.

# Introduction: State Operators

- Recently (2009) the notion of a state on an MV-algebra was generalized by Flaminio and Montagna to an algebraically defined notion for MV-algebras. The language of MV-algebras has been enlarged by a unary operation  $\sigma$ , called an *internal state* or a *state operator*. Such MV-algebras are called *state-MV-algebras*. These algebras are now intensively studied by Di Nola, Dvurečenskij, Lettieri and many others.
- In this talk, internal states in connection with MV-pairs are discussed; namely, a relations between state MV-algebras and state Boolean algebras, which are connected by an MV-pair.

## Definition [Chang, 1958]

An algebra  $(A, \boxplus, ', 0)$  with a binary operation  $\boxplus$ , a unary operation  $'$  and a special element  $0$  is called an *MV-algebra* if it satisfies the following conditions for all  $x, y, z \in A$ :

- $x \boxplus y = y \boxplus x$ .
- $(x \boxplus y) \boxplus z = x \boxplus (y \boxplus z)$ .
- $x \boxplus 0 = 0$ .
- $x'' = x$ .
- $x \boxplus 0' = 0'$ .
- $(x' \boxplus y)' \boxplus y = (y' \boxplus x)' \boxplus x$ .
- ordering:  $x \leq y$  iff  $x' \boxplus y = 1$
- distributive lattice:  $x \vee y = (x' \boxplus y)' \boxplus y = (y' \boxplus x)' \boxplus x$ ,  
 $x \wedge y = (x' \vee y')'$
- another operations:  $a \boxminus b := (a' \boxplus b)'$ ,  $a \boxdot b := (a' \boxplus b)'$

## Definition [Foulis and Bennett, 1994]

An *effect algebra* (EA) is a partial algebra  $(E, \oplus, 0, 1)$  where  $E$  is a nonempty set,  $0, 1$  are special elements and the partial operation  $\oplus$  is such that  $\forall a, b \in E$ :

- if  $a \oplus b$  is defined, then  $b \oplus a$  is defined and  $a \oplus b = b \oplus a$
  - if  $a \oplus b$  and  $(a \oplus b) \oplus c$  are defined then  $b \oplus c$  and  $a \oplus (b \oplus c)$  are defined and  $(a \oplus b) \oplus c = a \oplus (b \oplus c)$
  - for every  $a \in E$  there exists exactly one  $a' \in E$  such that  $a \oplus a' = 1$
  - if  $a \oplus 1$  does exist then  $a = 0$
- 
- orthogonality:  $a \perp b$  iff  $a \oplus b$  exists
  - ordering:  $a \leq b$  iff  $\exists c \in E : a \oplus c = b$
  - minus operation:  $b \ominus a = c$  iff  $a \oplus c = b$



An *MV-effect algebra* is a lattice ordered effect algebra  $E$  with the property:

$$\forall a, b \in E : (a \vee b) \ominus a = b \ominus (a \wedge b)$$

or equivalently with the Riesz decomposition property

$$(RDP) : \forall a, b, c \in E : a \leq b \oplus c \Rightarrow \exists b_1, c_1 \in E : b_1 \leq b, c_1 \leq c, a = b_1 \oplus c_1$$

By [Kôpka and Chovanec, 1997], MV-effect algebras and MV-algebras are in one-to-one correspondence:

- $(M, \boxplus, ', 0) \rightarrow (M, \oplus, 0, 1) : a \oplus b := a \boxplus b$  only for  $a \leq b'$
- $(M, \oplus, 0, 1) \rightarrow (M, \boxplus, ', 0) : a \boxplus b := a \oplus (a' \wedge b)$

Let  $B$  be a Boolean algebra,  $Aut(B)$  the group of automorphisms of  $B$  and  $G$  a subgroup of  $Aut(B)$ . Then  $(B, G)$  is called a BG-pair. We will use the following notation:

$$L(a, b) := \{a \wedge f(b) : f \in G\},$$

$$L^+(a, b) := \{g(a) \wedge f(b) : f, g \in G\}$$

Let  $M$  be a bounded distributive lattice. Up to isomorphism, there exists a unique boolean algebra  $B(M)$  such that  $M$  is a 0,1-sublattice of  $B(M)$  and  $M$  generates  $B(M)$  as a Boolean ring. This Boolean algebra is called R-generated by  $M$ . For every element  $x \in B(M)$  there exists a finite chain  $x_1 \leq \dots \leq x_n$  in  $M$  such that  $x = x_1 + \dots + x_n$ , where  $+$  denotes the symmetric difference. We call this chain an *M-chain representation* of the element  $x$ .

## Theorem [Jenča, 2004]

Let  $M$  be an MV-effect algebra. The mapping  $\phi_M : B(M) \rightarrow M$  given by

$$\phi_M(x) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n (x_{2i} \ominus x_{2i-1})$$

where  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^{2n}$  is an M-chain representation of  $x$ , is a surjective morphism of effect algebras.

## Definition [Jenča, 2007]

A BG-pair  $(B, G)$  is called an *MV-pair* iff the following conditions are satisfied:

- 1 For all  $a, b \in B, f \in G$  such that  $a \leq b$  and  $f(a) \leq b$ , there is  $h \in G$  such that  $h(a) = f(a)$  and  $h(b) = b$ .
- 2 For all  $a, b \in B$  and  $x \in L(a, b)$ , there exists  $m \in \max(L(a, b))$  with  $m \geq x$ .

## Definition [Pulmannová, 2009]

A BG-pair is an *MV\*-pair* if the following conditions are satisfied for any  $a, b \in B$ :

- 1 For all  $a, b \in M, f \in G$  such that  $a \perp b$  and  $a \perp f(b)$ , there is  $h \in G$  with  $h(a \vee b) = a \vee f(b)$
- 2 For all  $a, b \in B$  and  $x \in L^+(a, b)$ , there exists an element  $m \in \max(L^+(a, b))$  such that  $x \leq m$ .

Let us denote

$$a, b \in B : a \sim_G b \Leftrightarrow \exists f \in G : b = f(a)$$

## Theorem [Jenča, 2007]

Let  $(B, G)$  be an MV-pair. Then

- $\sim_G$  is an effect algebra congruence
- $B/G$  is an MV-effect algebra
- for all  $a, b \in B$

$$[a]_G \wedge [b]_G = \max(L^+(a, b))$$

where the "=" is the set equality

- $\max(L(a, b)) \subseteq \max(L^+(a, b))$

## Theorem [Jenča, 2007]

Let  $M$  be an MV-algebra. We denote

$$G(M) := \{f \in \text{Aut}(B(M)) : \text{for all } x \in B(M), \phi_M(x) = \phi_M(f(x))\}.$$

The following hold:

- $(B(M), G(M))$  is an MV-pair;
- for all  $x, y \in B(M)$ ,  $x \sim_{G(M)} y$  iff  $\phi_M(x) = \phi_M(y)$ ;
- $B(M)/G(M)$  is isomorphic to  $M$ , where the isomorphism is given by

$$\beta_M([x]_{G(M)}) = \phi_M(x).$$

## Definition [Flaminio and Montagna, 2009]

Let  $(M, \boxplus, ', 0)$  be an MV-algebra. We say that a mapping  $\sigma : M \rightarrow M$  which satisfies:

- 1  $\sigma(0) = 0$
- 2  $\sigma(x') = \sigma(x)'$
- 3  $\sigma(x \boxplus y) = \sigma(x) \boxplus \sigma(y \boxminus (x \boxdot y))$
- 4  $\sigma(\sigma(x) \boxplus \sigma(y)) = \sigma(x) \boxplus \sigma(y)$

is a *state operator* on  $M$ .

## Definition

A *state morphism* of an MV-algebra  $M$  is a state operator which is also an MV-algebra morphism.

## Definition [Buhagiar, Chetcuti and Dvurečenskij, 2011]

Let  $(E, \oplus, ', 0, 1)$  be an effect algebra. We say that a mapping  $\sigma : E \rightarrow E$  which satisfies:

- 1  $\sigma(1) = 1$
- 2  $\sigma(a \oplus b) = \sigma(a) \oplus \sigma(b)$  whenever  $a \oplus b$  is defined
- 3  $\sigma(\sigma(a)) = \sigma(a)$

is a *state operator* on  $E$ .

A state operator  $\sigma$  on  $E$  is *strong* iff, in addition,

- 4  $\sigma(\sigma(a) \wedge \sigma(b)) = \sigma(a) \wedge \sigma(b)$  whenever  $\sigma(a) \wedge \sigma(b)$  exists in  $E$ .

- Remark: If  $E$  is an MV-effect algebra, then an effect algebra state operator  $\sigma$  is also an MV-algebra state operator iff  $\sigma$  is strong.



## Theorem

Let  $M$  be an MV-algebra,  $B(M)$  the  $R$ -generated Boolean algebra and  $\sigma : M \rightarrow M$  a (strong) state operator on  $M$ . The mapping

$$\sigma^*(a) := \sigma(\phi_M(a))$$

on the Boolean algebra  $B(M)$  is a (strong) state operator on  $B(M)$ .

- by a *state operator* we mean in all following slides an *effect algebra state operator*

## Theorem

Let  $(B, G)$  be an MV-pair and  $\sigma_B : B \rightarrow B$  be a state operator on the Boolean algebra  $B$ . The mapping

$$\sigma_*([a]_G) = [\sigma_B(a)]_G$$

is a state operator on the MV-effect algebra  $M = B/G$  if and only if the following condition holds:

$$\sigma_B(O(a)) \subseteq O(\sigma_B(a)), \quad a \in B. \quad (O)$$

In addition, if  $\sigma_B$  is strong and the equality holds in (O), then  $\sigma_*$  is strong as well.

- orbit:  $O(x) := \{y \in B : \exists f \in G; y = f(x)\}$

## Definition

A *state-MV-pair* is a triple  $(B, G, \sigma)$ , where  $(B, G)$  is an MV-pair and  $\sigma : B \rightarrow B$  is a state operator satisfying condition (O).

## Corollary

If  $(B, G, \sigma)$  is a (strong) state-MV-pair, then  $M = B/G$  is a (strong) state-MV-effect algebra with the state operator  $\sigma_*([a]_G) = [\sigma(a)]_G$ .  
Conversely, if  $(M, \sigma)$  is a (strong) state-MV-effect algebra, then  $(B(M), G(M), \sigma^*)$ , where  $\sigma^*(a) = \sigma(\phi_M(a))$ , is a state-MV-pair and  $(\sigma^*)_* = \sigma$ .

## Theorem

Let  $(B, G)$  be an MV-pair and  $\sigma_B$  be a state morphism on the Boolean algebra  $B$  such that the equality in (O) is satisfied. Then the state operator  $\sigma_*$  is a state morphism on  $B/G$ .

## Theorem

If  $\sigma : M \rightarrow M$  is a state morphism on an MV-algebra  $M$ , then there exists a morphism  $\nabla(\sigma)$  on Boolean algebra  $B(M)$  such that  $\phi_M(\nabla(\sigma)) = \phi_M(\nabla(\sigma))^2$ .

- we say that an MV-algebra is subdirectly irreducible, if it has a smallest nontrivial ideal

## Theorem

*Let  $(B, G)$  be an MV-pair and let  $M := B/G$  be the corresponding MV-algebra. Then  $M$  is subdirectly irreducible if and only if  $B$  has a smallest nontrivial  $G$ -invariant ideal.*

# Subdirectly irreducible MV-algebras

- any ideal  $I$  in  $M = B(M)/G(M)$  uniquely extends to an ideal  $I^*$  in  $B(M)$  such that

$$a \in I^* \Leftrightarrow \phi_M(a) \in I$$

- an ideal  $J$  in  $B(M)$  is  $G$ -invariant iff  $a \in J$  implies  $f(a) \in J$  for all  $f \in G$

## Corollary

Let  $M$  be an MV-algebra, and  $(B(M), G(M))$  the corresponding MV-pair. Then  $M$  is subdirectly irreducible with a smallest ideal  $I_S$  if and only if  $B(M)$  has a smallest nontrivial  $G(M)$ -invariant ideal  $I_S^*$  that extends  $I_S$ .

- D. Buhagiar, E. Chetcuti, A. Dvurečenskij: *Loomis-Sikorski theorem and Stone duality for effect algebras with internal state*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems **172** (2011), 71–86.
- C.C. Chang: Algebraic analysis of many-valued logics. Trans. amer. Math. Soc. **88** (1958), 467–490.
- Foulis, M.K. Bennett: *Effect algebras and unsharp quantum logics*, Found. Phys. **24** (1994), 1325–1346.
- F. Chovanec, F. Kôpka: *Boolean D-posets* Tatra Mountains Math. Publ. **10** (1997), 1–15.
- H. De la Vega: *Normal and complete Boolean ambiguity algebras and MV-pairs*, Logic Journal of the IGPL **20** (2012), 1133–1152.
- A. Di Nola, A. Dvurečenskij: *State-morphism MV-algebras*, J. Pure Appl. Logic **161** (2009), 161–173.

- A. Di Nola, M. Holčapek, G. Jenča: *The category of MV-pairs*, Logic Journal of the IGLP **17** (2009), 395–412.
- A. Dvurečenskij, S. Pulmannová: *New Trends in Quantum Structures*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 2000.
- T. Flaminio, F. Montagna: *MV-algebras with internal states and probabilistic fuzzy logics*. International Journal of Approximate Reasoning **50** (2009), 138–152.
- G. Jenča: *A representation theorem for MV-algebras*. Soft Computing **11** (2007), 557–564.
- S. Pulmannová: *MV-pairs and states*. Soft Computing **13** (2009), 1081–1087.
- T. Vetterlein: *Boolean algebras with an automorphism group: a framework for Łukasiewicz logic*, J. Mult.-Val. log. Soft comput. **14** (2008), 51–67.