

# OWA operators for fuzzy truth values

Zdenko Takáč \*

**Abstract:** *In this work we deal with OWA operators for normal, convex fuzzy truth values (fuzzy sets in  $[0, 1]$ ). Our approach is as follows. We adapt a more general notion of OWA operators on any complete lattice endowed with a  $t$ -norm and a  $t$ -conorm [4, 6], and study this notion on a particular case of complete lattice, namely the set of all normal, convex fuzzy truth values. We focus on a specific nature of the operators in this settings.*

Keywords: *OWA operator, type-2 fuzzy set, fuzzy truth value, aggregation, distributive weighting vector*

## 1 Introduction

In [4, 6] the concept of an ordered weighted averaging (OWA for short) operator is extended to any complete lattice endowed with a  $t$ -norm and a  $t$ -conorm. The intention of authors was to avoid the need of a linear order in environments in which it is available only partial order. Our aim is to study a specific nature of ideas from [4, 6] in one particular case of complete lattice, namely the set of all normal, convex fuzzy truth values (fuzzy sets in  $[0, 1]$ ). It is well-known that this set is not linearly ordered. We discuss the notion of (distributive) weighting vector, formulate a sufficient and necessary condition under which given elements constitute a distributive weighting vector and investigate bounds of the proposed operators.

The aggregation of fuzzy truth values is essential in the type-2 fuzzy sets settings [8, 9]. Also, the need of aggregation of fuzzy truth values arises in decision making problems when the alternatives are assessed by fuzzy truth values. Recall that Yager's OWA operators are of special significance in solving decision making problems. This leads to growing interest of scholars to investigate OWA operators for various kinds of elements, e.g., for intervals [1, 13], fuzzy intervals [15, 16], gradual intervals [10], i.e., also for fuzzy truth values.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 contains basic definitions and notations that are used in the remaining parts of the paper. In Section 3, we study OWA operator on the set of normal, convex fuzzy truth values and some its properties. The conclusions are discussed in Section 4.

## 2 Preliminaries

In this section we present some basic concepts and terminology that will be used throughout the paper.

Let  $X$  be a set. A *fuzzy set* in  $X$  is a mapping from  $X$  to  $[0, 1]$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}(X)$  denote the class of all fuzzy sets in  $X$ , and let  $\mathcal{F}$  denote the class of all fuzzy sets in  $[0, 1]$ . A *type-2 fuzzy set* in  $X$  is a fuzzy set whose membership grades are fuzzy sets in  $[0, 1]$ . Hence, type-2 fuzzy set in  $X$  is a mapping

$$\tilde{f} : X \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$$

and the elements of  $\mathcal{F}$  are called *fuzzy truth values*.

A fuzzy set  $f$  in  $X$  is *normal* if there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $f(x) = 1$ . Let  $X$  be a linear space, a fuzzy set  $f$  in  $X$  is *convex* if it is satisfied  $f(\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)x_2) \geq \min(f(x_1), f(x_2))$  for all  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ ,

---

\*Institute of Information Engineering, Automation and Mathematics, Faculty of Chemical and Food Technology, Slovak University of Technology in Bratislava, [zdenko.takac@stuba.sk](mailto:zdenko.takac@stuba.sk)

for each  $x_1, x_2 \in X$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{F}_{NC}$  the class of all normal, convex fuzzy truth values. We will use operations  $\sqcup, \sqcap$ , relations  $\sqsubseteq, \preceq$  and special elements  $\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}$  on  $\mathcal{F}$  given by:

$$\begin{aligned} (f \sqcup g)(z) &= \sup_{x \vee y = z} (f(x) \wedge g(y)), & f \sqsubseteq g & \text{ iff } f \sqcap g = f, \\ (f \sqcap g)(z) &= \sup_{x \wedge y = z} (f(x) \wedge g(y)), & f \preceq g & \text{ iff } f \sqcup g = g, \\ \mathbf{0}(x) &= \begin{cases} 1 & , \text{ if } x = 0, \\ 0 & , \text{ otherwise,} \end{cases} & \mathbf{1}(x) &= \begin{cases} 1 & , \text{ if } x = 1, \\ 0 & , \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

The algebra of fuzzy truth values  $(\mathcal{F}, \sqcup, \sqcap, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}, \sqsubseteq, \preceq)$  is closely described in [5] and [11]. In [11] it is showed that  $(\mathcal{F}_{NC}, \sqcup, \sqcap, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}, \sqsubseteq)$  is a bounded, distributive lattice, and in [2] the authors showed that the lattice is complete. Recall that the two orders  $\sqsubseteq$  and  $\preceq$  coincide on the set of normal, convex fuzzy truth values.

In 1988 Yager [14] introduced *OWA operator* which is one of the most widely used aggregation methods for real numbers.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_n) \in [0, 1]^n$  with  $w_1 + \dots + w_n = 1$  be a weighting vector. An OWA operator associated with  $\mathbf{w}$  is a mapping  $OWA_{\mathbf{w}} : [0, 1]^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$  defined by

$$OWA_{\mathbf{w}}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_{(i)}$$

where  $x_{(i)}$  denotes the  $i$ th largest number among  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ .

### 3 OWA operators defined on the set of convex normal fuzzy truth values

In this section we apply the ideas of [4, 6] to the settings of type-2 fuzzy sets. In other words, we will study distributive weighting vectors and consequently OWA operators on the set of fuzzy truth values  $\mathcal{F}$ . Let us start with the notion of a t-norm and a t-conorm on  $\mathcal{F}$ .

**Definition 3.1.** A mapping  $T : \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$  is said to be a t-norm on  $(\mathcal{F}, \sqsubseteq)$  if it is commutative, associative, increasing in each component and has a neutral element  $\mathbf{1}$ .

A mapping  $S : \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$  is said to be a t-conorm on  $(\mathcal{F}, \sqsubseteq)$  if it is commutative, associative, increasing in each component and has a neutral element  $\mathbf{0}$ .

The operations  $\sqcap$  and  $\sqcup$  given by (1) are t-norm and t-conorm on  $\mathcal{F}$ , respectively. The following propositions are easy to check, see [3], [7] and [12].

**Proposition 3.2.** The operation  $\sqcap$  given by (1) is a t-norm on  $(\mathcal{F}, \sqsubseteq)$ .

**Proposition 3.3.** The operation  $\sqcup$  given by (1) is a t-conorm on  $(\mathcal{F}, \sqsubseteq)$ .

According to the following lemma, it is possible to construct linearly ordered vector from any given vector in  $\mathcal{F}_{NC}^n$ .

**Lemma 3.4** ([4]). Let  $(f_1, \dots, f_n) \in \mathcal{F}_{NC}^n$ , and let

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 &= f_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup f_n, \\ g_2 &= ((f_1 \sqcap f_2) \sqcup \dots \sqcup (f_1 \sqcap f_n)) \sqcup ((f_2 \sqcap f_3) \sqcup \dots \sqcup (f_2 \sqcap f_n)) \sqcup \dots \sqcup ((f_{n-1} \sqcap f_n)), \\ &\vdots \\ g_k &= \sqcup \{f_{j_1} \sqcap \dots \sqcap f_{j_k} \mid \{j_1, \dots, j_k\} \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}\}, \\ &\vdots \\ g_n &= f_1 \sqcap \dots \sqcap f_n. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$g_n \sqsubseteq g_{n-1} \sqsubseteq \dots \sqsubseteq g_1.$$

Moreover, if the set  $\{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$  is linearly ordered, then the vector  $(g_1, \dots, g_n)$  coincides with  $(f_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, f_{\sigma(n)})$  for some permutation  $\sigma$  of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ .

We proceed with the study of distributive weighting vector in  $\mathcal{F}_{NC}^n$  and some of its properties.

**Definition 3.5.** Let  $w_1, \dots, w_n \in \mathcal{F}$ . A vector  $(w_1, \dots, w_n)$  is said to be a weighting vector in  $(\mathcal{F}, \sqsubseteq)$  if  $w_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup w_n = \mathbf{1}$ , and it is said to be a distributive weighting vector if it also satisfies

$$f \sqcap (w_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup w_n) = (f \sqcap w_1) \sqcup \dots \sqcup (f \sqcap w_n)$$

for all  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ .

The following theorem gives a necessary condition under which elements  $w_1, \dots, w_n$  constitute a weighting vector in  $(\mathcal{F}_{NC}, \sqsubseteq)$ .

**Theorem 3.6.** Let  $w_1, \dots, w_n \in \mathcal{F}_{NC}$ . If  $w_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup w_n = \mathbf{1}$ , then  $w_i = \mathbf{1}$  for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

*Proof.* 1. We show that  $w_i(1) = 1$  for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . From  $w_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup w_n = \mathbf{1}$  it follows  $(w_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup w_n)(1) = 1$ , hence there exist  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in [0, 1]$  such that  $\max(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 1$  and  $\min(w_1(a_1), \dots, w_n(a_n)) = 1$ , and consequently there exist  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in [0, 1]$  such that  $\max(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 1$  and  $w_1(a_1) = \dots = w_n(a_n) = 1$ . It means that, for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , it holds  $a_i = 1$  and  $w_i(a_1) = 1$ , thus  $w_i(1) = 1$  for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Let us write  $w_{k_0}(1) = 1$ .

2. Now we are going to show that  $w_{k_0}(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in [0, 1[$  if  $w_i \neq \mathbf{1}$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\} - \{k_0\}$ . Let there exist  $x_0 \in [0, 1[$  such that  $w_{k_0}(x_0) > 0$ . Then there exist  $b_1, \dots, b_{k_0-1}, b_{k_0+1}, \dots, b_n \in [0, 1[$  such that

$$w_1(b_1), \dots, w_{k_0-1}(b_{k_0-1}), w_{k_0+1}(b_{k_0+1}), \dots, w_n(b_n) > 0,$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} & (w_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup w_n)(\max(b_1, \dots, b_{k_0-1}, x_0, b_{k_0+1}, \dots, b_n)) = \\ & = \min(w_1(b_1), \dots, w_{k_0-1}(b_{k_0-1}), w_{k_0}(x_0), w_{k_0+1}(b_{k_0+1}), \dots, w_n(b_n)) > 0, \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts our assumption  $w_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup w_n = \mathbf{1}$ . □

The following corollary states a simple necessary and sufficient condition under which  $(w_1, \dots, w_n) \in \mathcal{F}_{NC}^n$  is a distributive weighting vector.

**Corollary 3.7.** A vector  $(w_1, \dots, w_n) \in \mathcal{F}_{NC}^n$  is a distributive weighting vector in  $(\mathcal{F}_{NC}, \sqsubseteq)$  if and only if there exists  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $w_i = \mathbf{1}$ .

*Proof.* 1. Necessity: Let  $(w_1, \dots, w_n) \in \mathcal{F}_{NC}^n$  be a distributive weighting vector. Then, according to Definition 3.5,  $w_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup w_n = \mathbf{1}$ ; and from Theorem 3.6 it follows  $w_i = \mathbf{1}$  for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

2. Sufficiency: Let us first observe that  $(\mathcal{F}_{NC}, \sqsubseteq)$  is a distributive lattice, thus it is sufficient to show that  $(w_1, \dots, w_n)$  is a weighting vector in  $(\mathcal{F}_{NC}, \sqsubseteq)$ . Let  $w_i = \mathbf{1}$  for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . The proof follows from the observation that  $\mathbf{1} \sqcup f = \mathbf{1}$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{F}_{NC}$ .  $\square$

Now we can use the notion of distributive weighting vector and define an OWA operator on the set of normal, convex fuzzy truth values  $\mathcal{F}_{NC}$ .

**Definition 3.8.** Let  $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_n) \in \mathcal{F}_{NC}^n$  be a distributive weighting vector in  $(\mathcal{F}_{NC}, \sqsubseteq)$ . The mapping  $F_{\mathbf{w}} : \mathcal{F}_{NC}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{NC}$  given, for all  $(f_1, \dots, f_n) \in \mathcal{F}_{NC}^n$ , by

$$F_{\mathbf{w}}(f_1, \dots, f_n) = (w_1 \sqcap g_1) \sqcup \dots \sqcup (w_n \sqcap g_n),$$

where  $(g_1, \dots, g_n)$  is a linearly ordered vector constructed from  $(f_1, \dots, f_n)$  according to Lemma 3.4, is called an  $n$ -ary OWA operator on  $\mathcal{F}_{NC}$ .

**Example 3.9.** Let weighting vector be  $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, \mathbf{1})$  and  $w_1, f_1, f_2$  be fuzzy truth values given by Figure 1. Then  $g_1 = f_1 \sqcup f_2$ ,  $g_2 = f_1 \sqcap f_2$ , and

$$F_{\mathbf{w}}(f_1, f_2) = (w_1 \sqcap g_1) \sqcup (\mathbf{1} \sqcap g_2) = (w_1 \sqcap g_1) \sqcup g_2.$$

The results are depicted in Figure 1 (for simplicity, fuzzy truth values  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  are not depicted - they can be found in Figure 2).




Figure 1: See Example 3.9.

From our point of view, the most important property of operator  $F_{\mathbf{w}}$  is given by the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.10.** Let  $F_{\mathbf{w}}$  be an  $n$ -ary OWA operator on  $\mathcal{F}_{NC}$ . Then

$$f_1 \sqcap \dots \sqcap f_n \sqsubseteq F_{\mathbf{w}}(f_1, \dots, f_n) \sqsubseteq f_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup f_n$$

for all  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in \mathcal{F}_{NC}$ .

*Proof.* We prove the right inequality, the left one can be checked in a similar way.

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\mathbf{w}}(f_1, \dots, f_n) &= (w_1 \sqcap g_1) \sqcup \dots \sqcup (w_n \sqcap g_n) \sqsubseteq (w_1 \sqcap g_1) \sqcup \dots \sqcup (w_n \sqcap g_1) = \\ &= (w_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup w_n) \sqcap g_1 = \mathbf{1} \sqcap g_1 = g_1 = f_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup f_n. \end{aligned}$$

□

The theorem says that the results of  $F_{\mathbf{w}}(f_1, \dots, f_n)$  are bounded by  $f_1 \sqcap \dots \sqcap f_n$  and  $f_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup f_n$ . It is worth pointing out that for standard OWA operators for real numbers from  $\min(x_1, \dots, x_n) \leq OWA_w(x_1, \dots, x_n) \leq \max(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  it follows that  $OWA_w(x_1, \dots, x_n) \geq x_i$  for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , and  $OWA_w(x_1, \dots, x_n) \leq x_j$  for some  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . However, the similar property does not hold for  $F_{\mathbf{w}}$ , i.e., it is possible that

$$F_{\mathbf{w}}(f_1, \dots, f_n) \sqsubset f_i, \quad \text{for all } i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$$

or

$$F_{\mathbf{w}}(f_1, \dots, f_n) \sqsupset f_j, \quad \text{for all } j \in \{1, \dots, n\}.$$

See Example 3.9) where  $F_{\mathbf{w}}(f_1, f_n) \sqsupset f_1$  and  $F_{\mathbf{w}}(f_1, f_n) \sqsupset f_2$ .

**Example 3.11.** Let weighting vector be  $\mathbf{w} = (\mathbf{1}, w_2)$  and  $w_2, f_1, f_2$  be fuzzy truth values given by Figure 2. Then  $g_1 = f_1 \sqcup f_2$ ,  $g_2 = f_1 \sqcap f_2$ , and (see Lemma 3.12 for the last equality)

$$F_{\mathbf{w}}(f_1, f_2) = (\mathbf{1} \sqcap g_1) \sqcup (w_2 \sqcap g_2) = g_1 \sqcup (w_2 \sqcap g_2) = g_1.$$

It is easy to check that  $F_{\mathbf{w}}(f_1, f_2) = g_1 \sqsupset f_i$ , for  $i = 1, 2$ .




Figure 2: See Example 3.11.

The following lemma was used in previous example and will also be needed in proof of Theorem 3.13.

**Lemma 3.12.** *Let  $f, g, h \in \mathcal{F}_{NC}$  with  $f \sqsubseteq g$ . Then  $g \sqcup (f \sqcap h) = g$ .*

*Proof.* Applying the distributive laws ([11], Proposition 36) and absorption laws ([11], Proposition 37) we have:

$$g \sqcup (f \sqcap h) = (g \sqcup f) \sqcap (g \sqcup h) = g \sqcap (g \sqcup h) = g.$$

□

Note that the property of Lemma 3.12 does not hold in  $\mathcal{F}$ . The reason is that the absorption laws fail if  $g$  is not convex or  $h$  is not normal.

We can now strengthen Proposition 3.8 from [4] in the settings of fuzzy truth values. Our result is that if  $\mathbf{1}$  is on the first position of a weighting vector  $\mathbf{w}$ , then our OWA operator is simply maximum, no matter what are the other weights - see item 1 of the following theorem. Note that there are much stronger assumptions for a similar assertion on minimum - see item 2 of the theorem.

**Theorem 3.13.** *Let  $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_n)$  be a distributive weighting vector in  $\mathcal{F}_{NC}$ .*

1. *If  $w_1 = \mathbf{1}$ , then  $F_{\mathbf{w}}(f_1, \dots, f_n) = f_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup f_n$ .*
2. *If  $w_n = \mathbf{1}$  and  $w_i \sqsubseteq f_1 \sqcap \dots \sqcap f_n$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ , then  $F_{\mathbf{w}}(f_1, \dots, f_n) = f_1 \sqcap \dots \sqcap f_n$ .*
3. *If  $w_k = \mathbf{1}$  for some  $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  and  $w_i = \mathbf{0}$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\} - \{k\}$ , then  $F_{\mathbf{w}}(f_1, \dots, f_n) = g_k$ .*

*Proof.* 1. Let  $\mathbf{w} = (\mathbf{1}, w_2, \dots, w_n)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\mathbf{w}}(f_1, \dots, f_n) &= (\mathbf{1} \sqcap g_1) \sqcup (w_2 \sqcap g_2) \sqcup \dots \sqcup (w_n \sqcap g_n) = \\ &= g_1 \sqcup (w_2 \sqcap g_2) \sqcup \dots \sqcup (w_n \sqcap g_n) \end{aligned}$$

and applying Lemma 3.12 ( $n-1$ ) times we conclude

$$F_{\mathbf{w}}(f_1, \dots, f_n) = g_1 = f_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup f_n.$$

2. Let  $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_{n-1}, \mathbf{1})$  with  $w_i \sqsubseteq g_n$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ . Then  $w_i \sqsubseteq g_i$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ , and we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\mathbf{w}}(f_1, \dots, f_n) &= (w_1 \sqcap g_1) \sqcup \dots \sqcup (w_{n-1} \sqcap g_{n-1}) \sqcup (\mathbf{1} \sqcap g_n) = w_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup w_{n-1} \sqcup g_n = \\ &= g_n = f_1 \sqcap \dots \sqcap f_n. \end{aligned}$$

3. Let  $\mathbf{w} = (\mathbf{0}, \dots, \mathbf{0}, w_k = \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{0}, \dots, \mathbf{0})$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\mathbf{w}}(f_1, \dots, f_n) &= (\mathbf{0} \sqcap g_1) \sqcup \dots \sqcup (\mathbf{0} \sqcap g_{k-1}) \sqcup (\mathbf{1} \sqcap g_k) \sqcup (\mathbf{0} \sqcap g_{k+1}) \dots \sqcup (\mathbf{0} \sqcap g_n) = \\ &= \mathbf{0} \sqcup \dots \sqcup \mathbf{0} \sqcup g_k \sqcup \mathbf{0} \dots \sqcup \mathbf{0} = g_k. \end{aligned}$$

□

## 4 Conclusion

In [4, 6] an OWA operator on any complete lattice endowed with a t-norm and a t-conorm was introduced. In this paper we focused on OWA operators on one particular case of complete lattice, namely the set of all normal, convex fuzzy truth values. We have restricted our attention on operations  $\sqcap$  and  $\sqcup$ . Our next intention is to apply some other t-norms and t-conorms on the set of fuzzy truth values and study properties of corresponding OWA operators. Another line of our investigation is a relationship of the proposed OWA operators to existing operators, namely type-1 OWA operators [15, 16] and OWA operators for gradual intervals [10].

### Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Grant VEGA 1/0420/15.

## References

- [1] H. Bustince, M. Galar, B. Bedregal, A. Kolesárová, and R. Mesiar, A new approach to interval-valued choquet integrals and the problem of ordering in interval-valued fuzzy set applications, *IEEE Transactions on Fuzzy Systems* **21** (2013), 1150–1162.
- [2] J. Harding, C. Walker and E. Walker, Lattices of convex normal functions, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* **159** (2008), 1061–1071.
- [3] N.N. Karnik and J.M. Mendel, Operations on type-2 fuzzy sets, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* **122** (2000), 327–348.
- [4] I. Lizasoain and C. Moreno, OWA operators defined on complete lattices, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* **224** (2013), 36–52.
- [5] M. Mizumoto and K. Tanaka, Some properties of fuzzy sets of type 2, *Information and Control* **31** (1976), 312–340.
- [6] G. Ochoa, I. Lizasoain, D. Paternain, H. Bustince and N.R. Pal, Some properties of lattice OWA operators and their importance in image processing, In J.M. Alonso, H. Bustince, M. Reformat, editors, *proceedings of the 16<sup>th</sup> World congress of the International Systems Association (IFSA) and the 9<sup>th</sup> Conference of the European Society for Fuzzy Logic and Technology (EUSFLAT)*, pages 1261–1265, Atlantis Press, Gijón (Spain), 2015.
- [7] J.T. Starczewski, Extended triangular norms, *Information Sciences* **179** (2009), 742–757.
- [8] Z. Takáč, Aggregation of fuzzy truth values, *Information Sciences* **271** (2014), 1–13.
- [9] Z. Takáč, Type-2 aggregation operators, In G. Pasi, J. Montero, D. Ciucci, editors, *Proceedings of the 8th conference of the European Society for Fuzzy Logic and Technology (EUSFLAT)*, pages 165–170, Atlantis Press, Milan (Italy), 2013.
- [10] Z. Takáč, A linear order and OWA operator for discrete gradual real numbers, In J.M. Alonso, H. Bustince, M. Reformat, editors, *Proceedings of the 2015 Conference of the International Fuzzy Systems Association and the European Society for Fuzzy Logic and Technology (IFSA-EUSFLAT)*, pages 260–266, Atlantis Press, Gijón (Spain), 2015.
- [11] C. Walker and E. Walker, The algebra of fuzzy truth values, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* **149** (2005), 309–347.
- [12] C. Walker and E. Walker, T-Norms for Type-2 Fuzzy Sets, In *Proceedings of the 2006 IEEE International Conference on Fuzzy Systems*, pages 16–21, Vancouver (Canada), 2006.
- [13] Z.S. Xu and Q.L. Da, The uncertain OWA operator, *International Journal of Intelligent Systems* **17** (2002), 569–575.
- [14] R.R. Yager, On ordered weighted averaging aggregation operators in multicriteria decisionmaking, *IEEE Transactions on Systems Man and Cybernetics* **18** (1988), 183–190.
- [15] S.M. Zhou, F. Chiclana, R.I. John, and J.M. Garibaldi, Type-1 OWA operators for aggregating uncertain information with uncertain weights induced by type-2 linguistic quantifiers, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* **159** (2008), 3281–3296.
- [16] S.M. Zhou, F. Chiclana, R.I. John, and J.M. Garibaldi, Alpha-level aggregation: A practical approach to type-1 OWA operation for aggregating uncertain information with applications to breast cancer treatments, *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering* **23** (2011), 1455–1468.