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#### Abstract

Numerical approximation of a nonlinear diffusion equation of mean curvature flow type is discussed. Convergence and error analysis of a regularized problem is presented.


## 1. Introduction

In this paper we analyze a semidiscrete numerical method for solving nonlinear diffusion equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}=g(|\nabla u|)|\nabla u| \nabla \cdot\left(\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\right) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

in a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ accompanied with homogeneous Neumann boundary conditions and an initial condition. Equation (1.1) is useful in image processing for selective smoothing of images and shapes. Numerical experiments in processing of 2 D and 3 D images are presented in [10]. Here, we present analysis of a special semidiscrete scheme for solving (1.1).

Equation (1.1) is a degenerate parabolic equation and is related to the so-called level set equation ((1.1) with $g(s) \equiv 1$ ) which has been proposed by Osher \& Sethian $[\mathbf{1 6}],[\mathbf{2 1}]$ for computation of moving fronts in interfacial dynamics. The level set equation moves each level line (surface) of 2D (3D) image with the velocity proportional to its normal mean curvature field. This causes intrinsic smoothing of level sets. By means of the Perona-Malik function $g$ (for which a typical choice is, e.g., $\left.g(s)=1 /\left(1+s^{2}\right)\right)$ we control the motion of level sets which are also edges. The smoothing of silhouettes on which the gradient of intensity is large can be slowed down by using $g$. In analysis and also in computations (see [10]) we use the following Evans-Spruck regularization,

[^0]\[

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon+|\nabla u|^{2}}} u_{t}-g(|\nabla u|) \nabla \cdot\left(\frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{\varepsilon+|\nabla u|^{2}}}\right) & =0 \text { in } I \times \Omega,  \tag{1.2}\\
\partial_{\nu} u & =0 \text { on } I \times \partial \Omega,  \tag{1.3}\\
u(0, .) & =u_{0} \text { in } \Omega, \tag{1.4}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

where $1>\varepsilon>0$ is a (small) real number, fixed throughout the whole paper and constants in estimates can depend on it. $I=(0, T)$ is a time-scale interval and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$. Using the ideas of Deckelnick and Dziuk [5] and Frehse's deformation technique ( $[\mathbf{8}]$ ) we analyze (for $N=2$ ) a finite element approximation of the problem (1.2)-(1.4). In [5], the motion of two-dimensional nonparametric surface by its mean curvature, governed by the equation

$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla u|^{2}}} u_{t}-\nabla \cdot\left(\frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla u|^{2}}}\right)=0 \text { in } I \times \Omega
$$

is considered, provided $u=0$ on $\partial \Omega$ and starting with smooth initial graph. We adapt their convergence and error estimates results to our situation - equation (1.2) with zero Neumann boundary conditions.

The semidiscrete scheme (Galerkin approximation) for solving (1.2)-(1.4) then reads as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega} \frac{u_{h, t} \varphi_{h}}{g\left(\left|\nabla u_{h}\right|\right) \sqrt{\varepsilon+\left|\nabla u_{h}\right|^{2}}}+\int_{\Omega} \frac{\nabla u_{h} \cdot \nabla \varphi_{h}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon+\left|\nabla u_{h}\right|^{2}}} & =0, \forall \varphi_{h} \in X_{h}, t \in I  \tag{1.5}\\
u_{h}(0, .) & =\bar{u}_{h 0} \tag{1.6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $u_{h}(t,.) \in X_{h}$ is the approximation of $u, X_{h}$ is suitable finite element space with grid size parameter $h$ (see (2.2)) and $\bar{u}_{h 0}$ is a modification to our case of the so called minimal surface projection of continuous initial data $u_{0}$ (see (4.1)).

Our purpose is to prove the convergence of $u_{h}$ to $u$ in some functional spaces. After some notations and assumptions given in Section 2, we present the main results- existence and error estimates- in Section 3. Section 4 is devoted to proofs of theorems.

## 2. Notations and assumptions

We shall denote the usual norm in Sobolev space $H^{m}(\Omega)$ by $\|.\|_{m}$, the norm in $H^{m, p}(\Omega)$ by $\|\cdot\|_{m, p}$ where $m \geq 0, p \geq 1$; for $m=0$ we write $\|\cdot\|$ and $\|\cdot\|_{L_{p}}$ respectively. In our theoretical analysis we consider a bounded domain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{2} \text { with } \partial \Omega \in C^{6} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\tau_{h}$ be a partition of $\Omega$ into generalized isoparametric triangles $T$, i.e. $T$ is a triangle if $\bar{T}$ and $\partial \Omega$ have at most one point in common, otherwise one of the faces may be curved. The usual regularity condition is fulfilled [4, Chapter 2.1]. We define the finite dimensional subspace $X_{h}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{h}:=\left\{v_{h} \in C(\Omega) \mid v_{h} \text { is linear on each } T \in \tau_{h}\right\} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the isoparametric modification is used in curved elements ([22],[23]). Under these hypotheses, for functions $v \in H^{k, p}(\Omega), 2 \leq p \leq \infty$, and the corresponding interpolants $I_{h} v, I_{h}: H^{k, p}(\Omega) \rightarrow X_{h}$, the usual approximation and inverse properties hold (see [4, Theorems 3.2.6, 3.3.6]):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(v-I_{h} v\right)\right\|_{j, p} \leq c h^{m-j}\left\|\nabla^{m} v\right\|_{L_{p}}, 0 \leq j \leq 1, m=\min (2, k) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $v_{h} \in X_{h}$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\nabla v_{h}\right\|_{L_{p}} & \leq c h^{-1}\left\|v_{h}\right\|_{L_{p}}, 1 \leq p \leq \infty \\
\left\|v_{h}\right\|_{L_{\infty}} & \leq c h^{-1}\left\|v_{h}\right\|  \tag{2.4}\\
\left\|v_{h}\right\|_{L_{\infty}} & \leq c|\log h|^{1 / 2}\left\|v_{h}\right\|_{1} .
\end{align*}
$$

For the data of (1.2)-(1.4) we assume that
(2.5) $g \in C^{4}(\mathbb{R}), g(0)=1,0<g(s) \leq 1$ ( we admit $g(s) \rightarrow 0$, for $s \rightarrow \infty$ ), with bounded derivatives up to 4 -th order.

$$
u_{0}(x) \in C^{5}(\bar{\Omega}) \text { satisfying the compatibility conditions }
$$

$$
\left.\frac{\partial^{|\alpha|} u_{0}(x)}{\partial x_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \ldots \partial x_{N}^{\alpha_{N}}}\right|_{\partial \Omega}=0, \text { for }|\alpha| \leq 3
$$

## 3. Main results

As we have mentioned above for proving the existence of a solution of the continuous problem in adequate function spaces and obtaining some error estimates for discrete solution we use the ideas and results of Deckelnick and Dziuk [5]. Let us state an existence and uniqueness of a solution result for problem (1.2) - (1.4).

Theorem 3.1. Let (2.1), (2.5) and (2.6) be satisfied. Then there exists a time $T>0$ such that (1.2)-(1.4) has a unique solution $u \in L_{\infty}\left(I ; H^{5}(\Omega)\right) \cap L_{2}\left(I ; H^{6}(\Omega)\right)$ with $u_{t} \in L_{\infty}\left(I ; H^{3}(\Omega)\right) \cap L_{2}\left(I ; H^{4}(\Omega)\right)$ and $u_{t t} \in L_{\infty}\left(I ; H^{1}(\Omega)\right) \cap L_{2}\left(I ; H^{2}(\Omega)\right)$.

For the Galerkin approximation $u_{h}$ given by (1.5)-(1.6) and its relation to the continuous solution $u$ from Theorem 3.1 we have

Theorem 3.2. Let (2.1), (2.5) and (2.6) be satisfied. There exists $h_{0}>0$ such that problem (1.5)-(1.6) has a unique solution $u_{h} \in L_{\infty}\left(I, L_{2}(\Omega)\right) \cap L_{2}\left(I, H^{1}(\Omega)\right)$ for all $0<h \leq h_{0}$. Furthermore, we have the following error estimates:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sup _{(0, T)}\left\|u-u_{h}\right\| \leq c h^{2}|\log h|^{2}, \quad\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left\|\nabla\left(u-u_{h}\right)\right\|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \leq c h, \\
\sup _{(0, T)}\left\|u_{t}-u_{h, t}\right\| \leq c h|\log h|, \quad\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left\|\nabla\left(u_{t}-u_{h, t}\right)\right\|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \leq c h|\log h| .
\end{gathered}
$$

These statements will be consequences of results obtained by deformation technique introduced by Frehse [8] which has been used also in [5]. We consider
the following family of initial-boundary value problems depending on a parameter $\sigma \in[0,1]:$

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{t}^{\sigma}-g\left(\sigma\left|\nabla u^{\sigma}\right|\right) \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon+\sigma\left|\nabla u^{\sigma}\right|^{2}}}{(1-\sigma) \sqrt{\varepsilon}+\sigma} \nabla \cdot\left(\frac{\nabla u^{\sigma}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon+\left|\nabla u^{\sigma}\right|^{2}}}\right) & =0 \text { in } I \times \Omega, \quad\left(P^{\sigma}\right) \\
\partial_{\nu} u^{\sigma} & =0 \quad \text { on } I \times \partial \Omega \\
u^{\sigma}(0, .) & =u_{0} \quad \text { in } \Omega .
\end{aligned}
$$

The corresponding Galerkin approximation then reads as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} \frac{((1-\sigma) \sqrt{\varepsilon}+\sigma) u_{h, t}^{\sigma} \varphi_{h}}{g\left(\sigma\left|\nabla u_{h}^{\sigma}\right|\right) \sqrt{\varepsilon+\sigma\left|\nabla u_{h}^{\sigma}\right|^{2}}}+\int_{\Omega} \frac{\nabla u_{h}^{\sigma} \cdot \nabla \varphi_{h}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon+\left|\nabla u_{h}^{\sigma}\right|^{2}}} & =0, \forall \varphi_{h} \in X_{h}, t \in I, \quad\left(P_{h}^{\sigma}\right) \\
u_{h}^{\sigma}(0, .) & =\bar{u}_{h, 0}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\bar{u}_{h, 0}$ is defined as in (4.1).
We can prove the existence result for the continuous problem ( $P^{\sigma}$ )
Theorem 3.3. Let (2.1), (2.5) and (2.6) be satisfied. Then there exists a unique solution $u^{\sigma} \in L_{\infty}\left(I ; H^{5}(\Omega)\right) \cap L_{2}\left(I ; H^{6}(\Omega)\right)$ with $u_{t}^{\sigma} \in L_{\infty}\left(I ; H^{3}(\Omega)\right) \cap$ $L_{2}\left(I ; H^{4}(\Omega)\right), u_{t t}^{\sigma} \in L_{\infty}\left(I ; H^{1}(\Omega)\right) \cap L_{2}\left(I ; H^{2}(\Omega)\right)$ to problem $\left(P^{\sigma}\right)$, provided that $T>0$ is small enough.

In case $\sigma=1,\left(P^{\sigma}\right)$ is our original problem (1.2)-(1.4), so if we prove the Theorem 3.3, Theorem 3.1 is also proved. In case $\sigma=0,\left(P^{\sigma}\right)$ is deformed into

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{t}-\nabla \cdot\left(\frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{\varepsilon+|\nabla u|^{2}}}\right) & =0 \quad \text { in } I \times \Omega \\
\partial_{\nu} u & =0 \quad \text { on } I \times \partial \Omega  \tag{3.1}\\
u(0, .) & =u_{0} \quad \text { in } \Omega
\end{align*}
$$

This equation is still nonlinear but its elliptic part is in the divergence form. Therefore we first investigate problem (3.1) and its Galerkin approximation $u_{h}$ given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega} u_{h, t} \varphi_{h}+\int_{\Omega} \frac{\nabla u_{h} \cdot \nabla \varphi_{h}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon+\left|\nabla u_{h}\right|^{2}}} & =0, \forall \varphi_{h} \in X_{h}, t \in I  \tag{3.2}\\
u_{h}(0, .) & =\bar{u}_{h, 0}
\end{align*}
$$

We obtain the following result which itself gives the error estimates for the finite element approximation of widely used regularization of pure anisotropic diffusion introduced by Osher \& Rudin [15].

Theorem 3.4. Let (2.1), (2.6) be satisfied. Let u be a solution to (3.1) and let $u_{h}$ be a discrete solution given by (3.2). Then

$$
\sup _{(0, T)}\left\|\nabla u_{h}\right\|_{L_{\infty}} \leq c
$$

$$
\sup _{(0, T)}\left\|u-u_{h}\right\| \leq c h^{2}|\log h|^{2}, \quad\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left\|\nabla\left(u_{t}-u_{h, t}\right)\right\|^{2}\right) \leq c h^{2}|\log h|^{2}
$$

Finally, for $h \leq 1$ and $\gamma>0, k_{1}>0$ we define a set $\Theta_{h} \subseteq[0,1]$ by

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\Theta_{h}:=\left\{\sigma \in[0,1] \mid\left(P_{h}^{\sigma}\right) \text { has a solution } u_{h}^{\sigma} \text { on } I\right. \text { and } \\
\left.\left\|\nabla u_{h}^{\sigma}\right\|_{L_{\infty}}<2 \gamma, \int_{0}^{T}\left\|\nabla\left(u_{t}^{\sigma}-u_{h, t}^{\sigma}\right)\right\|^{2}<k_{1}^{2} h^{2}|\log h|^{2}\right\}
\end{array}
$$

where $\gamma$ is a uniform upper bound on $\left\|\nabla u^{\sigma}\right\|_{L_{\infty}}$ for $\sigma \in[0,1]$. We prove the following result.

Theorem 3.5. For each $h \leq h_{0}$ (it may depend on the data of the problem and $\left.k_{1}\right)$ the set $\Theta_{h}$ is nonempty, open and closed with respect to $[0,1]$ and therefore must coincide with $[0,1]$.

Since $u^{1}=u$, Theorem 3.1 is a direct consequence of Theorem 3.3. Theorem 3.5 together with the fact that $u_{h}^{1}=u_{h}$ will be used in the proof of Theorem 3.2.

## 4. Proofs of theorems

Proposition 4.1. For every $u \in L_{\infty}\left(I ; H^{5}(\Omega)\right) \cap L_{2}\left(I ; H^{6}(\Omega)\right)$ with $u_{t} \in$ $L_{\infty}\left(I ; H^{3}(\Omega)\right) \cap L_{2}\left(I ; H^{4}(\Omega)\right), u_{t t} \in L_{\infty}\left(I ; H^{1}(\Omega)\right) \cap L_{2}\left(I ; H^{2}(\Omega)\right)$ and for all $0 \leq h \leq h_{0}, h_{0}$ sufficiently small, there exists a unique function $\bar{u}_{h}, \bar{u}_{h}(t,.) \in X_{h}$ (for a.e. $t \in I$ ), such that for every $\varphi_{h} \in X_{h}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} \bar{u}_{h} \varphi_{h}+\int_{\Omega} \frac{\nabla \bar{u}_{h} \cdot \nabla \varphi_{h}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon+\left|\nabla \bar{u}_{h}\right|^{2}}}=\int_{\Omega} u \varphi_{h}+\int_{\Omega} \frac{\nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi_{h}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon+|\nabla u|^{2}}} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the error between $u$ and $\bar{u}_{h}$ can be estimated as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{(0, T)}\left\|u-\bar{u}_{h}\right\|+h \sup _{(0, T)}\left\|\nabla\left(u-\bar{u}_{h}\right)\right\| \leq C h^{2}, \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{(0, T)}\left\|u-\bar{u}_{h}\right\|_{L_{\infty}}+h \sup _{(0, T)}\left\|\nabla\left(u-\bar{u}_{h}\right)\right\|_{L_{\infty}} \leq C h^{2}|\log h|, \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(4.4) $\sup _{(0, T)}\left\|u_{t}-\bar{u}_{h, t}\right\| \leq C h^{2}|\log h|^{2}$,

$$
\sup _{(0, T)}\left\|\nabla\left(u_{t}-\bar{u}_{h, t}\right)\right\| \leq C h
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left\|\nabla\left(u_{t t}-\bar{u}_{h, t t}\right)\right\|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} & \leq C h|\log h|  \tag{4.5}\\
\quad\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left\|u_{t t}-\bar{u}_{h, t t}\right\|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} & \leq C h|\log h| . \tag{4.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Remark: The definition of so-called surface projection $\bar{u}_{h}$ is different as in [5] due to Neumann boundary condition (see also [20]).

Proof. From equation (4.1) we immediately have

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left(u-\bar{u}_{h}\right) \varphi_{h}+\int_{\Omega} \frac{\nabla\left(u-\bar{u}_{h}\right) \cdot \nabla \varphi_{h}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon+\left|\nabla \bar{u}_{h}\right|^{2}}}=\int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon+\left|\nabla \bar{u}_{h}\right|^{2}}}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon+|\nabla u|^{2}}}\right) \nabla u . \nabla \varphi_{h} .
$$

We take $\varphi_{h}=I_{h} u-\bar{u}_{h} \in X_{h}$ and after some rearrangement we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega}\left|u-\bar{u}_{h}\right|^{2}+\int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|\nabla\left(u-\bar{u}_{h}\right)\right|^{2}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon+\left|\nabla \bar{u}_{h}\right|^{2}}} \\
& =\int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon+\left|\nabla \bar{u}_{h}\right|^{2}}}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon+|\nabla u|^{2}}}\right) \nabla u \cdot \nabla\left(I_{h} u-\bar{u}_{h}\right) \\
& +\int_{\Omega}\left(u-\bar{u}_{h}\right)\left(u-I_{h} u\right)+\int_{\Omega} \frac{\nabla\left(u-\bar{u}_{h}\right) \cdot \nabla\left(u-I_{h} u\right)}{\sqrt{\varepsilon+\left|\nabla \bar{u}_{h}\right|^{2}}}=I_{1}+I_{2}+I_{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|I_{1}\right| & \leq \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u|\left|\nabla\left(I_{h} u-\bar{u}_{h}\right)\right|\left|\nabla\left(u-\bar{u}_{h}\right)\right|\left(|\nabla u|+\left|\nabla \bar{u}_{h}\right|\right)}{\sqrt{\varepsilon+|\nabla u|^{2}} \sqrt{\varepsilon+\left|\nabla \bar{u}_{h}\right|^{2}}\left(\sqrt{\varepsilon+|\nabla u|^{2}}+\sqrt{\varepsilon+\left|\nabla \bar{u}_{h}\right|^{2}}\right)} \\
& \leq \gamma \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|\nabla\left(I_{h} u-u\right)\right|\left|\nabla\left(u-\bar{u}_{h}\right)\right|}{\sqrt{\varepsilon+\left|\nabla \bar{u}_{h}\right|^{2}}}+\gamma \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|\nabla\left(u-\bar{u}_{h}\right)\right|^{2}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon+\left|\nabla \bar{u}_{h}\right|^{2}}} \\
& \leq \gamma\left(1+\delta_{1}\right) \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|\nabla\left(u-\bar{u}_{h}\right)\right|^{2}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon+\left|\nabla \bar{u}_{h}\right|^{2}}}+\left.\bar{C} C_{\delta_{1}}| | \nabla\left(u-I_{h} u\right)\right|^{2}, \\
\left|I_{2}\right| & \leq \delta_{2}| | u-\left.\bar{u}_{h}\right|^{2}+C_{\delta_{2}}| | u-I_{h} u \|^{2} \\
\left|I_{3}\right| & \leq \delta_{3} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|\nabla\left(u-\bar{u}_{h}\right)\right|^{2}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon+\left|\nabla \bar{u}_{h}\right|^{2}}}+C_{\delta_{3}} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|\nabla\left(u-I_{h} u\right)\right|^{2}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon+\left|\nabla \bar{u}_{h}\right|^{2}}} \\
& \leq \delta_{3} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|\nabla\left(u-\bar{u}_{h}\right)\right|^{2}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon+\left|\nabla \bar{u}_{h}\right|^{2}}}+\left.\bar{C} C_{\delta_{3}}| | \nabla\left(u-I_{h} u\right)\right|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\gamma=\max _{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u|}{\sqrt{\varepsilon+|\nabla u|^{2}}}<1$. Then, for $\delta_{i}, i=1,2,3$ sufficiently small, we obtain

$$
\left\|u-\bar{u}_{h}\right\|^{2}+\int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|\nabla\left(u-\bar{u}_{h}\right)\right|^{2}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon+\left|\nabla \bar{u}_{h}\right|^{2}}} \leq C\left\|u-I_{h} u\right\|_{1}^{2}
$$

Using (2.3) and the regularity of $u$ we have

$$
\left\|u-\bar{u}_{h}\right\|^{2}+\int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|\nabla\left(u-\bar{u}_{h}\right)\right|^{2}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon+\left|\nabla \bar{u}_{h}\right|^{2}}} \leq C_{1} h^{2}\|u\|_{2}^{2} \leq C h^{2} .
$$

Now, one can obtain (see also [11]) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\nabla \bar{u}_{h}\right\|_{L_{\infty}} \leq C \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so we derive the estimate for $\left\|\nabla\left(u-\bar{u}_{h}\right)\right\|$ in (4.2).
The estimate for $\left\|u-\bar{u}_{h}\right\|$ in (4.2) and estimates in (4.3) can be proved in similar way as in [18, Theorem 1] and it's mentioned modification, (see also [9]) with respect to the definition of $\bar{u}_{h}$ see also [20, Theorem 1], for linear case with Neumann boundary condition. The proof is rather technical so we omit them here. Next we will use the abbreviation

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(p)=\frac{p}{\sqrt{\varepsilon+|p|^{2}}} \quad\left(p \in \mathbb{R}^{2}\right) \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us differentiate (4.1) with respect to $t$ and get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left(u-\bar{u}_{h}\right)_{t} \varphi_{h}+\int_{\Omega} F^{\prime}(\nabla u) \nabla u_{t} \cdot \nabla \varphi_{h}-\int_{\Omega} F^{\prime}\left(\nabla \bar{u}_{h}\right) \nabla \bar{u}_{h, t} \cdot \nabla \varphi_{h}=0 . \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We take $\varphi_{h}=I_{h} u_{t}-\bar{u}_{h, t}$ and using the properties of $F$ and $u$ we successively obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|u_{t}-\bar{u}_{h, t}\right\|^{2}+\int_{\Omega} F^{\prime}\left(\nabla \bar{u}_{h}\right)\left|\nabla\left(u_{t}-\bar{u}_{h, t}\right)\right|^{2} \\
& =\int_{\Omega}\left(u_{t}-\bar{u}_{h, t}\right)\left(u_{t}-I_{h} u_{t}\right)+\int_{\Omega} F^{\prime}\left(\nabla \bar{u}_{h}\right) \nabla\left(u_{t}-\bar{u}_{h, t}\right) . \nabla\left(u_{t}-I_{h} u_{t}\right) \\
& +\int_{\Omega}\left(F^{\prime}\left(\nabla \bar{u}_{h}\right)-F^{\prime}(\nabla u)\right) \nabla u_{t} . \nabla\left(I_{h} u_{t}-\bar{u}_{h, t}\right) \\
& \leq \delta_{1}\left\|u_{t}-\bar{u}_{h, t}\right\|^{2}+C_{\delta_{1}}\left|\left\|u_{t}-I_{h} u_{t}\right\|^{2}+C_{1} \int_{\Omega}\right| \nabla\left(u_{t}-\bar{u}_{h, t}\right) \| \nabla\left(u_{t}-I_{h} u_{t}\right) \mid \\
& +C_{2}\left\|\nabla u_{t}\right\|_{L_{\infty}} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla\left(u-\bar{u}_{h}\right)\right| \| \nabla\left(I_{h} u_{t}-\bar{u}_{h, t}\right) \mid \\
& \leq \delta_{1}\left\|u_{t}-\bar{u}_{h, t}\right\|^{2}+C_{\delta_{1}}| | u_{t}-I_{h} u_{t}\left\|^{2}+\delta_{2}\right\| \nabla\left(u_{t}-\bar{u}_{h, t}\right) \|^{2} \\
& +C_{\delta_{2}}\left\|\nabla\left(u_{t}-I_{h} u_{t}\right)\right\|^{2}+C| | \nabla u_{t} \|_{L_{\infty}}\left(\left\|\nabla\left(u-\bar{u}_{h}\right)\right\|^{2}+C\left\|\nabla\left(I_{h} u_{t}-u_{t}\right)\right\|^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\delta_{3}\left\|\nabla\left(u_{t}-\bar{u}_{h, t}\right)\right\|^{2}+C_{\delta_{3}}\left\|\nabla\left(u-\bar{u}_{h}\right)\right\|^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, using the properties of $u$ and strict positivity of $F^{\prime}$, then for $\delta_{i}, i=1,2,3$, sufficiently small, we obtain

$$
\left\|u_{t}-\bar{u}_{h, t}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\nabla\left(u_{t}-\bar{u}_{h, t}\right)\right\|^{2} \leq C\left(\left\|u_{t}-I_{h} u_{t}\right\|_{1}^{2}+\left\|\nabla\left(u-\bar{u}_{h}\right)\right\|^{2}\right),
$$

and using (2.3), (4.2) and the properties of $u$ we derive

$$
\left\|u_{t}-\bar{u}_{h, t}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\nabla\left(u_{t}-\bar{u}_{h, t}\right)\right\|^{2} \leq C_{1} h^{2}\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+C h^{2}
$$

uniformly for $t$ and the estimate for $\left\|\nabla\left(u_{t}-\bar{u}_{h, t}\right)\right\|$ in (4.4) is completed. The rest of (4.4) can be proved in the similar way as in [5]. Let $v$ be the solution of the linear equation

$$
v-\nabla \cdot\left(F^{\prime}(\nabla u) \nabla v\right)=u_{t}-\bar{u}_{h, t} \text { in } \Omega
$$

with zero Neumann boundary condition. We have

$$
\left\|u_{t}-\bar{u}_{h, t}\right\|^{2}=\left(v, u_{t}-\bar{u}_{h, t}\right)+\left(F^{\prime}(\nabla u) \nabla v, \nabla\left(u_{t}-\bar{u}_{h, t}\right) .\right.
$$

Using (4.9), (2.3) and the well know estimates of $v$ (see [12]) we derive

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|u_{t}-\bar{u}_{h, t}\right\|^{2} \leq & c h\left\|u_{t}-\bar{u}_{h, t}\right\|^{2}+\int_{\Omega} F^{\prime}(\nabla u) \nabla\left(u_{t}-\bar{u}_{h, t}\right) \cdot \nabla\left(v-I_{h} v\right) \\
& +\int_{\Omega}\left(F^{\prime}\left(\nabla \bar{u}_{h}\right)-F^{\prime}(\nabla u)\right) \nabla \bar{u}_{h, t} \cdot \nabla I_{h} v
\end{aligned}
$$

and after some rearrangement, for $h \leq h_{0}, h_{0}$ sufficiently small, we obtain practically in the same way as in [5] with respect to zero Neumann boundary condition and the estimates for $v[\mathbf{1 2}$, Chapter 3]:

$$
\left\|u_{t}-\bar{u}_{h, t}\right\|^{2} \leq C h^{2}\|\nabla v\|+c h^{2}|\log h|^{2}\left\|\nabla u_{t}\right\|\|\nabla v\|
$$

$$
+C\left(\|u\|_{2, \infty}\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{1}\|v\|_{1}+\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}\|v\|_{2}\right)\left\|u-\bar{u}_{h}\right\|_{L_{\infty}} \leq c h^{2}|\log h|^{2}\left\|u_{t}-\bar{u}_{h, t}\right\|
$$

where the properties of $u, v,(4.3)$ and the estimate for $\left\|\nabla\left(u_{t}-\bar{u}_{h, t}\right)\right\|$ were used. Summarizing these results we obtain the estimate (4.4).
Now we shall treat the second derivative with respect to $t$. After differentiation of (4.9) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega}(u & \left.-\bar{u}_{h}\right)_{t t} \varphi_{h}+\int_{\Omega}\left(F^{\prime}(\nabla u) \nabla u_{t t}-F^{\prime}\left(\nabla \bar{u}_{h}\right) \nabla \bar{u}_{h, t t}\right) \nabla \varphi_{h} \\
& =\int_{\Omega}\left(F^{\prime \prime}\left(\nabla \bar{u}_{h}\right) \nabla \bar{u}_{h, t} \nabla \bar{u}_{h, t}-F^{\prime \prime}(\nabla u) \nabla u_{t} \nabla u_{t}\right) \nabla \varphi_{h} \tag{4.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Inserting $\varphi_{h}=\bar{u}_{h, t t}$ into (4.10), in a similar way like above we get

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{0}^{T}\left\|\bar{u}_{h, t t}\right\|^{2}+\int_{0}^{T}\left\|\nabla \bar{u}_{h, t t}\right\|^{2} \\
\leq C \int_{0}^{T}\left(\left\|u_{t t}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\nabla u_{t t}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\nabla \bar{u}_{h}\right\|_{L_{\infty}}^{2}\left\|\nabla \bar{u}_{h, t}\right\|_{L_{\infty}}^{2}+\|\nabla u\|_{L_{\infty}}^{2}\left\|\nabla u_{t}\right\|_{L_{\infty}}^{2}\right) \leq C
\end{gathered}
$$

due to the properties of $u$ and $\bar{u}_{h, t}$ and using (4.7) and (4.4).
Now we put $\varphi_{h}=I_{h} u_{t t}-\bar{u}_{h, t t}$ in (4.10). We get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|u_{t t}-\bar{u}_{h, t t}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\nabla\left(u_{t t}-\bar{u}_{h, t t}\right)\right\|^{2} \leq C \int_{\Omega}\left(u_{t t}-\bar{u}_{h, t t}\right)\left(I_{h} u_{t t}-u_{t t}\right) \\
& +C \int_{\Omega} F^{\prime}(\nabla u) \nabla\left(u_{t t}-\bar{u}_{h, t t}\right) \cdot \nabla\left(I_{h} u_{t t}-u_{t t}\right) \\
& +C \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla ( u - \overline { u } _ { h } ) \left\|\nabla \bar{u}_{h, t t}\left|\| \nabla\left(I_{h} u_{t t}-u_{t t}\right)\right|\right.\right. \\
& +C \int_{\Omega}\left(F^{\prime \prime}\left(\nabla \bar{u}_{h}\right) \nabla \bar{u}_{h, t} . \nabla \bar{u}_{h, t}-F^{\prime \prime}(\nabla u) \nabla u_{t} \cdot \nabla u_{t}\right) \nabla\left(I_{h} u_{t t}-\bar{u}_{h, t t}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (4.3), (2.3), the properties of $u$ and $\bar{u}_{h}$ we get

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|u_{t t}-\bar{u}_{h, t t}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\nabla u_{t t}-\bar{u}_{h, t t}\right\|^{2} \leq c h^{2}\left\|u_{t t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+c h^{2}\left|\log h\left\|\mid \nabla \bar{u}_{h, t t}\right\|\left\|u_{t t}\right\|_{2}\right. \\
+C h^{2}|\log h|^{2}\left\|\nabla \bar{u}_{h, t t}\right\|^{2}+c h^{2}|\log h|^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

Integrating this inequality and using the boundedness of $\left\|u_{t t}\right\|_{2}$ and $\left\|\nabla \bar{u}_{h, t t}\right\|$ we obtain estimates (4.5), (4.6).

Proof. (Proof of Theorem 3.4.) From (3.1) and the definition of $\bar{u}_{h}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} u_{t} \varphi_{h}+\int_{\Omega} \frac{\nabla \bar{u}_{h} \nabla \varphi_{h}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon+\left|\nabla \bar{u}_{h}\right|^{2}}}=\int_{\Omega}\left(u-\bar{u}_{h}\right) \varphi_{h}, \varphi_{h} \in X_{h}, t \in I \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the difference of (4.11) and (3.2) we obtain

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left(\bar{u}_{h, t}-u_{h, t}\right) \varphi_{h}+\int_{\Omega} \frac{\nabla\left(\bar{u}_{h}-u_{h}\right) \nabla \varphi_{h}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon+\left|\nabla u_{h}\right|^{2}}}
$$

$=\int_{\Omega}\left(\bar{u}_{h, t}-u_{t}\right) \varphi_{h}+\int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon+\left|\nabla u_{h}\right|^{2}}}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon+\left|\nabla \bar{u}_{h}\right|^{2}}}\right) \nabla \bar{u}_{h} \nabla \varphi_{h}+\int_{\Omega}\left(u-\bar{u}_{h}\right) \varphi_{h}$
Now, we choose $\varphi_{h}=\bar{u}_{h}-u_{h}$ to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left\|\bar{u}_{h}-u_{h}\right\|^{2}+\int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|\nabla\left(\bar{u}_{h}-u_{h}\right)\right|^{2}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon+\left|\nabla u_{h}\right|^{2}}} \\
& \leq\left\|\bar{u}_{h, t}-u_{t}\left|\left\|\left|\bar{u}_{h}-u_{h}\|+\| u-\bar{u}_{h}\right|\right\|\right| \mid \bar{u}_{h}-u_{h}\right\| \\
& +\int_{\Omega}\left\|\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon+\left|\nabla u_{h}\right|^{2}}}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon+\left|\nabla \bar{u}_{h}\right|^{2}}}\right\|\left|\nabla\left(\bar{u}_{h}-u_{h}\right)\right|\left|\nabla \bar{u}_{h}\right| \\
& \leq\left\|\bar{u}_{h}-u_{h}\right\|^{2}+\left\|u_{t}-\bar{u}_{h, t}\right\|^{2}+\left\|u-\bar{u}_{h}\right\|^{2}+\alpha \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|\nabla\left(\bar{u}_{h}-u_{h}\right)\right|^{2}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon+\left|\nabla u_{h}\right|^{2}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\alpha<1$, where (4.7) has been used. Using Gronwall's lemma and results of Proposition 4.1 we obtain

$$
\sup _{(0, T)}\left\|\left(\bar{u}_{h}-u_{h}\right)\right\|^{2}+\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|\nabla\left(\bar{u}_{h}-u_{h}\right)\right|^{2}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon+\left|\nabla u_{h}\right|^{2}}} \leq C h^{4}|\log h|^{4},
$$

which together with (4.2) implies the second inequality of Theorem. We can also conclude

$$
\left\|\nabla\left(\bar{u}_{h}-u_{h}\right)\right\|_{L_{\infty}} \leq C_{1} h^{-1}\left\|\nabla\left(\bar{u}_{h}-u_{h}\right)\right\| \leq C_{2} h^{-2}\left\|\left(\bar{u}_{h}-u_{h}\right)\right\| \leq C|\log h|^{2}
$$

uniformly for a.e. $t \in[0, T]$ and therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{(0, T)}\left\|\nabla u_{h}\right\|_{L_{\infty}} \leq C|\log h|^{2} . \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now differentiating (4.11) and (3.2) with respect to $t$ and taking the difference of the resulting equations we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega}\left(\bar{u}_{h, t t}-u_{h, t t}\right) \varphi_{h}+\int_{\Omega} \frac{\nabla\left(\bar{u}_{h, t}-u_{h, t}\right) \nabla \varphi_{h}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon+\left|\nabla u_{h}\right|^{2}}} \\
& =\int_{\Omega}\left(\bar{u}_{h, t t}-u_{t t}\right) \varphi_{h}+\int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon+\left|\nabla u_{h}\right|^{2}}}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon+\left|\nabla \bar{u}_{h}\right|^{2}}}\right) \nabla \bar{u}_{h, t} \nabla \varphi_{h} \\
& +\int_{\Omega} \frac{\nabla u_{h} \nabla \varphi_{h}}{\left(\sqrt{\varepsilon+\left|\nabla u_{h}\right|^{2}}\right)^{3}} \nabla u_{h} \nabla\left(\bar{u}_{h, t}-u_{h, t}\right) \\
& +\int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{\nabla \bar{u}_{h} \nabla \varphi_{h}}{\left(\sqrt{\varepsilon+\left|\nabla \bar{u}_{h}\right|^{2}}\right)^{3}} \nabla \bar{u}_{h}-\frac{\nabla u_{h} \nabla \varphi_{h}}{\left(\sqrt{\varepsilon+\left|\nabla u_{h}\right|^{2}}\right)^{3}} \nabla u_{h}\right) \nabla \bar{u}_{h, t} \\
& +\int_{\Omega}\left(u_{t}-\bar{u}_{h, t}\right) \nabla \varphi_{h} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We take $\varphi_{h}=\bar{u}_{h, t}-u_{h, t}$ and similarly as above and as in [5] we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left\|\bar{u}_{h, t}-u_{h, t}\right\|^{2}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2\left(\varepsilon+\sup _{(0, T)}\left\|\nabla u_{h}\right\|_{L_{\infty}}^{2}\right)} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|\nabla\left(\bar{u}_{h, t}-u_{h, t}\right)\right|^{2}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon+\left|\nabla u_{h}\right|^{2}}} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{t t}-\bar{u}_{h, t t}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\bar{u}_{h, t}-u_{h, t}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{t}-\bar{u}_{h, t}\right\|^{2} \\
& +C\left(\varepsilon+\sup _{(0, T)}\left\|\nabla u_{h}\right\|_{L_{\infty}}^{2}\right) h^{2}\left\|\nabla \bar{u}_{h, t}\right\|_{L_{\infty}}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Integrating it with respect to $t$, estimating $\left\|\left(\bar{u}_{h, t}-u_{h, t}\right)(0)\right\|$ as in [5] and using (4.4)-(4.5) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\bar{u}_{h, t}-u_{h, t}\right\|^{2}+ & \frac{\varepsilon}{2\left(\varepsilon+\sup _{(0, T)}\left\|\nabla u_{h}\right\|_{L_{\infty}}^{2}\right)} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|\nabla\left(\bar{u}_{h, t}-u_{h, t}\right)\right|^{2}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon+\left|\nabla u_{h}\right|^{2}}} \\
& \leq C h^{2}|\log h|^{8}+\int_{0}^{t}\left\|\bar{u}_{h, t}-u_{h, t}\right\|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If we apply Gronwall's lemma, we have

$$
\sup _{(0, T)}\left\|\bar{u}_{h, t}-u_{h, t}\right\|^{2} \leq c h^{2}|\log h|^{8},
$$

and using (4.12) we get

$$
\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|\nabla\left(\bar{u}_{h, t}-u_{h, t}\right)\right|^{2}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon+\left|\nabla u_{h}\right|^{2}}} \leq C h^{2}|\log h|^{12}
$$

from which we have

$$
\left\|\nabla\left(\bar{u}_{h}-u_{h}(t)\right)\right\|^{2} \leq C h^{3}|\log h|^{10}
$$

and

$$
\left\|\nabla u_{h}(t)\right\|_{L_{\infty}} \leq C+C h^{-1}\left\|\nabla\left(\bar{u}_{h}-u_{h}(t)\right)\right\| \leq C .
$$

Now, using this result in similar way as in [5] we can obtain

$$
\int_{0}^{T}\left\|\nabla\left(u_{t}-u_{h, t}\right)\right\|^{2} \leq C h^{2}|\log h|^{2}
$$

Proposition 4.1 gives the estimates for $u^{\sigma}-\bar{u}_{h}^{\sigma}$, the next assertion will gives us some useful relations between $u^{\sigma}-u_{h}^{\sigma}$ and $\bar{u}_{h}^{\sigma}-u_{h}^{\sigma}$ which we will use in the proof of Theorem 3.5.

Proposition 4.2. Let $u_{h}^{\sigma}$ be a solution of $\left(P_{h}^{\sigma}\right)$ satisfying $\left\|\nabla u_{h}^{\sigma}\right\|_{L_{\infty}} \leq 2 \gamma$. Denote $e^{\sigma}=u^{\sigma}-u_{h}^{\sigma}$ and $e_{h}^{\sigma}=\bar{u}_{h}^{\sigma}-u_{h}^{\sigma}$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sup _{(0, T)}\left\|e_{h}^{\sigma}\right\|^{2} \leq c_{1} h^{4}|\log h|^{4} \exp \left(c_{1} \int_{0}^{T}\left\|\nabla e_{t}^{\sigma}\right\|^{2}\right)  \tag{4.13}\\
& \int_{0}^{T}\left\|\nabla e_{h}^{\sigma}\right\|^{2} \leq c_{1} h^{4}|\log h|^{4}\left(1+\exp \left(c_{1} \int_{0}^{T}\left\|\nabla e_{t}^{\sigma}\right\|^{2}\right) \cdot \int_{0}^{T}\left\|\nabla e_{t}^{\sigma}\right\|^{2}\right)  \tag{4.14}\\
& \sup _{(0, T)}\left\|e_{h, t}^{\sigma}\right\|^{2} \leq c_{2}\left(h^{2}|\log h|^{2}+\sup _{(0, T)}\left\|\nabla e^{\sigma}\right\|^{2}+\left(h^{2}|\log h|^{2}\right.\right.  \tag{4.15}\\
& \left.\left.\quad+\sup _{(0, T)}\left\|\nabla e^{\sigma}\right\|_{L_{\infty}}^{2}\right) \int_{0}^{T}\left\|\nabla e_{t}^{\sigma}\right\|^{2}\right) \exp \left(c_{2} \int_{0}^{T}\left\|\nabla e_{t}^{\sigma}\right\|^{2}\right) \\
& \int_{0}^{T}\left\|\nabla e_{h, t}^{\sigma}\right\|^{2} \leq c_{2}\left(\left(h^{2}|\log h|^{2}+\sup _{(0, T)}\left\|\nabla e^{\sigma}\right\|^{2}\right) \int_{0}^{T}\left\|\nabla e_{t}^{\sigma}\right\|^{2}\right.  \tag{4.16}\\
& \left.+h^{2}|\log h|^{2}+\sup _{(0, T)}\left\|\nabla e^{\sigma}\right\|^{2}\right)\left(1+\exp \left(c_{2} \int_{0}^{T}\left\|\nabla e_{t}^{\sigma}\right\|^{2}\right) \int_{0}^{T}\left\|\nabla e_{t}^{\sigma}\right\|^{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. The proof is similar to the one in [5]. In order to simplify the presentation we only look at the case $\sigma=1$ and we omit this upper index. We can write

$$
e=u-u_{h}=\left(u-\bar{u}_{h}\right)+\left(\bar{u}_{h}-u_{h}\right)=: \bar{\varepsilon}+e_{h} .
$$

Now, from definition of $\left(P^{\sigma}\right)$, for $\sigma=1$, we have

$$
\int_{\Omega} \frac{u_{t} \varphi_{h}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon+|\nabla u|^{2}} g(|\nabla u|)}+\int_{\Omega} \frac{\nabla u \nabla \varphi_{h}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon+|\nabla u|^{2}}}=0, \forall \varphi_{h} \in X_{h}, t \in I
$$

By definition of $\bar{u}_{h}$ we get

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\int_{\Omega} \frac{u_{t} \varphi_{h}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon+|\nabla u|^{2}} g(|\nabla u|)}+\int_{\Omega} \frac{\nabla \bar{u}_{h} \nabla \varphi_{h}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon+\left|\nabla \bar{u}_{h}\right|^{2}}}  \tag{4.17}\\
=\int_{\Omega}\left(u-\bar{u}_{h}\right) \varphi_{h}, \quad \forall \varphi_{h} \in X_{h}, t \in I .
\end{array}
$$

Taking the difference of (4.17) and $\left(P_{h}^{1}\right)$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} \frac{e_{h, t} \varphi_{h}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon+\left|\nabla u_{h}\right|^{2}} g\left(\left|\nabla u_{h}\right|\right)}+\int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{\nabla \bar{u}_{h}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon+\left|\nabla \bar{u}_{h}\right|^{2}}}-\frac{\nabla u_{h}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon+\left|\nabla u_{h}\right|^{2}}}\right) \nabla \varphi_{h} \\
(4.18) & =\int_{\Omega}\left(u-\bar{u}_{h}\right) \varphi_{h}-\int_{\Omega} u_{t} \varphi_{h}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon+|\nabla u|^{2}} g(|\nabla u|)}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon+\left|\nabla u_{h}\right|^{2}} g\left(\left|\nabla u_{h}\right|\right)}\right) \\
& -\int_{\Omega} \frac{\bar{\varepsilon}_{t} \varphi_{h}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon+\left|\nabla u_{h}\right|^{2}} g\left(\left|\nabla u_{h}\right|\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We use the function $F$ defined in the proof of Proposition 4.1. We also define $G: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
G(p)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon+|p|^{2}} g(|p|)}
$$

In the same way as in [5], using the mean value theorem, we have

$$
\frac{\nabla \bar{u}_{h}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon+\left|\nabla \bar{u}_{h}\right|^{2}}}-\frac{\nabla u_{h}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon+\left|\nabla u_{h}\right|^{2}}}=\int_{0}^{1} F^{\prime}\left(s \nabla \bar{u}_{h}+(1-s) \nabla u_{h}\right) d s \nabla e_{h}
$$

and we can define the bilinear form

$$
a^{h}(v, w)=\int_{\Omega}\left(\int_{0}^{1} F^{\prime}\left(s \nabla \bar{u}_{h}+(1-s) \nabla u_{h}\right) d s \nabla v\right) \cdot \nabla w .
$$

Due to the properties of $F, a^{h}$ is symmetric and from the fact that $\left\|\nabla \bar{u}_{h}\right\|_{L_{\infty}} \leq 2 \gamma$, $\left\|\nabla u_{h}\right\|_{L_{\infty}} \leq 2 \gamma$ we can prove

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{h}(v, v) \geq c_{0}(\gamma)\|\nabla v\|^{2} \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly as above, if we denote

$$
b^{h}=\int_{0}^{1} G^{\prime}\left(s \nabla u+(1-s) \nabla u_{h}\right) d s
$$

we can write

$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon+|\nabla u|^{2}} g(|\nabla u|)}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon+\left|\nabla u_{h}\right|^{2}} g\left(\left|\nabla u_{h}\right|\right)}=b^{h} . \nabla e .
$$

Introducing the smooth function $b:=G^{\prime}(\nabla u)$, it is easy to see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|b-b^{h}\right| \leq c_{1}(\gamma)|\nabla e|, \quad|b| \leq c_{2}(\gamma) \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

With these abbreviations (4.18) can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega} \frac{e_{h, t} \varphi_{h}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon+\left|\nabla u_{h}\right|^{2}} g\left(\left|\nabla u_{h}\right|\right)}+a^{h}\left(e_{h}, \varphi_{h}\right) \\
& =\int_{\Omega}\left(u-\bar{u}_{h}\right) \varphi_{h}-\int_{\Omega} u_{t} b^{h} . \nabla e \varphi_{h}-\int_{\Omega} \frac{\bar{\varepsilon}_{t} \varphi_{h}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon+\left|\nabla u_{h}\right|^{2}} g\left(\left|\nabla u_{h}\right|\right)} \tag{4.21}
\end{align*}
$$

Now setting $\varphi_{h}=e_{h}$ in (4.21) and using (4.19) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t} \int_{\Omega} \frac{e_{h}^{2}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon+\left|\nabla u_{h}\right|^{2}} g\left(\left|\nabla u_{h}\right|\right)}+c_{0}| | \nabla e_{h} \|^{2} \\
& \leq-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{e_{h}^{2}}{\left(\sqrt{\varepsilon+\left|\nabla u_{h}\right|^{2}}\right)^{3} g\left(\left|\nabla u_{h}\right|\right)} \nabla u_{h} . \nabla u_{h, t} \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{e_{h}^{2} g\left(\left|\nabla u_{h}\right|\right)_{t}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon+\left|\nabla u_{h}\right|^{2}} g^{2}\left(\left|\nabla u_{h}\right|\right)}-\int_{\Omega}\left(\bar{u}_{h}-u\right) e_{h}  \tag{4.22}\\
& -\int_{\Omega} u_{t} e_{h} b^{h} . \nabla e-\int_{\Omega} \frac{\bar{\varepsilon}_{t} e_{h}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon+\left|\nabla u_{h}\right|^{2}} g\left(\left|\nabla u_{h}\right|\right)}  \tag{4.23}\\
& =I_{1}+I_{2}+I_{3}+I_{4}+I_{5} .
\end{align*}
$$

The term $I_{1}$ we estimate in similar way as in [5], but the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\varphi\|_{L_{4}} \leq c\left(\|\varphi\|_{H^{1}}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\|\varphi\|_{L_{2}}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

is used for $\varphi \in H^{1}(\Omega)$. We get

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|I_{1}\right| \leq C \int_{\Omega}\left|e_{h}\right|^{2}\left|\nabla u_{h, t}\right| \leq C\left\|e_{h}\right\|_{L_{4}}^{2}\left\|\nabla u_{h, t}\right\| \\
\leq C\left\|e _ { h } \left|\left\|\mid \nabla e_{h}\right\|\left(| | \nabla u_{t}\|+\| \nabla e_{t} \|\right) \leq \delta\left\|\nabla e_{h}\right\|^{2}+C_{\delta}\left(\left\|\nabla u_{t}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\nabla e_{t}\right\|^{2}\right)\left\|e_{h}\right\|^{2}\right.\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

Using the properties of $u$ and $g$, for the term $I_{2}$ we also obtain

$$
\left|I_{2}\right| \leq C \int_{\Omega}\left|e_{h}\right|^{2}\left|\nabla u_{h, t}\right|
$$

and then we continue as above. Employing Proposition 4.1, we have

$$
\left|I_{3}\right| \leq C h^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left\|e_{h}\right\|^{2}
$$

We rewrite $I_{4}$ into the form

$$
I_{4}=\int_{\Omega} u_{t} e_{h}\left(b-b^{h}\right) \cdot \nabla e-\int_{\Omega} u_{t} e_{h} b \cdot \nabla e=I_{41}+I_{42} .
$$

To estimate the term $I_{41}$ we can proceed similarly as in [5], and using continuous embedding, (4.20) and Proposition 4.1 we have

$$
\left|I_{41}\right| \leq C h^{4}|\log h|^{2}+\delta\left\|\nabla e_{h}\right\|^{2}+C_{\delta}\left\|e_{h}\right\|^{2} .
$$

$I_{42}$ we estimate using the properties of $b, u$ and Preposition 1

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|I_{42}\right| \leq c_{2}(\gamma)\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{L_{\infty}} \int_{\Omega}\left|e_{h} \| \nabla e\right| \\
\leq C\left(\left\|e_{h}\right\|\left\|\left|\nabla \bar{\varepsilon}\|+\| e_{h}\right|\right\| \nabla e_{h} \|\right) \leq C h^{2}+C_{\delta}\left\|e_{h}\right\|^{2}+\delta| | \nabla e_{h} \|^{2} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Finally, $I_{5}$ yields

$$
\left|I_{5}\right| \leq C| | \bar{\varepsilon}_{t}\left\|^{2}+C\right\| e_{h}\left\|^{2} \leq c h^{4}|\log h|^{4}+C\right\| e_{h} \|^{2}
$$

Now, integrating (4.22) from 0 to $t$, taking into account the estimates of terms $I_{1}, \ldots, I_{5}$, and the fact that $e_{h}(0)=0$ we get

$$
\left\|e_{h}\right\|^{2}+\int_{0}^{t}\left\|\nabla e_{h}\right\|^{2} \leq C h^{4}|\log h|^{4}+C \int_{0}^{t}\left(1+\left\|\nabla e_{t}\right\|^{2}\right)\left\|e_{h}\right\|^{2}
$$

Then Gronwall's lemma gives

$$
\sup _{(0, T)}\left\|e_{h}(t)\right\|^{2} \leq C h^{4}|\log h|^{4} \exp \left(c \int_{0}^{T}\left\|\nabla e_{t}\right\|^{2}\right)
$$

and the proofs of (4.13) and (4.14) are complete.
In order to prove (4.15) and (4.16) we differentiate (4.21) with respect to $t$. Then we have

$$
\int_{\Omega} \frac{e_{h, t t} \varphi_{h}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon+\left|\nabla u_{h}\right|^{2}} g\left(\left|\nabla u_{h}\right|\right)}+a^{h}\left(e_{h, t}, \varphi_{h}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
=\int_{\Omega} e_{h, t} \varphi_{h}\left(\frac{\nabla u_{h} \nabla u_{h, t}}{\left(\sqrt{\varepsilon+\left|\nabla u_{h}\right|^{2}}\right)^{3} g\left(\left|\nabla u_{h}\right|\right)}+\frac{g\left(\left|\nabla u_{h}\right|\right)_{t}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon+\left|\nabla u_{h}\right|^{2}} g^{2}\left(\left|\nabla u_{h}\right|\right)}\right) \\
-a_{t}^{h}\left(e_{h}, \varphi_{h}\right)-\int_{\Omega}\left(\bar{u}_{h}-u\right)_{t} \varphi_{h}-\int_{\Omega} u_{t t} b^{h} \nabla e \varphi_{h}-\int_{\Omega} u_{t} b_{t}^{h} \nabla e \varphi_{h} \\
-\int_{\Omega} u_{t} b^{h} \nabla e_{t} \varphi_{h}-\int_{\Omega} \frac{\bar{\varepsilon}_{t t} \varphi_{h}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon+\left|\nabla u_{h}\right|^{2}} g\left(\left|\nabla u_{h}\right|\right)}- \\
\\
\int_{\Omega} \bar{\varepsilon}_{t} \varphi_{h}\left(\frac{\nabla u_{h} \nabla u_{h, t}}{\left(\sqrt{\varepsilon+\left|\nabla u_{h}\right|^{2}}\right)^{3} g\left(\left|\nabla u_{h}\right|\right)}+\frac{g\left(\left|\nabla u_{h}\right|\right)_{t}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon+\left|\nabla u_{h}\right|^{2}} g^{2}\left(\left|\nabla u_{h}\right|\right)}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Now we take $\varphi_{h}=e_{h, t}$ and similarly as above we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t} \int_{\Omega} \frac{e_{h, t}^{2}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon+\left|\nabla u_{h}\right|^{2}} g\left(\left|\nabla u_{h}\right|\right)}+\left.c_{0}| | \nabla e_{h, t}\right|^{2} \\
& \leq-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{e_{h, t}^{2}}{\left(\sqrt{\varepsilon+\left|\nabla u_{h}\right|^{2}}\right)^{3} g\left(\left|\nabla u_{h}\right|\right)} \nabla u_{h} . \nabla u_{h, t}-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{e_{h, t}^{2} g\left(\left|\nabla u_{h}\right|\right)_{t}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon+\left|\nabla u_{h}\right|^{2}} g^{2}\left(\left|\nabla u_{h}\right|\right)} \\
& 5) \quad-a_{t}^{h}\left(e_{h}, e_{h, t}\right)-\int_{\Omega}\left(\bar{u}_{h}-u\right)_{t} e_{h, t}-\int_{\Omega} u_{t t} e_{h, t} b^{h} . \nabla e  \tag{4.25}\\
& -\int_{\Omega} u_{t} b_{t}^{h} \nabla e e_{h, t}-\int_{\Omega} u_{t} b^{h} \nabla e_{t} e_{h, t}-\int_{\Omega} \frac{\bar{\varepsilon}_{t t} e_{h, t}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon+\left|\nabla u_{h}\right|^{2}} g\left(\left|\nabla u_{h}\right|\right)} \\
& -\int_{\Omega} \bar{\varepsilon}_{t} e_{h, t}\left(\frac{\nabla u_{h} \nabla u_{h, t}}{\left(\sqrt{\varepsilon+\left|\nabla u_{h}\right|^{2}}\right)^{3} g\left(\left|\nabla u_{h}\right|\right)}+\frac{g\left(\left|\nabla u_{h}\right|\right)_{t}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon+\left|\nabla u_{h}\right|^{2}} g^{2}\left(\left|\nabla u_{h}\right|\right)}\right) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{9} I_{i}
\end{align*}
$$

We estimate terms $I_{1}$ and $I_{2}$ as above and obtain

$$
\left|I_{1}\right|+\left|I_{2}\right| \leq \delta\left\|\nabla e_{h, t}\right\|^{2}+C_{\delta}\left(\left\|\nabla e_{t}\right\|^{2}+1\right)\left\|e_{h, t}\right\|^{2}
$$

For the term $I_{3}$ we realize

$$
\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{0}^{1} F^{\prime}\left(s \nabla \bar{u}_{h}+(1-s) \nabla u_{h}\right) d s\right\| \leq c\left(\left|\nabla u_{t}\right|+\left|\nabla \bar{\varepsilon}_{t}\right|+\left|\nabla e_{t}\right|\right)
$$

and again as in [5] we get

$$
\left|I_{3}\right| \leq \delta\left\|\nabla e_{h, t}\right\|^{2}+C_{\delta}\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{3}^{2}\left\|\nabla e_{h}\right\|^{2}+C_{\delta}\left\|\nabla \bar{\varepsilon}_{t}\right\|^{2}+C_{\delta}\left\|\nabla e_{h}\right\|_{L_{\infty}}^{2}\left\|\nabla e_{t}\right\|^{2}
$$

The term $I_{4}$ is easy to estimate because

$$
\left|I_{4}\right| \leq C\left(\left\|\bar{u}_{h, t}-u_{t}\right\|^{2}+\left\|e_{h, t}\right\|^{2}\right),
$$

and

$$
I_{5}=-\int_{\Omega} u_{t t}\left(b^{h}-b\right) . \nabla e e_{h, t}-\int_{\Omega} u_{t t} b . \nabla e e_{h, t}=I_{51}+I_{52}
$$

Using (4.20) and (4.24) we get

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|I_{51}\right| \leq \delta\left\|\nabla e_{h, t}\right\|^{2}+C_{\delta}\left\|u_{t t}\right\|_{1}^{2}\left\|e_{h, t}\right\|^{2}+C_{\delta}\|\nabla e\|\left\|^{2}\right\| u_{t t} \|_{1}, \\
\left|I_{52}\right| \leq c_{2}(\gamma)\left\|u_{t t}\right\|_{L_{\infty}} \int_{\Omega}\left|e_{h, t}\|\nabla e \mid \leq C\| e_{h, t}\left\|^{2}+C\right\| \nabla \bar{\varepsilon}\left\|^{2}+C\right\| \nabla e_{h} \|^{2} .\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

From the inequality

$$
\left|b_{t}^{h}\right| \leq C\left(\left|\nabla u_{t}\right|+\left|\nabla e_{t}\right|\right)
$$

we obtain as in [5]

$$
\left|I_{6}\right| \leq C\|\nabla e\|^{2}+C\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{3}^{2}\left\|e_{h, t}\right\|^{2}+C\|\nabla e\|_{L_{\infty}}^{2}\left\|\nabla e_{t}\right\|^{2}+C\left\|e_{h, t}\right\|^{2} .
$$

From the properties of $b$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|I_{7}\right|+\left|I_{8}\right| \leq C\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{L_{\infty}}\|\nabla e\|\| \| \nabla e_{h, t}\|+\| \bar{\varepsilon}_{t t}\| \| e_{h, t} \| \\
& \leq \delta\left\|\nabla e_{h, t}\right\|^{2}+C_{\delta}\left\|e_{h, t}\right\|^{2}+C\left(\left\|\nabla \bar{\varepsilon}_{t}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\bar{\varepsilon}_{t t}\right\|^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, in the last term we use the properties of $g$ and as we get

$$
\left|I_{9}\right| \leq C \int_{\Omega}\left|\bar{\varepsilon}_{t}\right|\left|e_{h, t}\right|\left|\nabla u_{h, t}\right|+C \int_{\Omega}\left|\bar{\varepsilon}_{t}\right|\left|e_{h, t}\right|
$$

Using the results of Proposition 4.1 we obtain

$$
\left|I_{9}\right| \leq C h^{4}|\log h|^{4}+C_{\delta}\left(1+\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{3}^{2}\right)\left\|e_{h, t}\right\|^{2}+\delta\left\|\nabla e_{h, t}\right\|^{2}+C_{\delta} h^{4}|\log h|^{4} \mid\left\|\nabla e_{t}\right\|^{2} .
$$

Now integrating (4.25) from 0 to $t$ and using the estimates for $I_{1}, \ldots I_{9}$ with $\delta$ sufficiently small we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|e_{h, t}\right\|^{2}+\int_{0}^{t}\left\|\nabla e_{h, t}\right\|^{2} \leq c\left\|e_{h, t}(0)\right\|^{2}+c \int_{0}^{t}\left(\left\|\bar{\varepsilon}_{t t}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\nabla e_{t}\right\|^{2}\right) \\
& +C \int_{0}^{t}\left(1+\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{3}^{2}+\left\|u_{t t}\right\|_{1}^{2}+\left\|\nabla e_{t}\right\|^{2}\right)\left\|e_{h, t}\right\|^{2} \\
& +C \int_{0}^{t}\left(\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{3}^{2}+\left\|u_{t t}\right\|_{1}^{2}+1\right)\left(h^{2}+\sup _{(0, T)}\|\nabla e\|^{2}\right) \\
& +C\left(\sup _{(0, T)}\|\nabla e\|_{L_{\infty}}^{2}+h^{2}|\log h|^{2}\right) \int_{0}^{T}\left\|\nabla e_{t}\right\|^{2}+C h^{4}|\log h|^{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Because ( see also [5] )

$$
\left\|e_{h, t}(0)\right\| \leq C h,
$$

we get

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|e_{h, t}\right\|^{2}+\int_{0}^{t}\left\|\nabla e_{h, t}\right\|^{2} \leq C h+C \sup _{(0, T)}\|\nabla e\|^{2}+C\left(h^{2}|\log h|^{2}\right. \\
\left.+\sup _{(0, T)}\|\nabla e\|_{L_{\infty}}^{2}\right) \int_{0}^{T}\left\|\nabla e_{t}\right\|^{2}+C \int_{0}^{t}\left(1+\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{3}^{2}+\left\|u_{t t}\right\|_{1}^{2}+\left\|\nabla e_{t}\right\|^{2}\right)\left\|e_{h, t}\right\|^{2} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Applying Gronwall's lemma we prove (4.15) and similarly (4.16).

Proof. (Proof of Theorem 3.5.) First, $\Theta_{h}$ is not empty, because $0 \in \Theta_{h}$ by Theorem 3.4. We prove that $\Theta_{h}$ is open. As in [5], let $\sigma \in \Theta_{h}$, i.e $\left(P_{h}^{\sigma}\right)$ is solvable. Using the implicit function theorem it can be shown that $\left(P_{h}^{\mu}\right)$ has a solution for
all $\mu$ in a neighborhood of $\sigma$. Because the same is true for $u^{\sigma}$ we obtain the strict inequalities

$$
\left\|\nabla u_{h}^{\mu}\right\|_{L_{\infty}}<2 \gamma, \quad \int_{0}^{T}\left\|\nabla\left(u_{t}^{\mu}-u_{h, t}^{\mu}\right)\right\|^{2}<k_{1}^{2} h^{2}|\log h|
$$

provided $\mu$ lies in a neighborhood of $\sigma$. Finally we prove that $\Theta_{h}$ is closed. Let $\left\{\sigma_{n}\right\}_{n \in N} \subset \Theta_{h}, \sigma_{n} \rightarrow \sigma, n \rightarrow \infty$. Because of continuous dependence of $u_{h}^{\sigma}, u^{\sigma}$ on $\sigma$ we immediately get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\nabla u_{h}^{\sigma}\right\|_{L_{\infty}} \leq 2 \gamma, \quad \int_{0}^{T}\left\|\nabla\left(u_{t}^{\sigma}-u_{h, t}^{\sigma}\right)\right\|^{2} \leq k_{1}^{2} h^{2}|\log h| \tag{4.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, $u_{h}^{\sigma}$ is the unique solution of $\left(P_{h}^{\sigma}\right)$. It remains to show the strict inequalities in (4.26). For this purpose we use results of Proposition 4.2. We infer from (4.14) and (4.26) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{T}\left\|\nabla e_{h}^{\sigma}\right\|^{2} \leq c_{1} h^{4}|\log h|^{4}\left(1+\exp \left(c_{1} k_{1}^{2} h^{2}|\log h|^{2}\right)\right) k_{1}^{2} h^{2}|\log h|^{2}  \tag{4.27}\\
& \leq c h^{4}|\log h|^{4}
\end{align*}
$$

provided $h \leq h_{0}$ and $h_{0}^{2}\left|\log h_{0}\right| \leq c_{1}^{-1} k_{1}^{-2}$. With the help of (4.26), (4.27) and Proposition 4.1, since $e_{h}^{\sigma}(0)=0$ we have

$$
\left\|\nabla e_{h}^{\sigma}\right\|^{2} \leq 2\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left\|\nabla e_{h}^{\sigma}\right\|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left\|\nabla e_{h, t}^{\sigma}\right\|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \leq C h^{3}|\log h|^{3}\left(k_{1}+1\right)
$$

Then using (2.4) and Proposition 4.1 we also have

$$
\left\|\nabla e_{h}^{\sigma}\right\|_{L_{\infty}}^{2} \leq C\left(1+k_{1}\right) h|\log h|^{3} .
$$

Combining these results with Proposition 4.1 we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|\nabla e^{\sigma}\right\|^{2} \leq C h^{2}+c k_{1} h^{3}|\log h|^{3}  \tag{4.28}\\
\left\|\nabla e^{\sigma}\right\|_{L_{\infty}}^{2} \leq\left. C h^{2}|\log h|^{2}\left|c\left(1+k_{1}\right) h\right| \log h\right|^{3} \leq C\left(1+k_{1}\right) h|\log h|^{3}
\end{gather*}
$$

for $h \leq h_{0}$. So we immediately obtain

$$
\left\|\nabla u_{h}^{\sigma}\right\|_{L_{\infty}} \leq\left\|\nabla u^{\sigma}\right\|_{L_{\infty}}+\left\|\nabla e^{\sigma}\right\|_{L_{\infty}} \leq \gamma+c \sqrt{1+k_{1}} h^{1 / 2}|\log h|^{3 / 2}<2 \gamma,
$$

for $h \leq h_{1} \leq h_{0}$ and $c \sqrt{1+k_{1}} h_{1}^{1 / 2}\left|\log h_{1}\right|^{3 / 2}<\gamma$. Combining (4.16), (4.26), (4.28) and (4.29) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{T}\left\|\nabla e_{h, t}^{\sigma}\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq c\left(h^{2}|\log h|^{2}+k_{1} h^{3}|\log h|^{3}+\left(\left.h^{2}|\log h|^{2}\left|+\left(1+k_{1}\right) h\right| \log h\right|^{3}\right) k_{1}^{2} h^{2}|\log h|^{2}\right) \\
& \leq c h^{2}|\log h|^{2}\left(1+\left(1+k_{1}\right)^{3} h|\log h|^{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, we use (4.4) to obtain

$$
\int_{0}^{T}\left\|\nabla e_{t}^{\sigma}\right\|^{2} \leq 2\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left\|\nabla e_{h, t}^{\sigma}\right\|^{2}+\int_{0}^{T}\left\|\nabla\left(u_{t}^{\sigma}-\bar{u}_{h, t}^{\sigma}\right)\right\|^{2}\right)
$$

$$
\leq c h^{2}|\log h|^{2}\left(1+\left(k_{1}+1\right)^{3} h|\log h|^{3}\right)
$$

Let us fix $k_{1}>2 c$ and choose $h_{2} \leq h_{1}$ so small that $\left(1+k_{1}\right)^{3} h_{2}\left|\log h_{2}\right|^{3} \leq 1$. Then

$$
\int_{0}^{T}\left\|\nabla e_{t}^{\sigma}\right\|^{2}<k_{1} h^{2}|\log h|^{2}
$$

which is the second inequality we have had to prove. So $\sigma \in \Theta_{h}$ and the set is closed.

Proof. (Proof of Theorem 3.2.) The existence of a solution $u_{h}$ is a consequence of Theorem 3.5, existence of this discrete solution and its properties we can obtain also due the properties of Galerkin approximation of elliptic operator (see also [18]). The fourth error estimate is fulfilled due to Theorem 3.5, since $\Theta_{h}=[0,1]$. To obtain the others we can use the results of Propositions 4.1 and 4.2. So

$$
\sup _{(0, T)}\left\|u-u_{h}\right\| \leq \sup _{(0, T)}\|\bar{\varepsilon}\|+\sup _{(0, T)}\left\|e_{h}\right\| \leq C h^{2}+C h^{2}\left(e^{C \int_{0}^{T}\left\|\nabla e_{t}\right\|^{2}}\right)^{1 / 2} \leq C h^{2}
$$

due to Theorem 3.5, and in a similar way we obtain the rest.

Proof. (Proof of Theorem 3.3.) Here, we briefly describe only the main ideas of the proof. First we denote

$$
a_{i j}^{\sigma}(p):=\frac{g(\sigma|p|)}{\left(\varepsilon+|p|^{2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon+\sigma|p|^{2}}}{(1-\sigma) \sqrt{\varepsilon}+\sigma}\left(\delta_{i j}\left(\varepsilon+|p|^{2}\right)-p_{i} p_{j}\right), \quad p \in \mathbb{R}^{2}
$$

where $\delta_{i j}$ denotes Kronecker's symbol. We can write the differential equation of problem ( $P^{\sigma}$ ) in the form

$$
u_{t}-a_{i j}^{\sigma}(\nabla u) u_{x_{i} x_{j}}=0
$$

First, we linearize $\left(P^{\sigma}\right)$ expanding $a_{i j}^{\sigma}$ around $\nabla u_{0}$ and after that we change variable $v=u-u_{0}$ to obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
v_{t}-a_{i j}^{\sigma}\left(\nabla u_{0}\right) v_{x_{i} x_{j}}-a_{i j, p_{k}}^{\sigma}\left(\nabla u_{0}\right) u_{0, x_{i} x_{j}} v_{x_{k}}= \\
a_{i j}^{\sigma}\left(\nabla u_{0}\right) u_{0, x_{i} x_{j}}+a_{i j, p_{k}}^{\sigma}\left(\nabla u_{0}\right) v_{x_{k}} v_{x_{i} x_{j}}+r_{i j}^{\sigma}\left(\nabla u_{0}, \nabla v\right)\left(v+u_{0}\right)_{x_{i} x_{j}} \equiv F^{\sigma}(v)
\end{gathered}
$$

where

$$
r_{i j}^{\sigma}\left(\nabla u_{0}, \nabla v\right)=\int_{0}^{1}(1-s) a_{i j, p_{k}, p_{l}}^{\sigma}\left(\nabla u_{0}+s \nabla v\right) d s v_{x_{k}} v_{x_{l}}
$$

Setting $a_{i j}^{\sigma}(x):=a_{i j}^{\sigma}\left(\nabla u_{0}\right)$ and $b_{i}^{\sigma}:=-a_{i j, p_{k}}^{\sigma}\left(\nabla u_{0}\right) u_{0, x_{i} x_{j}}$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
v_{t}-a_{i j}^{\sigma} v_{x_{i} x_{j}}+b_{i}^{\sigma} v_{x_{i}} & =F^{\sigma}(v) \text { in } I \times \Omega \\
\partial_{\nu} v & =0 \text { on } I \times \partial \Omega \\
v(0) & =v_{0} \text { in } \Omega
\end{align*}
$$

It is clear that $u$ is a solution of $\left(P^{\sigma}\right)$ if and only if $v=u-u_{0}$ solves $\left(L^{\sigma}\right)$. Now we analyze the following linear problem

$$
\begin{align*}
v_{t}-a_{i j} v_{x_{i}, x_{j}}+b_{i} v_{x_{i}} & =f \text { in } I \times \Omega, \\
\partial_{\nu} v & =0 \text { on } I \times \partial \Omega,  \tag{4.30}\\
v(0) & =v_{0} \text { in } \Omega .
\end{align*}
$$

For this problem we use the results of [12, Chapter 4]. We obtain that under the assumptions on the data, the linear problem (4.30) has a unique solution $v \in L_{\infty}\left(I ; H^{5}(\Omega)\right) \cap L_{2}\left(I ; H^{6}(\Omega)\right)$ with $v_{t} \in L_{\infty}\left(I ; H^{3}(\Omega)\right) \cap L_{2}\left(I ; H^{4}(\Omega)\right), v_{t t} \in$ $L_{\infty}\left(I ; H^{1}(\Omega)\right) \cap L_{2}\left(I ; H^{2}(\Omega)\right)$ and moreover

$$
\|v\|_{2}^{(6)} \leq c\left(\left\|v_{0}\right\|_{2}^{(5)}+\|f\|_{2}^{(4)}\right)
$$

where norms are denoted as in [12]: $v \in W_{2}^{2 l, l}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ is a function $v \in L_{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ such that $v$ has generalized derivative of $D_{t}^{r} D_{x}^{s}$ for all $r, s ; 2 r+s \leq 2 l$ with the norm

$$
\|v\|_{2}^{(2 l)}=\sum_{j=0}^{2 l}\left(\sum_{2 r+s=j}\left\|D_{t}^{r} D_{x}^{s} v\right\|_{L_{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)}\right) .
$$

Now, similarly as in [5], we use the Banach fixed point theorem for existence the solution of $\left(L_{\sigma}\right)$. We will consider the Banach space $X=C^{0}\left(I ; H^{1}(\Omega)\right) \cap$ $L_{2}\left(I ; H^{2}(\Omega)\right)$ with the norm

$$
\|v\|_{X}^{2}=\sup _{[0, T]}\|v(t)\|_{1}^{2}+\int_{0}^{T}\|v(s)\|_{2}^{2} d s
$$

For $0<T \leq 1, M>0$ we define

$$
\begin{gathered}
R_{T, M}:=\left\{v \in X\left|v(0)=0, \partial_{\nu} v(t, .)\right|_{\partial \Omega}=0,0 \leq t \leq T\right. \\
v \in L_{\infty}\left(I ; H^{5}(\Omega)\right) \cap L_{2}\left(I ; H^{6}(\Omega)\right), v_{t} \in L_{\infty}\left(I ; H^{3}(\Omega)\right) \cap L_{2}\left(I ; H^{4}(\Omega)\right) \\
\left.v_{t t} \in L_{\infty}\left(I ; H^{1}(\Omega)\right) \cap L_{2}\left(I ; H^{2}(\Omega)\right),\|v\|_{2}^{(6)} \leq M^{2}\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

Let us introduce the map $S: R_{T, M} \rightarrow X$ which assigns to a function $u \in R_{T, M}$ the unique solution $v$ of the linear problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
v_{t}-a_{i j}^{\sigma} v_{x_{i}, x_{j}}+b_{i}^{\sigma} v_{x_{i}} & =F^{\sigma}(u) \text { in } I \times \Omega \\
\partial_{\nu} v & =0 \text { on } I \times \partial \Omega \\
v(0) & =0 \text { in } \Omega
\end{aligned}
$$

Now the aim is to prove that $S$ has a fixed point, provided $T$ is sufficiently small. This proof is rather technical and long and is practically the same as in [5] so we omit it here. First, it was shown, that for arbitrary $u \in R_{T, M}$ its image $S(u)$ is in $R_{T, M}$ too, so $\left.S\left(R_{T, M}\right) \subset R_{( } T, M\right)$. Then the proof that $S$ is a contraction is presented. This fixed point is a solution of $\left(L^{\sigma}\right)$ so we have the solution of $\left(P^{\sigma}\right)$ as well.
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