

# ON THE NUMBER OF CYCLES IN $k$ -CONNECTED GRAPHS

MARTIN KNOR

Slovak Technical University, Faculty of Civil Engineering, Department  
of Mathematics, Radlinského 11, 813 68 Bratislava, Slovakia

ABSTRACT. We give estimations for the minimum number of cycles in three special subclasses of the class of  $k$ -connected graphs.

**This is a preprint of an article accepted for publication in Acta Mathematica Universitatis Comenianae ©1994 (copyright owner as specified in the journal).**

## 1. INTRODUCTION

A collection of cycles (i.e., connected two-regular graphs) is said to be distinguishable if their vertex sets are pairwise distinct. As conjectured by Komlós, each graph with the minimum degree  $\delta$  contains at least  $2^{\delta+1} - \binom{\delta+1}{2} - \delta - 2$  distinguishable cycles, i.e., the worst case is given by the complete graph  $K_{\delta+1}$ . In [4] Tuza proved the following theorem:

**Theorem A.** *Each graph with the minimum degree  $\delta \geq 3$  contains more than  $2^{\delta/2}$  distinguishable cycles.*

Although the number of cycles is exponential in  $\delta$  this gives no information on whether or not it is also exponential in the number  $n$  of vertices of the graph. Since the latter parameter is important when measuring input size in algorithmic complexity questions, it could be interesting to describe classes of graphs whose total number of cycles is bounded above by a polynomial in  $n$ .

Let  $G$  be a graph,  $G = (V(G), E(G))$ , such that  $V(G) = \{a_i, b_i : 1 \leq i \leq \frac{n}{2}\}$  and  $E(G) = \{a_i b_i, b_i a_{i+1}, a_i a_{i+1} : 1 \leq i \leq \frac{n}{2}\}$  (the addition is modulo  $\frac{n}{2}$ ). Then  $G$  has at least  $2^{n/2}$  cycles (each of them traverses all  $a_i$  and a prescribed subset of  $b_i$ ). Thus, already in outerplanar graphs the number of cycles may be exponential in the number of vertices.

Let  $c(G)$  denote the number of cycles in  $G$  and let  $\Gamma$  be a class of graphs. As shown above, the function  $C_n(\Gamma) = \max\{c(G) : G \in \Gamma \text{ and } |V(G)| = n\}$  seems to be exponential in non-trivial cases. However, we show that  $c_n(\Gamma) = \min\{c(G) : G \in \Gamma \text{ and } |V(G)| = n\}$  may be polynomial even if the graphs in  $\Gamma$  have large connectivity and large minimum degree.

We consider the following three classes of graphs:  $\Gamma_k$  is the class of  $k$ -connected graphs;  $\Gamma_{k,\delta}$  denotes the class of  $k$ -connected graphs with minimum degree at least  $\delta$ ; and by  $\Gamma_{k,\delta,\Delta}$  we denote the class of  $k$ -connected graphs with minimum degree at least  $\delta$  and maximum degree at most  $\Delta$ . In this paper we give polynomial upper

bounds on  $c_n(\Gamma_k)$  and  $c_n(\Gamma_{k,\delta})$ ,  $k < \delta$ , and lower bounds on  $c_n(\Gamma_{k,\delta})$  and  $c_n(\Gamma_{k,\delta,\Delta})$  for some values of  $k$ ,  $\delta$ , and  $\Delta$ .

## 2. PRELIMINARIES AND UPPER BOUNDS

All graphs considered in this paper are finite, without loops or multiple edges.

Let  $G$  be a graph. Then  $V(G)$  denotes the vertex set of  $G$  and  $E(G)$  the edge set of  $G$ . By  $n$  we always denote the number of vertices in  $G$ . The distance between two vertices  $u$  and  $v$  in  $G$  is denoted by  $d_G(u, v)$ ; the complement of  $G$  is denoted by  $\overline{G}$ .

As usual, a graph  $G$  is said to be  $k$ -connected if and only if  $G$  has at least  $k+1$  vertices and any two distinct vertices  $u, v$  are connected by at least  $k$   $uv$ -paths that are pairwise disjoint, except for the vertices  $u$  and  $v$ . Using Menger's theorem (see e.g. [1, section 9.2]) one can obtain the following statement that is often used throughout this paper: A graph  $G$  is  $k$ -connected if and only if  $G$  has at least  $k+1$  vertices and each two sets  $X, Y \subseteq V(G)$ ,  $|X| \geq k$  and  $|Y| \geq k$ , are connected by at least  $k$   $XY$ -paths that are pairwise disjoint. Note that the sets  $X$  and  $Y$  are not necessarily disjoint, and hence, some paths may have length 0.

We focus on the asymptotic behavior of  $c_n(\Gamma)$ . Let  $f(x)$  and  $g(x)$  be nonnegative functions. We write  $f(x) = O(g(x))$  and  $g(x) = \Omega(f(x))$  if and only if there are numbers  $c$  and  $x_0$  such that  $f(x) \leq c \cdot g(x)$  for every  $x \geq x_0$ . Moreover, if  $f(x) = O(g(x))$  and  $f(x) = \Omega(g(x))$ , we write  $f(x) = \Theta(g(x))$ .

Definitions and notations not included here can be found in [2].

In what follows we give upper bounds on  $c_n(\Gamma_k)$  and  $c_n(\Gamma_{k,\delta})$  (by constructions).

**Proposition 1.**  $c_n(\Gamma_0) = c_n(\Gamma_1) = 0$ ;  $c_n(\Gamma_2) = 1$ ;  $c_n(\Gamma_3) = O(n^2)$ ; and  $c_n(\Gamma_k) = O(n^k)$  if  $k \geq 4$ .

*Proof.* Discrete graphs and trees give  $c_n(\Gamma_k) = 0$  if  $k \leq 1$ , and single cycles give  $c_n(\Gamma_2) \leq 1$ . Since each 2-connected graph contains a cycle, we have  $c_n(\Gamma_2) = 1$ .

Joining a vertex  $w$  to all vertices of a cycle on  $n-1$  vertices we obtain a wheel  $W_n$ . The  $W_n$  has just one cycle that does not pass through the vertex  $w$ , and  $2 \binom{n-1}{2}$  cycles that contain  $w$ . Since  $W_n$  is 3-connected, we have  $c_n(\Gamma_3) = O(n^2)$ .

The complete bipartite graph  $K_{k,n-k}$  on  $n$  vertices belongs to  $\Gamma_k$  if  $n \geq 2k$ . Since in  $K_{k,n-k}$  there are at most  $\sum_{i=2}^k \binom{k}{i} \binom{n-k}{i}$  different vertex sets of cycles, the  $K_{k,n-k}$  contains at most  $\sum_{i=2}^k \binom{k}{i} \binom{n-k}{i} \frac{(2i-1)!}{2} = O(n^k)$  cycles if  $k \geq 2$ . Thus,  $c_n(\Gamma_k) = O(n^k)$  if  $k \geq 4$ .  $\square$

The graphs in the proof of Proposition 1 have the smallest possible minimum degree. One can expect that in  $k$ -connected graphs with greater minimum degree,  $\Gamma_{k,\delta}$ , there are much more cycles. In the next section we show that this is true if  $k \leq 3$  (see Corollary 10). However, first we give an upper bound for  $c_n(\Gamma_{k,\delta})$ :

**Proposition 2.** We have  $c_n(\Gamma_{k,\delta}) = O(n)$  if  $k \leq 1$  and  $\delta \geq 3$ ; and  $c_n(\Gamma_{k,\delta}) = O(n^k)$  if  $k \geq 2$  and  $\delta > k$ .

*Proof.* Let  $G_m^1$  consist of  $m > \delta$  copies of the complete graph  $K_{\delta+1-k}$ , and let  $G_m^2$  consist of  $k$  isolated vertices. Let  $G_m$  consist of  $G_m^1$ ,  $G_m^2$ , and the edges  $u_1 u_2$ ,  $u_1 \in V(G_m^1)$  and  $u_2 \in V(G_m^2)$ . Clearly,  $G_m \in \Gamma_{k,\delta}$ .

Since  $c(K_t) = \sum_{i=3}^t \binom{t}{i} \frac{i!}{2^i} < 2t!$ , there are at most  $2m(\delta+1-k)!$  cycles in  $G_m$  that contain no vertex of  $G_m^2$ . Further,  $K_t$  contains exactly  $\sum_{i=1}^t \binom{t}{i} i!$  labelled

paths. Note that  $\sum_{i=1}^t \binom{t}{i} i! = t! \sum_{i=1}^t \frac{1}{(t-i)!} < t! \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i!} = et!$ . Hence, there are at most  $\binom{m}{i} e(\delta+1-k)! \binom{k}{i} \frac{(2i)!}{2^i}$  cycles in  $G_m$  that contain exactly  $i$  vertices of  $G_m^2$ . Since  $m = \frac{n-k}{\delta+1-k}$ , we have  $c(G_m) < 2m(\delta+1-k)! + \sum_{i=1}^k \binom{m}{i} e(\delta+1-k)! \binom{k}{i} \frac{(2i)!}{2^i} < 3(\delta+1-k)! \left( \frac{n-k}{\delta+1-k} + \sum_{i=1}^k \binom{\frac{n-k}{\delta+1-k}}{i} \binom{k}{i} \frac{(2i)!}{2^i} \right)$ . Thus,  $c_n(\Gamma_{0,\delta}) = O(n)$ , and  $c_n(\Gamma_{k,\delta}) = O(n^k)$  if  $k \geq 1$ .  $\square$

### 3. LOWER BOUNDS

In this section we give some lower bounds on  $c_n(\Gamma)$  using  $k$ -minimal subgraphs.

Since  $\Gamma_{k,\delta} \supseteq \Gamma_{k,\delta+1}$  and  $\Gamma_{k,\delta,\Delta} \supseteq \Gamma_{k,\delta+1,\Delta}$  if  $k \leq \delta < \Delta$ , we have  $c_n(\Gamma_{k,\delta}) \leq c_n(\Gamma_{k,\delta+1})$  and  $c_n(\Gamma_{k,\delta,\Delta}) \leq c_n(\Gamma_{k,\delta+1,\Delta})$ . Hence, it is enough to give "good" lower bounds for "small" values of  $\delta$ .

**Proposition 3.** *Let  $k \leq 1$ . Then  $c_n(\Gamma_{k,3}) = \Omega(n)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $G \in \Gamma_{k,3}$ ,  $k \leq 1$ , and let  $H$  be a subgraph of  $G$  with maximum number of edges containing no cycle. Clearly,  $|E(H)| \leq n-1$ .

Let  $e \in E(G) - E(H)$ . Then there is exactly one cycle in  $H \cup e$  containing  $e$ . Since there are at least  $\frac{3n}{2} - (n-1) > \frac{n}{2}$  edges in  $E(G) - E(H)$ , we have  $c_n(\Gamma_{k,\delta}) = \Omega(n)$ .  $\square$

In what follows we utilize the simple idea of the previous proof.

A graph  $H$  is called  $k$ -minimal if  $H$  is  $k$ -connected, but loses this property after the deletion of any edge (see [3]). We remark that each  $k$ -connected graph always contains a  $k$ -minimal spanning subgraph. In [3] Mader proved the following lemma:

**Lemma 4.** *Each  $k$ -minimal graph on  $n$  vertices contains at least  $\frac{k-1}{2k-1}n$  vertices of degree  $k$ .*

Before an analogue of Proposition 3 will be given for higher connectivities, we need to prove two auxiliary results:

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $H \in \Gamma_2$  and  $e_1, e_2 \in E(\overline{H})$ . Then  $H \cup \{e_1, e_2\}$  contains a cycle passing through  $e_1$  and  $e_2$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $e_i = u_i v_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq 2$ . Since  $H$  is 2-connected, there are two disjoint paths (possibly of length 0) connecting the vertex sets  $\{v_1, u_1\}$  and  $\{v_2, u_2\}$  in  $H$ . Thus, there is a cycle in  $H \cup \{e_1, e_2\}$  containing  $e_1$  and  $e_2$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $H \in \Gamma_3$ , and let  $e_1, e_2, e_3 \in E(\overline{H})$  be edges that do not form a claw (i.e., the complete bipartite graph  $K_{1,3}$ ). Then  $H \cup \{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$  contains a cycle passing through  $e_1, e_2$ , and  $e_3$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $e_i = u_i v_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq 3$ , be edges that do not form a claw. We distinguish two cases:

1. Suppose that  $u_1 = u_2$ . Then  $u_3, v_3 \in V(H) - u_1$ , since  $e_1, e_2$ , and  $e_3$  do not form a claw. Moreover,  $H - u_1$  is 2-connected (by Menger's theorem). Hence, there are two disjoint paths (possibly of length 0) connecting the vertex sets  $\{v_1, v_2\}$  and  $\{u_3, v_3\}$  in  $H - u_1$ . Thus, there is a cycle in  $H \cup \{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$  containing  $e_1, e_2$ , and  $e_3$ .

2. Suppose that the six nodes  $u_i, v_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq 3$ , are distinct. Let  $S_1 = \{u_1, v_1, u_3\}$  and  $S_2 = \{u_2, v_2, v_3\}$ . Since  $H$  is 3-connected, there are three pairwise disjoint paths, say  $P_1, P_2$ , and  $P_3$ , connecting  $S_1$  with  $S_2$ , see Fig. 1. If  $F = \cup_{i=1}^3 (P_i \cup e_i)$

forms a cycle, we are done. Otherwise,  $F$  consists of two disjoint cycles  $C_1 = P_1 \cup e_1 \cup P_2 \cup e_2$  and  $C_2 = P_3 \cup e_3$ . Let  $S'_1 = V(C_1)$  and  $S'_2 = V(C_2)$ . Clearly,  $|S'_1| \geq 3$  and  $|S'_2| \geq 3$ . Since  $H$  is 3-connected, there are at least three pairwise disjoint paths, say  $R_1$ ,  $R_2$ , and  $R_3$ , connecting  $S'_1$  with  $S'_2$ . Obviously, at least two of them, say  $R_1$  and  $R_2$ , connect  $P_3$  with  $P_j$  for some  $j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq 2$ . Then  $F \cup R_1 \cup R_2$  contains a cycle passing through the  $e_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq 3$ , see Fig. 1.  $\square$




Fig. 1

**Theorem 7.**  $c_n(\Gamma_{2,3}) = \Omega(n^2)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $G \in \Gamma_{2,3}$ , and let  $H$  be a spanning subgraph of  $G$  that is 2-minimal. Then  $|E(G) - E(H)| \geq \frac{1}{6}n$ , since  $H$  contains at least  $\frac{1}{3}n$  vertices of degree 2, by Lemma 4.

By Lemma 5 for each pair of edges  $e_1, e_2 \in E(G) - E(H)$  there is a cycle in  $H \cup \{e_1, e_2\}$  containing  $e_1$  and  $e_2$ . Since different pairs of edges from  $E(G) - E(H)$  give different cycles in  $G$ , we have  $c(G) \geq \binom{n/6}{2}$ . Thus  $c_n(\Gamma_{2,3}) = \Omega(n^2)$ .  $\square$

Since the minimum degree of each 3-connected graph is at least three, the following corollary is implied by Proposition 1 and Theorem 7:

**Corollary 8.** For  $\Gamma_3$  it is  $c_n(\Gamma_3) = \Theta(n^2)$ .

Clearly  $c_n(\Gamma_{3,4}) = \Omega(n^2)$ , since  $\Gamma_{3,4} \subseteq \Gamma_3$ . However, for 3-connected graphs with minimum degree at least 5 we have the following theorem:

**Theorem 9.**  $c_n(\Gamma_{3,5}) = \Omega(n^3)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $G \in \Gamma_{3,5}$ , and let  $H$  be a spanning subgraph of  $G$  that is 3-minimal. Then  $|E(G) - E(H)| \geq \frac{2}{5}n$ , since  $H$  contains at least  $\frac{2}{5}n$  vertices of degree 3, by Lemma 4.

Let  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  be two edges from  $E(G) - E(H)$ . Then there are at least  $\frac{2n}{5} - 4$  vertices of degree 3 in  $H$  that are not endvertices of  $e_1$  or  $e_2$ . Since minimum degree in  $G$  is at least 5, each one of the  $\frac{2n}{5} - 4$  vertices belongs to an edge from  $E(G) - E(H)$  that does not form a claw with  $e_1$  and  $e_2$ . Since there are at least  $\binom{2n/5}{2}$  pairs of edges in  $E(G) - E(H)$ , there are at least  $\frac{1}{3} \binom{2n/5}{2} (\frac{2n}{5} - 4) = \Omega(n^3)$  triples of edges in  $E(G) - E(H)$  that do not form a claw.

By Lemma 6 for each triple of edges  $e_1, e_2, e_3 \in E(G) - E(H)$  that do not form a claw there is a cycle in  $H \cup \{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$  containing  $e_1$ ,  $e_2$ , and  $e_3$ , so that  $c_n(\Gamma_{3,5}) = \Omega(n^3)$ .  $\square$

The following corollary summarizes our results concerning  $c_n(\Gamma_{k,\delta})$ :

**Corollary 10.**  $c_n(\Gamma_{k,\delta}) = \Theta(n)$  if  $k \leq 1$  and  $\delta \geq 3$ ;  $c_n(\Gamma_{2,\delta}) = \Theta(n^2)$  if  $\delta \geq 3$ ; and  $c_n(\Gamma_{3,\delta}) = \Theta(n^3)$  if  $\delta \geq 5$ .

Although we are not able to give the expected lower bound for  $c_n(\Gamma_{3,4})$ , surprisingly, we have such bound for  $c_n(\Gamma_{3,4,\Delta})$ . (One can expect that if large degrees are allowed, then more cycles will appear. But in fact  $c_n(\Gamma_{k,\delta}) \leq c_n(\Gamma_{k,\delta,\Delta})$ , since  $\Gamma_{k,\delta} \supseteq \Gamma_{k,\delta,\Delta}$  if  $k \leq \delta \leq \Delta$ .)

**Theorem 11.** We have  $c_n(\Gamma_{3,4,\Delta}) = \Omega(n^3)$  for any fixed  $\Delta \geq 4$ .

*Proof.* Let  $G \in \Gamma_{3,4,\Delta}$ , and let  $H$  be a spanning subgraph of  $G$  that is 3-minimal. Then  $|E(G) - E(H)| \geq \frac{1}{5}n$ , by Lemma 4.

Since the maximum degree in  $G$  is at most  $\Delta$ , there are at least  $\frac{1}{6}\frac{1}{5}n(\frac{1}{5}n - 2\Delta)(\frac{1}{5}n - 4\Delta) = \Omega(n^3)$  triples of non-adjacent edges in  $E(G) - E(H)$ . Thus,  $c_n(\Gamma_{3,4,\Delta}) = \Omega(n^3)$  by Lemma 6, as non-adjacent edges do not form a claw.  $\square$

We conclude this section with a theorem that generalizes Theorem 11 for higher connectivities:

**Theorem 12.** Let  $l$  be the largest integer such that  $l \leq \sqrt{k-1}$ ,  $k \geq 4$ . Then  $c_n(\Gamma_{k,k+1,\Delta}) = \Omega(n^{2l})$  for any fixed  $\Delta \geq k+1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $G \in \Gamma_{k,k+1,\Delta}$ , and let  $H$  be a spanning subgraph of  $G$  that is  $k$ -minimal. By Lemma 4  $|E(G) - E(H)| \geq \frac{k-1}{2k-1}\frac{n}{2} \geq \frac{n}{6}$ , since  $k \geq 2$ .

Let  $e_i = u_i v_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq 2l$ , be edges from  $E(G) - E(H)$ , such that  $d_G(e_i, e_j) \geq \frac{k-2}{2}$  for each  $i \neq j$ . (By  $d_G(e_i, e_j)$  we mean  $\min\{d_G(x, y) : x \in \{u_i, v_i\} \text{ and } y \in \{u_j, v_j\}\}$ .) We show that there is a cycle in  $H^* = H \cup \{e_i : 1 \leq i \leq 2l\}$  containing the edges  $e_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq 2l$ .

Let  $S_1 = \{u_i, v_i : 1 \leq i \leq l\}$  and  $S_2 = \{u_i, v_i : l+1 \leq i \leq 2l\}$ . Then  $|S_1| = |S_2| = 2l$ . Since  $H$  is  $k$ -connected and  $k \geq 2l$ , there are  $2l$  pairwise disjoint paths, say  $P_1, \dots, P_{2l}$ , connecting the vertices from  $S_1$  to the vertices in  $S_2$ . The paths  $P_i$  together with the edges  $e_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq 2l$ , form a collection of  $m$  pairwise disjoint cycles, say  $C_1, \dots, C_m$ , in  $H^*$ . If  $m = 1$ , we are done. Suppose that  $m \geq 2$ . Moreover, suppose that there is no collection of  $m-1$  pairwise disjoint cycles containing the edges  $e_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq 2l$ , in  $H^*$ .

Let  $S'_1 = V(C_1)$  and  $S'_2 = V(C_2) \cup \dots \cup V(C_m)$ . Since  $d_G(e_i, e_j) \geq \frac{k-2}{2}$  if  $i \neq j$ , we have  $|S'_1| \geq k$  and  $|S'_2| \geq k$ . Since  $H$  is  $k$ -connected, there are  $k$  pairwise disjoint paths, say  $P'_1, \dots, P'_k$ , connecting the vertices from  $S'_1$  to the vertices in  $S'_2$ . Note that  $k \geq l^2 + 1$  as  $l \leq \sqrt{k-1}$ . Suppose that  $C_1$  contains  $p$  paths among  $P_1, \dots, P_{2l}$ . Since  $p(2l-p) \leq l^2 < k$ , there are at least two paths among  $P'_1, \dots, P'_k$ , say  $P'_1$  and  $P'_2$ , that connect two distinct vertices from  $P_{i_1}$  in  $S'_1$  to two distinct vertices in  $P_{i_2}$  in  $S'_2$ , for some  $i_1$  and  $i_2$ . Assume that  $P_{i_2}$  is contained in  $C_2$ . Then  $C_1 \cup C_2 \cup P'_1 \cup P'_2$  contains a cycle  $C'$ , passing through all those edges  $e_i$  that have been in  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ . Hence, there is a collection  $C', C_3, \dots, C_m$  of  $m-1$  pairwise disjoint cycles containing the edges  $e_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq 2l$ , a contradiction.

Finally, we show that there is "many"  $2l$ -tuples of edges  $e_1, \dots, e_{2l}$  in  $E(G) - E(H)$ , such that  $d_G(e_i, e_j) \geq k-1$  whenever  $i \neq j$ . (Clearly,  $k-1 \geq \frac{k-2}{2}$ .)

Let  $e \in E(G) - E(H)$ . Then there are at most  $2\Delta$  edges in  $G$  at distance 0 from  $e$ ;  $2\Delta(\Delta-1)$  edges in  $G$  at distance 1 from  $e$ ; etc. Thus, there are at most  $2\Delta \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (\Delta-1)^j = 2\Delta \frac{(\Delta-1)^k - 1}{\Delta-2} \leq 4\Delta^k$  edges in  $G$  at distance at most  $k-1$  from

e. Since  $E(G) - E(H) \geq \frac{n}{6}$ , there are at least  $\frac{1}{(2l)!} \frac{n}{6} (\frac{n}{6} - 4\Delta^k) (\frac{n}{6} - 2 \cdot 4\Delta^k) \dots (\frac{n}{6} - (2l-1)4\Delta^k) = \Omega(n^{2l})$  required  $2l$ -tuples of edges in  $E(G) - E(H)$ .  $\square$

#### 4. CONCLUDING REMARKS

We remark that Theorems 7, 9, 11, and 12 can be slightly improved. Namely, the class  $\Gamma_{k,\delta}$  ( $\Gamma_{k,\delta,\Delta}$ ) can be replaced by the class of  $k$ -connected graphs in which each graph contains at least  $c \cdot n$  vertices with degree at least  $\delta$  (and maximum degree at most  $\Delta$ ),  $c > \frac{k}{2k-1}$ . Analogously Proposition 3 can be improved.

Further, classes of graphs can be constructed such that  $c_n(\Gamma_{k,\delta,\delta}) = O(n^k)$  if  $k = 1, 2$  and  $\delta \geq 3$ . However, no polynomial upper bound for  $c_n(\Gamma_{k,\delta,\delta})$  seems to be known if  $k \geq 3$ . Moreover, we know no polynomial upper bound for  $c_n(\Gamma_{k,\delta,\Delta})$  if  $k \geq 3$  and  $\Delta \geq k + 1$ . (Note that the graphs in the proof of Proposition 2 have not bounded maximum degree.) We conjecture that  $c_n(\Gamma_{k,\delta,\Delta}) = \Theta(n^k)$  if  $k > 2$  and  $k < \delta < \Delta$ .

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author is grateful to the anonymous referee for valuable suggestions.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Beineke L. W., Wilson R. J., *Selected Topics in Graph Theory*, Academic Press, London, 1978.
- [2] Harary F., *Graph Theory*, Addison-Wesley, London, 1969.
- [3] Mader W., *Ecken vom Grad  $n$  in minimalen  $n$ -fach zusammenhängenden Graphen*, Arch. Math. **23** (1972), 219-224.
- [4] Tuza Z., *Exponentially many distinguishable cycles in graphs*, Jr. Comb., Inf. & Syst. Sci. **15** (1990), 281-285.