

# On probabilistic submeasures

Lenka Halčinová

*Institute of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Pavol Jozef Šafárik University in Košice  
Jesenná 5, 040 01 Košice, Slovakia  
Slovakia*

*E-mail:* lenka.halcinova@student.upjs.sk

february 2012

## Introduction and motivations

- probabilistic submeasures as non-additive set functions have appeared naturally in classical measure theory
- much attention was paid there to develop a theory of submeasures  
→ for example, Dobrakov submeasures and semimeasures and their various generalizations and extensions
- we have only a probabilistic information about measure of a set  
→ for example, if rounding of reals is considered, then the uniform distributions over intervals describe our information about the measure of a set
- interpretation as a fuzzy number  
→ the value  $\gamma_E$  can be seen as a non-negative *LT*-fuzzy number, where  $\tau_T(\gamma_E, \gamma_F)$  corresponds to the *T*-sum of fuzzy numbers  $\gamma_E$  and  $\gamma_F$

## Introduction and motivations

- probabilistic submeasures as non-additive set functions have appeared naturally in classical measure theory
- much attention was paid there to develop a theory of submeasures  
→ for example, Dobrakov submeasures and semimeasures and their various generalizations and extensions
- we have only a probabilistic information about measure of a set  
→ for example, if rounding of reals is considered, then the uniform distributions over intervals describe our information about the measure of a set
- interpretation as a fuzzy number  
→ the value  $\gamma_E$  can be seen as a non-negative *LT*-fuzzy number, where  $\tau_T(\gamma_E, \gamma_F)$  corresponds to the *T*-sum of fuzzy numbers  $\gamma_E$  and  $\gamma_F$

## Basic notations

- **distance distribution function**  $F : \overline{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is non-decreasing, left continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $F(-\infty) = 0$ ,  $F(+\infty) = 1$  and  $F(0) = 0$   
the class of all distance distribution functions will be denoted by  $\Delta^+$

for example, the distribution function of Dirac random variable concentrated in point 0

$$\varepsilon_0(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{for } x \leq 0, \\ 1, & \text{for } x > 0 \end{cases}$$

- **triangle function** is a function  $\tau : \Delta^+ \times \Delta^+ \rightarrow \Delta^+$  which is symmetric, associative, non-decreasing in each variable and has  $\varepsilon_0$  as the identity
- **triangular norm** is a mapping  $T : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$  which is symmetric, associative, non-decreasing in each argument and has 1 as the identity

**Definition** ( $\tau_T$ -submeasure)

Let  $T : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be a t-norm, and  $\Sigma$  a ring of subsets of  $\Omega \neq \emptyset$ . A mapping  $\gamma : \Sigma \rightarrow \Delta^+$  (where  $\gamma(E)$  is denoted by  $\gamma_E$ ) such that

- (a) if  $E = \emptyset$ , then  $\gamma_\emptyset(x) = \varepsilon_0(x)$ ,  $x > 0$ ;
- (b) if  $E \subset F$ , then  $\gamma_E(x) \geq \gamma_F(x)$ ,  $x > 0$ ;
- (c)  $\gamma_{E \cup F}(x + y) \geq T(\gamma_E(x), \gamma_F(y))$ ,  $x, y > 0$ ,  $E, F \in \Sigma$ ,

is said to be a  $\tau_T$ -submeasure.

- the notion of  $\tau_T$ -submeasure is closely related to the Menger PM-space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \tau_T)$  where  $\tau_T$  is the triangle function in the form

$$\tau_T(G, H)(x) = \sup_{u+v=x} T(G(u), H(v)),$$

and  $T$  is a left-continuous t-norm

**Definition** ( $\tau_{L,T}$ -submeasure)

In effort to generalize the concept of  $\tau_T$ -submeasure let us introduce the following notations

- Let us denote by  $\mathcal{L}$  the set of binary operations on  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+$  such that
  - (a)  $L$  is commutative and associative;
  - (b)  $L$  is jointly strictly increasing, i.e., for all  $u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2 \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+$  with  $u_1 < u_2, v_1 < v_2$  holds  $L(u_1, v_1) < L(u_2, v_2)$ ;
  - (c)  $L$  is continuous on  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+$ ;
  - (d)  $L$  has 0 as its neutral element.
  
- Let  $T$  is a left-continuous t-norm and  $L \in \mathcal{L}$ . Then for all  $x \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+$  and  $G, H \in \Delta^+$

$$\tau_{L,T}(G, H)(x) = \sup_{L(u,v)=x} T(G(u), H(v))$$

is a triangle function.

**Definition** ( $\tau_{L,T}$ -submeasure)

Let  $(L, T) \in \mathcal{L} \times \mathcal{T}$  and  $\Sigma$  be a ring of subsets of  $\Omega \neq \emptyset$ . A mapping  $\gamma : \Sigma \rightarrow \Delta^+$  such that

(a')  $\gamma_{\emptyset}(x) = \varepsilon_0(x), x > 0$ ;

(b')  $\gamma_E(x) \geq \gamma_F(x), x > 0$  whenever  $E \subset F$ ;

(c')  $\gamma_{E \cup F}(L(x, y)) \geq T(\gamma_E(x), \gamma_F(y)), x, y > 0, E, F \in \Sigma$ ,

is said to be a  $\tau_{L,T}$ -submeasure.

- if  $L$  is classical addition, we simply speak about  $\tau_T$ -submeasure
- the order  $\ll$  on the set of all  $\tau_{L,T}$ -submeasures  $\Theta_{\mathcal{L},\mathcal{T}}$
- pseudo-metrics and metrics generated by  $\tau_{T,L}$ -submeasures

A few examples of  $\tau_{L,T}$ -submeasures

Let  $\eta : \Sigma \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+$  be a numerical submeasure on a ring  $\Sigma$  of a non-empty set  $\Omega$  and  $E \in \Sigma$ . Then

(a)  $\gamma \in \Theta_{L,M}$ , where  $L \in \mathcal{L}$ ,  $L \geq K_1$  and

$$\gamma_E(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } x \leq 0, \\ 1/2 & \text{for } x \in ]0, \eta(E)]; \\ 1 & \text{for } x > \eta(E), \end{cases}$$

(b)  $\gamma \in \Theta_{L,D}$ , where  $L \in \mathcal{L}$  is arbitrary and

$$\gamma_E(x) = \frac{x}{x + \eta(E)};$$

(c)  $\gamma \in \Theta_{L,W}$ , where  $L \in \mathcal{L}$ ,  $L \geq K_1$  and

$$\gamma_E(x) = \max\left\{\min\{1 + x - \eta(E), 1\}, 0\right\}.$$



Poset of all  $\tau_{L,T}$ -submeasures

We use the usual point-wise order  $\leq$  between real-valued functions:

- since  $\gamma \in \Delta^+$  is non-decreasing, then for a fixed  $T \in \mathcal{T}$  each  $\tau_{L_1,T}$ -submeasure is a  $\tau_{L_2,T}$ -submeasure whenever  $L_1 \leq L_2$ .
- moreover, if  $T_2 \leq T_1$  then each  $\tau_{L_1,T_1}$ -submeasure is a  $\tau_{L_2,T_2}$ -submeasure.



We define the order  $\ll$  on  $\Theta_{\mathcal{L},\mathcal{T}}$  as follows

$$\Theta_{L_1,T_1} \ll \Theta_{L_2,T_2} \quad \text{if and only if} \quad L_1 \leq L_2 \text{ and } T_2 \leq T_1.$$

Then  $(\Theta_{\mathcal{L},\mathcal{T}}, \ll)$  is a partially ordered set (poset) and since for any t-norm  $T$  we have  $M \geq T \geq D$ , then for each  $(L, T) \in \mathcal{L} \times \mathcal{T}$  holds

$$\Theta_{L,M} \ll \Theta_{L,T} \ll \Theta_{L,D}.$$

## Pseudo-metrics and metrics generated by $\tau_{L,T}$ -submeasures

### Proposition

Let  $L \in \mathcal{L}$  be an operation on  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+$  such that  $L \leq K_1$ . If  $\gamma$  is a  $\tau_{L,T}$ -submeasure on  $\Sigma$ , then the function

$$\chi(E, F) = \sup\{x > 0; \gamma_{E\Delta F}(x) < 1\}, \quad E, F \in \Sigma, \quad (1)$$

is a pseudo-metric on  $\Sigma$ .

- $E\Delta F$  means a symmetrical difference of sets
- it is related to universal  $\tau_T$ -submeasures
- If the condition

$$\gamma_E(x) = \varepsilon_0(x) \Rightarrow E = \emptyset, \quad x > 0,$$

is fulfilled, then  $\chi$  given by (1) is a metric on  $\Sigma$ .

## Pseudo-metrics and metrics generated by $\tau_{T,L}$ -submeasures

### Proposition

Let  $L \in \mathcal{L}$  be an operation on  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+$  such that  $L \leq K_1$ . If  $\gamma$  is a  $\tau_{L,T_1}$ -submeasure on  $\Sigma$  and  $t$  is an additive generator of a continuous Archimedean  $t$ -norm  $T$  such that  $T \leq T_1$ , then

$$\mu_t(E, F) = \sup\{x > 0; t(\gamma_{E\Delta F}(x)) \geq x\}, \quad E, F \in \Sigma, \quad (2)$$

is a pseudo-metric on  $\Sigma$ .

- it is related to  $\tau_T$ -submeasures where  $T$  has an additive generator
- If the condition

$$\gamma_E(x) = \varepsilon_0(x) \Rightarrow E = \emptyset, \quad x > 0,$$

is fulfilled, then  $\mu_t$  given by (2) is a metric on  $\Sigma$ .

- Consider the *group*  $\mathcal{H}$  of automorphisms of the unit interval  $[0, 1]$  acting on the class  $\mathcal{B}$  of all functions from  $[0, 1]^2$  to  $[0, 1]$  as follows

$$(\Psi_h B)(x, y) = h^{-1}(B(h(x), h(y))), \quad h \in \mathcal{H},$$

for all  $x, y \in [0, 1]$ .

- $\Psi_{\mathcal{H}}$  ... a class of all transformations (determined by a function  $h \in \mathcal{H}$ )
- indeed,  $\Psi_{\mathcal{H}}$  is a group under the composition with the inverse  $\Psi_h^{-1} = \Psi_{h^{-1}}$  and the identity  $\Psi_{\text{id}_{[0,1]}}$

## Proposition

Let  $h \in \mathcal{H}$ . Then

- if  $h$  is supermultiplicative, then for each  $L_1, L_2 \in \mathcal{L}$  such that  $L_1 \leq L_2$  holds  $\Theta_{L_1, \Pi} \ll \Theta_{L_2, \Psi_h \Pi}$ ;
- if the function  $1 - h(1 - x)$  is subadditive, then for each  $L_1, L_2 \in \mathcal{L}$  such that  $L_1 \leq L_2$  holds  $\Theta_{L_1, W} \ll \Theta_{L_2, \Psi_h W}$ .

## Definition ( $\tau_{L,A}$ -submeasure)

In order to generalize the concept of  $\tau_{L,T}$ -submeasure let us introduce some notations

- A binary **aggregation function**  $A : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is a non-decreasing function in both components with the boundary conditions  $A(0, 0) = 0$  and  $A(1, 1) = 1$ .

The class of all binary aggregation functions will be denoted by  $\mathcal{A}$ .

- for  $(L, A) \in \mathcal{L} \times \mathcal{A}$  we have a function

$$\tau_{L,A}(G, H)(x) = \sup_{L(u,v)=x} A(G(u), H(v))$$

left-continuity of  $A$  ensures that  $\tau_{L,A}$  is a binary operation on  $\Delta^+$ , however,  $\tau_{L,A}$  need not be associative in general, but it has good properties on  $\Delta^+$

## Definition

Let  $(L, A) \in \mathcal{L} \times \mathcal{A}$  and  $\Sigma$  be a ring of subsets of  $\Omega \neq \emptyset$ . A mapping  $\gamma : \Sigma \rightarrow \Delta^+$  such that

(a'')  $\gamma_{\emptyset}(\mathbf{x}) = \varepsilon_0(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{x} > \mathbf{0}$ ;

(b'')  $\gamma_E(\mathbf{x}) \geq \gamma_F(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{x} > \mathbf{0}$  whenever  $E \subset F$ ;

(c'')  $\gamma_{E \cup F}(L(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})) \geq A(\gamma_E(\mathbf{x}), \gamma_F(\mathbf{y})), \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} > \mathbf{0}, E, F \in \Sigma$ ,

is said to be a  $\tau_{L,A}$ -submeasure.

- **Example:** Let  $\eta$  be a numerical submeasure on  $\Sigma$  and  $E \in \Sigma$ . Then  $\gamma \in \Theta_{C_\lambda^{GH}}$ , where

$$\gamma_E(\mathbf{x}) = \exp \left( - \left[ \max\{\eta(E) - \mathbf{x}, 0\} \right]^{1/\lambda} \right)$$

corresponds to the *Gumbel-Hougaard family* of (strict) copulas  $C_\lambda^{GH}$ ,  $\lambda \in [1, +\infty[$ .

## Definition

Let  $(L, A) \in \mathcal{L} \times \mathcal{A}$  and  $\Sigma$  be a ring of subsets of  $\Omega \neq \emptyset$ . A mapping  $\gamma : \Sigma \rightarrow \Delta^+$  such that

(a'')  $\gamma_{\emptyset}(x) = \varepsilon_0(x), x > 0$ ;

(b'')  $\gamma_E(x) \geq \gamma_F(x), x > 0$  whenever  $E \subset F$ ;

(c'')  $\gamma_{E \cup F}(L(x, y)) \geq A(\gamma_E(x), \gamma_F(y)), x, y > 0, E, F \in \Sigma$ ,

is said to be a  $\tau_{L,A}$ -submeasure.

- **Example:** Let  $\eta$  be a numerical submeasure on  $\Sigma$  and  $E \in \Sigma$ . Then  $\gamma \in \Theta_{\mathbf{M}_p}$ , where

$$\gamma_E(x) = 2^{-1/p} \left( 1 + \left( \max \left\{ \min \left\{ \sqrt[p]{\max \{1 + x - \eta(E), 0\}}, 1 \right\}, 0 \right\} \right)^p \right)^{1/p}$$

corresponds to the Hölder mean  $\mathbf{M}_p(x, y) := \left( \frac{x^p + y^p}{2} \right)^{1/p}, p > 0$ .

## Lattice structure of submeasure spaces in $\Theta_{\mathcal{S}}$

If we denote set of all copulas, semi-copulas, quasi-copulas  $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{S}$ , then

$$\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{Q} \subset \mathcal{S}.$$

**Semi-copula** is an aggregation function  $S : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$  with 1 as its neutral element.

- every semi-copula may be represented as the point-wise supremum ( $\vee$ ) and infimum ( $\wedge$ ) of a suitable subset of t-norms
- Observe that if  $\gamma$  is a  $\tau_{S_1}$ - and  $\tau_{S_2}$ -submeasure for some  $S_1, S_2 \in \mathcal{S}$ , then  $\gamma$  is a  $\tau_{S_1 \vee S_2}$ - as well as  $\tau_{S_1 \wedge S_2}$ -submeasure.



## Lattice structure of submeasure spaces in $\Theta_{\mathcal{S}}$

- for  $S_1, S_2 \in \mathcal{S}$  put

$$\Theta_{S_1} \sqcup \Theta_{S_2} = \Theta_{S_1 \wedge S_2} \quad \text{and} \quad \Theta_{S_1} \sqcap \Theta_{S_2} = \Theta_{S_1 \vee S_2}$$

It is easy to see that  $\sqcup$  and  $\sqcap$  are *lattice operations*.

- since  $(\mathcal{S}, \leq, \vee, \wedge)$  is a complete lattice, then we have the following observation:

### Proposition

*The family  $\Theta_{\mathcal{S}}$  of all probabilistic submeasure spaces is a distributive lattice.*

Since for each  $S \in \mathcal{S}$  holds  $\Theta_M \ll \Theta_S \ll \Theta_D$ , thus  $\Theta_{\mathcal{S}}$  is a *bounded distributive lattice*.



O. Hutník and R. Mesiar: On a certain class of submeasures based on triangular norms. *Internat. J. Uncertain. Fuzziness Knowledge-Based Systems* **17**(3) (2009), 297–316.



Halčinová, L., Hutník, O., Mesiar, R.: On some classes of distance distribution functions-valued submeasures. *Nonlinear Anal.* **74**(5) (2011) 1545–1554.



Halčinová, L., Hutník, O., Mesiar, R.: On distance distribution functions-valued submeasures related to aggregation functions. *Fuzzy Sets Systems* (in print).